An Analysis of Epidemiological Factors in Heart Failure Outcomes

Received 12/23/2021

Review began 01/25/2022

Review ended 01/30/2022

Published 02/26/2022

© Copyright 2022

Patel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Karan Patel¹, Kamil Taneja², Aleem Mohamed¹, Sai Batchu³, Hailey Hsiung⁴, Connor Mott¹, Haley Tornberg¹, Urvish K. Patel⁵

1. Medical Student, Cooper Medical School of Rowan University, Camden, USA 2. Medical Student, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, USA 3. Neurosciences, Independent Researcher, Montville, USA 4. Healthcare (Social Sciences), Independent, Highland Park, USA 5. Public Health and Neurology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA

Corresponding author: Karan Patel, karanpatel122397@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

Various socioeconomic and demographic factors play a role in determining treatment outcomes across numerous conditions. Different studies have shown that certain demographic factors, such as income status, directly correlate with treatment outcomes. In this study, we analyze the effect of some of these variables, namely, insurance and age, on various endpoints, including length of stay and discharge status, among heart failure patients.

Methodology

The data used in this project were retrieved from the HealthCare Utilization Project. We sorted the data by insurance, age, length of stay, and discharge status. To compare discharge status between different insurance types and age groups, we used Stata to compute odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. To compare the length of stay among different age groups and insurance types, we conducted an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test.

Results

Across all age groups, we found that younger patients with heart failure are more likely to discharge against medical advice compared to older patients. The average length of stay for heart failure patients was the same across all age groups except those 85 and older. Moreover, patients with a lower socioeconomic status, as determined by insurance type, were more likely to discharge against medical advice and less likely to die within hospitals.

Conclusions

Our results speak to the socioeconomic inequalities seen in medicine today. Studies have shown that those with a lower socioeconomic status tend to have worse outcomes across various conditions. Our analysis shows this phenomenon holds true for heart failure as well. In addition, our study helps to determine which groups are at higher risk of making medical decisions, such as discharging against medical advice, that will negatively affect their condition. Identifying these high-risk groups is a key first step to counteracting such behavior.

Categories: Cardiology, Public Health

Keywords: healthcare disparity, length of hospital stay (los), insurance status, epidemiology and biostatistics, heart failure

Introduction

Heart disease is the leading cause of death in the United States, accounting for one in every four deaths [1,2]. Although there are many different diseases that fall under the umbrella term of heart disease, in this article, we focus on heart failure, the decreased ability of the heart to pump sufficient blood to the body. Within this subset of heart disease, heart failure is responsible for an estimated \$39.2 billion costs annually and affects roughly 23 million individuals worldwide across all age groups [3,4]. In addition to these effects, heart failure was delineated as a growing epidemic in 1997, making this disease an important topic to investigate further.

The pathophysiology, diagnostic classifications, and treatment algorithms of heart failure have been well-described and identified [5-7]. However, there is a lack of studies analyzing the impact of certain demographic factors in heart failure patients within the context of a patient discharging against medical advice (AMA). Demographic factors such as gender, age, race, and insurance status are contributing factors

How to cite this article

Patel K, Taneja K, Mohamed A, et al. (February 26, 2022) An Analysis of Epidemiological Factors in Heart Failure Outcomes. Cureus 14(2): e22627. DOI 10.7759/cureus.22627

under the comprehensive topic of healthcare disparities, a growing concern in the field of medicine that has significantly affected patient care and outcomes. Specifically, insurance status has been previously linked to mortality with a potential 5-25% decrease in mortality in insured patients compared to their uninsured counterparts [7]. Additionally, lower socioeconomic status, a proxy term for insurance status, has been shown to contribute to patients receiving poor prognoses and experiencing worse outcomes across a range of different conditions [8-10].

On the topic of patients with heart failure being discharged, there are two avenues: per doctor's orders or AMA. Patients who are discharged AMA have been shown to have significantly higher readmission rates and are more likely to experience severe disease manifestations [11-13]. For example, a study conducted by Tan et al. found a 9% increase in readmission rate for those who were discharged AMA compared to those who did not discharge AMA. Hence, determining which populations are more prone to be discharged AMA can be a key first step in addressing this phenomenon [11].

In this study, we aimed to examine the effect of age and insurance status on the length of stay, death rate, and discharge AMA within the patient population affected by heart failure.

