Cureus

Review began 12/25/2021 Review ended 12/27/2021 Published 12/29/2021

© Copyright 2021

Badaam et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The Effect of Traditional Aerobic Exercise and Sprint Interval Training on Insulin Resistance in Men With Prediabetes: A Randomised Controlled Trial

Khaled M. Badaam¹, Urjita S. Zingade²

1. Physiology, Government Medical College and Hospital, Aurangabad, Aurangabad, IND 2. Physiology, Rajarshee Chhatrapati Shahu Maharaj Government Medical College, Kolhapur, IND

Corresponding author: Khaled M. Badaam, khalid_badaam@yahoo.com

Abstract

Introduction

Prediabetes is an intermediate stage with hyperglycaemia below the threshold of diabetes mellitus. Insulin resistance is a significant factor in its pathogenesis. Lifestyle modifications are suggested and found to be more beneficial in this stage. Moderate-intensity exercise for 30 to 45 minutes a day is routinely recommended but has low compliance, and lack of time is a significant deterrent. Sprint interval training (SIT) is an alternate exercise regimen with higher intensity and less time requirement. The present study compares the effect of a three-month intervention of traditional aerobic exercise and sprint interval training on insulin resistance in prediabetic men.

Methods

The study subjects were males aged 25 to 40 years with prediabetes as per the American Diabetes Association criteria of fasting and two-hour plasma glucose levels. The study is a parallel-group randomised trial with one arm (AE group) involved in the traditional aerobic exercise (brisk walking) for 30 minutes, five days a week. The other arm was the sprint interval training (SIT) group performing an 'all-out' run effort for one minute followed by a recovery rest period of one and a half minutes, completing one cycle of two and half minutes. Four such cycles were completed in each session. Thus, the exercise sessions were just 10 minutes daily, three days a week. The duration of the intervention was three months. One hundred and sixty participants were recruited after screening and randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to the two groups. The primary outcome measure was insulin resistance estimated by homeostasis model assessment -estimated insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). The secondary outcome measures were fasting plasma glucose and serum insulin, glycated haemoglobin, body mass index and waist-hip ratio.

Results

The mean age of the AE group was 30.7 ± 3.3 years, and the SIT group was 31 ± 3.4 years. Seventy-two men from the AE group and 74 from the SIT group completed the study. After the three-month AE and SIT exercise, the per-protocol analysis reflected a significant reduction in insulin resistance, i.e., HOMA-IR (3.6 ± 1.1 to 3 ± 1.2 , p<0.0001) after traditional aerobic exercise. Similarly, the HOMA-IR was significantly reduced after sprint interval training (3.3 ± 1.2 to 2.5 ± 1 , p<0.0001). The intention-to-treat analysis also found that the reductions in HOMA-IR after both exercise protocols were statistically significant. The change in insulin resistance compared for the SIT vs AE group was not statistically significant improvement with AE and SIT.

Conclusions

The sprint interval training similarly improved insulin resistance and other parameters compared to the traditional exercise group. SIT can be a time-efficient exercise protocol suggested as a part of lifestyle modification for men with prediabetes.

Categories: Endocrinology/Diabetes/Metabolism, Preventive Medicine, Public Health Keywords: pre-diabetes, body mass index (bmi), vigorous exercise, endurance exercise, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (homa-ir), high intensity exercise

Introduction

Diabetes and prediabetes prevalence is rising rapidly in India due to the combination of genetic predisposition and lifestyle changes [1]. Prediabetes is an intermediate hyperglycemia stage with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia are significant contributing

How to cite this article

Badaam K M, Zingade U S (December 29, 2021) The Effect of Traditional Aerobic Exercise and Sprint Interval Training on Insulin Resistance in Men With Prediabetes: A Randomised Controlled Trial. Cureus 13(12): e20789. DOI 10.7759/cureus.20789

factors for the increased predisposition towards cardiovascular disease [2-5]. Exercise is a significant component of lifestyle modification suggested for the management of prediabetes and prevention/delay in the progression towards diabetes. The exercise recommendations of 150 minutes per week at moderate-intensity, although beneficial, have not resulted in high compliance. Lack of time is the frequent reason for non-adherence [6-8]. It has been reported that prediabetes individuals are more likely to benefit from exercise. However, low- to moderate-intensity exercise is not found to reverse altered glucose homeostasis in prediabetes completely, and it is recommended to explore exercise at different intensities [9]. Thus, there is a need for higher intensity exercise regimens with less time commitment for better application in prediabetes management. Sprint Interval Training (SIT) has gained interest as a high-intensity exercise model for improving insulin sensitivity and other metabolic and vascular health parameters [10-12]. The present study compares three months of sprint interval training with traditional aerobic exercise for changes in insulin resistance in prediabetic men.