Materials And Methods

We conducted a retrospective analysis with data retrieved from the Health Cost and Utilization Project website. Within this database, we used the following inputs to generate a dataset: setting of care: inpatient data only; diagnosis: clinical classification software redefined (CCSR); heart failure, outcomes, and measures: length of stay, discharge, and deaths; geographic setting: national; patient characteristics: age groups and payer status; and year: 2018. After generating this dataset, we then ran six separate sets of analysis. To analyze the mean length of hospital stay between different age groups and among those with different types of insurance, we use an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test. Afterward, we performed a separate analysis looking at the rates of discharge against/with medical advice and death/discharge among various age groups and insurance types. For these analyses, we used Stata (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) to perform odds ratios along with 95% confidence intervals.

Results

Our results show that across all age groups, younger patients were more likely to discharge AMA (Table 1). In conjunction with this, younger patients were also more likely to die in hospitals compared to older patients, as shown in Table 1. We found that socioeconomic status, as determined by insurance type, played a role in both the likelihood of someone discharging AMA and in the average length of stay (Table 1, Figure 1). Patients with a lower socioeconomic status, as determined by insurance type, were more likely to discharge AMA and had shorter hospital stays (Table 2, Figure 1). Furthermore, a patient's age did not appear to play a major role in the mean length of stay. We found no significant differences in the average length of stay across all age groups besides those who were older than 85 years; these patients were more likely to have a shorter length of stay compared to all age groups (Figure 2).

	Odds ratios (95% CI)
Age group (years)	
85+	Reference
18-44	17.83 (16.41-19.83)
45-64	12.41 (11.51-13.38)
65-84	3.25 (3.01-3.52)
Insurance type	
Uninsured	Reference
Medicare	0.20 (0.19-0.21)
Medicaid	1.15 (1.09-1.21)
Private insurance	0.28 (0.26-0.30)

TABLE 1: Odds ratios: discharge against medical advice versus discharge with medical advice.

CI: confidence interval

	Odds ratios (95% CI)
Age group (years)	
18–44	Reference
45–64	0.96 (0.88-1.04)
65–84	0.49 (0.45-0.53)
85+	0.31 (0.29-0.34)
Insurance type	
Uninsured	Reference
Medicare	2.13 (1.94-2.33)
Medicaid	1.05 (0.94-1.16)
Private insurance	1.88 (1.70-2.07)

TABLE 2: Odds ratios: death in hospital versus discharge.

CI: confidence interval

FIGURE 1: Average length of hospital stay by insurance type.

*: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01

FIGURE 2: Average length of hospital stay by age.

** $: P < 0.01$

Discussion

Based on our analyses, we found that younger patients were significantly more likely to discharge against doctors' orders compared to older patients. This held true for all age group comparisons for younger versus older patients. While the exact reasons for this are unclear, we suspect they are multifactorial in nature. Younger patients tend to report poorer experiences in inpatient settings compared to older patients, which may make them more prone to leaving these settings earlier [14]. In addition, it has been shown that older patients are at a higher risk of experiencing adverse effects of heart failure treatment. For example, they are more likely to experience dehydration and electrolyte imbalances with the use of diuretics compared to their younger counterparts [15]. Adding in the factor that older patients often have multiple comorbidities and experience polypharmacy further complicates the diagnosis, treatment, and management of heart failure [16-18]. As a result, they may be less inclined to leave the hospital setting against physicians' orders because they generally take longer to recover from treatment and may potentially require a longer treatment period.

One anomaly that our analysis uncovered was that patients aged 85 and older were actually more likely to have shorter hospital stays than all other age groups. While this can be attributed to the aforementioned statistic of older patients having a significantly higher death rate due to HF, we also note that the factor of the length of hospital stays does not take into account the frequency of visits for heart failure [19]. We hypothesize that older patients, while having shorter stays, may visit the hospital more often due to HF; however, this was not a factor that we could control for in this study. Overall, the trends for age uncovered by our analysis fall in the line with the general body of literature on patient psychology and mortality [20].

The trends that we discovered for insurance also fall in line with general expectations. According to our analysis, uninsured patients had shorter hospital stays compared to patients on all other forms of insurance. Additionally, uninsured patients and those on Medicaid were more likely to sign out AMA compared to patients on private insurance and Medicare. Other studies analyzing different diseases have found similar trends of shorter hospital stays among uninsured patients [19-21]. While this finding makes sense from a monetary perspective, it is also paradoxical because uninsured patients are twice as likely to report going without needed care. As a result, they should have more severe disease manifestations when presenting to the hospital [22]. However, having to burden out-of-pocket costs may be one driving factor leading to shorter hospital stays. In addition, hospitals are less inclined to prolong care for uninsured patients. One study found that uninsured patients were 66% more likely to be discharged from hospitals compared to those on private insurance [23]. The results of our study further speak to the inequalities present in healthcare today.

In our analysis, we found that patients on Medicaid and uninsured patients were less likely to die in hospitals because of heart failure, while Medicare patients were most likely to die in hospitals because of heart failure. While this may seem paradoxical, it fits in with the general trend of socioeconomic inequalities

seen in healthcare. As found in our analysis, patients with Medicaid and no insurance are more likely to sign out AMA which may contribute to lower hospital death rates. In addition, because of data unavailability, our analysis did not look at out-of-hospital death rates for these groups. Patients with these insurance types may be less likely to get healthcare/get inferior healthcare in general and, as a result, do not contribute to the “in-hospital” death rate statistic [24-26]. Therefore our expectation is that the community death rate for patients on these insurance types with a heart failure diagnosis would be significantly higher than those with Medicare and private insurance. Conversely, Medicare patients generally tend to be 65+ (outside of those with disabilities), placing them in one of the two groups (65-84 and 85+) least likely to check out AMA. In addition, Medicare is not income-based and so these patients are not as motivated as those with a lower socioeconomic status to leave a healthcare setting.

Some limitations in this study were that we could not adjust for the severity of heart failure and comorbidities among patients. General trends have shown that patients with lower socioeconomic status and older-age patients often have multiple comorbidities which may affect their length of stay. However, the general trends that we see in our study have also been shown to be true in other studies analyzing different medical conditions [27].

Conclusions

Our study supported two separate notions: (1) younger patients are more likely to discharge AMA, and (2) insurance status plays a significant role both in the likelihood that a patient discharges AMA and their length of stay.

While the relationship between patient age and rate of discharge against physician advice is not surprising, it is clinically important. Any patient leaving AMA could experience unforeseen consequences which can result in repeated hospitalizations, increasing both physical and financial burdens on the patient. Providing this at-risk population with adequate treatment has a tremendous impact on their overall quality of life.

The socioeconomic status of a patient is a defined social determinant of health, and our analysis supports the notion of a continued dissonance between insurance status and patient care. There is a multitude of reasons for how insurance status can affect a patient’s care and length of stay, some of which may be concerns of costs of hospital stay and transportation to the hospital; however, more research is needed to delineate these factors fully as they are quite diverse and varying in nature.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve human participants or tissue.

Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue.

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

Karan Patel and Kamil Taneja contributed equally to the work and should be considered co-first authors.

References

- Heart disease facts. (2021). Accessed: December 20, 2021: <https://www.cdc.gov/heartdisease/facts.htm>.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al.: 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2016, 18:891-975. [10.1002/ehf.592](https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf.592)
- Voigt J, Sasha John M, Taylor A, Krucoff M, Reynolds MR, Michael Gibson C: A reevaluation of the costs of heart failure and its implications for allocation of health resources in the United States. *Clin Cardiol*. 2014, 37:312-21. [10.1002/clc.22260](https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.22260)
- Braunwald E: Shattuck lecture--cardiovascular medicine at the turn of the millennium: triumphs, concerns, and opportunities. *N Engl J Med*. 1997, 337:1360-9. [10.1056/NEJM199711063371906](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199711063371906)
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al.: 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013, 62:e147-239. [10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.019)
- Kurmani S, Squire I: Acute heart failure: definition, classification and epidemiology. *Curr Heart Fail Rep*. 2017, 14:385-92. [10.1007/s11897-017-0351-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11897-017-0351-y)
- Hadley J: Sicker and poorer--the consequences of being uninsured: a review of the research on the relationship between health insurance, medical care use, health, work, and income. *Med Care Res Rev*. 2003, 60:3S-75S; discussion 76S-112S. [10.1177/1077558703254101](https://doi.org/10.1177/1077558703254101)