Materials And Methods

The study design is a parallel-group randomized controlled trial comparing the effects of traditional aerobic exercise and sprint interval training on insulin resistance in prediabetes. The trial was conducted at a government medical institute in Maharashtra, India. The sample size was calculated as 73 subjects per group for two-tailed hypothesis testing (α set as 5% and the power of study as 80%) to compare the change in insulin resistance (homeostasis model assessment - estimated insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) between the two exercise groups based on the assumed difference in HOMA-IR of 25%, moderate effect size (Cohen's d). The sample size enrolled was 80 in each group, taking into account the possible loss to follow-up. The ethics committee of the institute approved the study protocol (Institutional Ethics Committee - Government Medical College Aurangabad vide letter: Pharma/IEC-GMCA/477/2011). All the study subjects gave informed written consent in their known language. Trial registration with the Clinical Trial Registry of India (CTRI/2012/09/002974) and the World Health Organization registry network (URN: U1111-1133-9202) was done. Helsinki declaration principles were followed while conducting the trial.

Participants and eligibility criteria

Eligible participants were adults aged 25 to 40 years with prediabetes, asymptomatic males with normal baseline electrocardiogram (ECG), and willing to participate in the study. Prediabetes screening was done as per the fasting plasma glucose (100 to 125 mg/dL) or 2-hour glucose (140 to 199 mg/dL) as defined by American Diabetes Association (ADA) [7]. All known cases of cardiac disease, lung disease, renal disease, hepatic disease, diabetes, or any disease with contraindication to exercise, prediabetes patients on pharmacotherapy, smokers, blood pressure above 160/100 mmHg, those already doing regular exercise or sports, those not available for intervention period were excluded. Participants were recruited from the Government Medical College, private hospitals, medical camps and direct contacts.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was insulin resistance estimated by HOMA-IR, as Matthews et al. described [13]. Secondary outcome measures reported: fasting glucose and fasting insulin, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), body mass index (BMI), and waist-hip ratio (WHR).

Screening

History taking, physical examination, ECG, fasting glucose, 2-hour glucose were performed in the subjects. Height measurement was done by a wall-mounted stadiometer. An electronic weighing machine (precision, 0.1 kg) measured body weight in light clothing before breakfast. BMI was calculated as weight in kg/(height in m)2. Waist circumference at the midpoint between the anterior superior iliac crest and the lowest rib and the hip circumference at the level of the maximal gluteal protrusion was measured. The WHR was calculated as waist circumference in cm/hip circumference in cm [14]. Screening of 237 high-risk subjects was done based on ADA reports (BMI > 25 kg/m2, first degree relative of diabetic, physical inactivity) [15,16]. Seventy-seven subjects were excluded as 39 were normoglycemic, seven were having diabetes, nine subjects had high blood pressure, 13 declined for the 3-month exercise protocol, and the physician excluded nine subjects. The enrolled 160 subjects were allotted serial numbers.

Sample collection and its evaluation

After a 12-hour overnight fast, 5 mL of venous blood was collected in a syringe to measure HbA1c, plasma glucose, and serum insulin. Plasma glucose (mg/dL) estimation was done by the glucose oxidase peroxidase (GOD-POD) method [17]. Serum insulin (µU/mL) estimation was done by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA®; Elecsys, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). HbA1c (%) measurement was done using ion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (D-10TM, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). HOMA-IR was calculated according to the following formula: homeostatic model assessment (HOMA-IR) = (fasting insulin in micro-IU/mL * fasting glucose in mg/dl)/405 (based on Matthews et al. [13]).

Randomization and intervention

Eligible participants were randomly assigned centrally by a computer-generated random-number sequence in a 1:1 ratio to either traditional aerobic exercise (AE) group or Sprint Interval Training (SIT) group. Random assignments were done in 10 waves of 16, with equal randomization in each wave. Allocation concealment was done by opaque numbered sealed envelopes. The supervising trainers were separated into two groups, and the participants and trainers did not know about the other group.

The AE group exercised at moderate intensity (brisk walking) for 30 minutes a day, five days a week. The SIT group exercised with an 'all-out' run effort for one minute followed by a recovery rest period of one and a half minutes, completing one cycle of two and half minutes. Four such cycles were completed in each session. Thus, the exercise sessions were just 10 minutes a day. Warm-up and stretching exercises were done before and after the sessions for 5 minutes. There were three sessions per week with at least one-day gap between two consecutive sessions. The weekly time spent on exercise was 30 minutes for SIT (additional 15 minutes for warm-up) compared to 150 minutes per week for the traditional aerobic exercise. This intervention was field-based and in real-life conditions. Participants were asked to maintain their usual dietary habits and physical activity levels. Compliance with >80% sessions was considered in the analysis. The intervention period was three months (target of 36 sessions of SIT and 60 sessions of AE). After the intervention, participants underwent repeat evaluation of the outcome parameters between 48 to 72 hours after the last exercise session. Out of the 160 subjects, 146 subjects were included for the post-intervention testing. An independent doctor conducted and supervised the before and after evaluation and testing of the participants. The outcome assessors, those involved in investigations and the statistician were blinded regarding allocation.