8. Marcin JP, Schembri MS, He J, Romano PS: A population-based analysis of socioeconomic status and insurance status and their relationship with pediatric trauma hospitalization and mortality rates. *Am J Public Health*. 2003, 93:461-6. [10.2105/ajph.93.3.461](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.93.3.461)
9. Clark AM, DesMeules M, Luo W, Duncan AS, Wielgosz A: Socioeconomic status and cardiovascular disease: risks and implications for care. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2009, 6:712-22. [10.1038/nrcardio.2009.163](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2009.163)
10. Signorello LB, Cohen SS, Williams DR, Munro HM, Hargreaves MK, Blot WJ: Socioeconomic status, race, and mortality: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Public Health*. 2014, 104:e98-e107. [10.2105/AJPH.2014.302156](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302156)
11. Tan SY, Feng JY, Joyce C, Fisher J, Mostaghimi A: Association of hospital discharge against medical advice with readmission and in-hospital mortality. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020, 3:e206009. [10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.6009](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.6009)
12. Anis AH, Sun H, Guh DP, Palepu A, Schechter MT, O'Shaughnessy MV: Leaving hospital against medical advice among HIV-positive patients. *CMAJ*. 2002, 167:633-7.
13. Weingart SN, Davis RB, Phillips RS: Patients discharged against medical advice from a general medicine service. *J Gen Intern Med*. 1998, 13:568-71. [10.1046/j.1525-1497.1998.00169.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.1998.00169.x)
14. Hwang SW, Li J, Gupta R, Chien V, Martin RE: What happens to patients who leave hospital against medical advice?. *CMAJ*. 2003, 168:417-20.
15. Hargreaves DS, Sizmur S, Viner RM: Do young and older adults have different health care priorities? Evidence from a national survey of English inpatients. *J Adolesc Health*. 2012, 51:528-32. [10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.05.016)
16. Butrous H, Hummel SL: Heart failure in older adults. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016, 32:1140-7. [10.1016/j.cjca.2016.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2016.05.005)
17. Morin L, Johnell K, Laroche ML, Fastbom J, Wastesson JW: The epidemiology of polypharmacy in older adults: register-based prospective cohort study. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2018, 10:289-98. [10.2147/CLEP.S153458](https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S153458)
18. Van Dyke M, Greer S, Odom E, Schieb L, Vaughan A, Kramer M, Casper M: Heart disease death rates among Blacks and Whites aged ≥35 years - United States, 1968-2015. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2018, 67:1-11. [10.15585/mmwr.ss6705a1](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6705a1)
19. Wong CY, Chaudhry SI, Desai MM, Krumholz HM: Trends in comorbidity, disability, and polypharmacy in heart failure. *Am J Med*. 2011, 124:136-43. [10.1016/j.amjmed.2010.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2010.08.017)
20. Albayati A, Douedi S, Alshami A, et al.: Why do patients leave against medical advice? Reasons, consequences, prevention, and interventions. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2021, 9:111. [10.3390/healthcare9020111](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9020111)
21. Venkatesh AK, Chou SC, Li SX, et al.: Association between insurance status and access to hospital care in emergency department disposition. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019, 179:686-93. [10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0037](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0037)
22. Mainous AG 3rd, Diaz VA, Everett CJ, Knoll ME: Impact of insurance and hospital ownership on hospital length of stay among patients with ambulatory care-sensitive conditions. *Ann Fam Med*. 2011, 9:489-95. [10.1370/afm.1315](https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1315)
23. Davis K: Uninsured in America: problems and possible solutions. *BMJ*. 2007, 334:346-8. [10.1136/bmj.39091.493588.BE](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39091.493588.BE)
24. Englum BR, Hui X, Zogg CK, et al.: Association between insurance status and hospital length of stay following trauma. *Am Surg*. 2016, 82:281-8. [10.1177/000313481608200324](https://doi.org/10.1177/000313481608200324)
25. Ansell DA, Schiff RL: Patient dumping. Status, implications, and policy recommendations. *JAMA*. 1987, 257:1500-2. [10.1001/jama.257.11.1500](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.257.11.1500)
26. Arpey NC, Gaglioni AH, Rosenbaum ME: How socioeconomic status affects patient perceptions of health care: a qualitative study. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2017, 8:169-75. [10.1177/2150131917697439](https://doi.org/10.1177/2150131917697439)
27. Nwadiugwu MC: Multi-morbidity in the older person: an examination of polypharmacy and socioeconomic status. *Front Public Health*. 2020, 8:582234. [10.3389/fpubh.2020.582234](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.582234)