Statistical analysis

The analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2017 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA) and online statistical calculators available at https://www.socscistatistics.com and http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs. Primary outcome variable HOMA-IR was assessed as per per-protocol analysis (n = 74 + 72 = 146), and the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis (n = 80 + 80 = 160) with the baseline observations carried forward (BOCF). A test for the normal distribution of data (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) was used. Parametric (paired and unpaired t-test) tests were used for normally distributed data; otherwise, nonparametric tests (Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Mann-Whitney U test) were applied. For within-group comparison, paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used, and for between-group comparison, unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney U test was used.

Results

One hundred forty-six subjects completed the exercise protocols and the post-intervention evaluation; 72 were from the AE group and 74 from the SIT group. Figure 1 depicts the study flow chart.



FIGURE 1: Flow diagram of the study

The mean age of the subjects of the AE group was 30.7 ± 3.3 years, and the SIT group was 31 ± 3.4 years. Baseline characteristics of the study subjects in both groups were comparable, as shown in Table 1.

Variables	AE group (n=80) (Mean ± SD)	SIT group (n=80) (Mean \pm SD)
Age (Years)	30.7 ± 3.3	31 ± 3.4
Height (meters)	1.73 ± 0.03	1.73 ± 0.04
Weight (kg)	84.2 ± 7.6	85.9 ± 8.3
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.2 ± 2.9	28.6 ± 3.1

TABLE 1: Baseline characteristics of the study subjects

AE: Traditional aerobic exercise, SIT: Sprint Interval Training, SD: Standard Deviation

After the three-month AE and SIT exercise, the per-protocol analysis reflected a significant reduction in insulin resistance, i.e. HOMA-IR (3.6 ± 1.1 to 3 ± 1.2 , p<0.0001) after traditional aerobic exercise. Similarly, the HOMA-IR was significantly reduced after sprint interval training (3.3 ± 1.2 to 2.5 ± 1 , p<0.0001) [Table 2].

AE Group (n=72) (Mean ± SD)				SIT Group (n=74) (Mean ± SD)					
Variable	Pre-AE	Post- AE	Post- Mean Difference [95% AE CI]		Pre-SIT	Post- Mean Difference [95% SIT CI]		p-value	
HOMA-IR	3.6 ± 1.1	3 ± 1.2	-0.6 [-0.87 to -0.34]	<0.0001**	3.3 ± 1.2	2.5 ± 1	-0.8 [-1.12 to - 0.39]	<0.0001**	

TABLE 2: Per-protocol analysis of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)

HOMA-IR: Homeostatic model assessment - Insulin Resistance, AE: Traditional aerobic exercise, SIT: Sprint Interval Training, SD: Standard Deviation, CI: Confidence Interval, **statistically highly significant

The intention-to-treat analysis also found that the reductions in HOMA-IR after both exercise protocols were statistically significant [Table 3].

	AE Group (n=80) (Mean ± SD)					SIT Group (n=80) (Mean ± SD)				
Variable	Pre-AE Post-AE Mean Difference [95% CI]		Mean Difference [95% Cl]	p-value	Pre-SIT	Post- SIT	Mean Difference [95% Cl]	p-value		
HOMA-IR	3.6 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 1.2	-0.55 [-0.78 to - 0.31]	<0.0001**	3.3 ± 1.2	2.6 ± 1	-0.7 [-1.04 to - 0.36]	<0.0001**		

TABLE 3: Intention to Treat analysis of Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)

HOMA-IR: Homeostatic model assessment - Insulin Resistance, AE: Traditional aerobic exercise, SIT: Sprint Interval Training, SD: Standard Deviation, CI: Confidence Interval, **statistically highly significant

The change in insulin resistance compared for the SIT vs AE group was not statistically significant [Table 4].

Variable	Per Protocol A	nalysis		Intention to Treat Analysis					
	Change in AE Group (Mean ± SD)	Change in SIT Group (Mean ± SD)	Mean Difference [95% Cl]	p- value	Change in AE Group (Mean ± SD)	Change in SIT Group (Mean ± SD)	Mean Difference [95% Cl]	p- value	
HOMA-IR	-0.6 ± 1.1	-0.8 ± 1.6	0.2 [- 0.274 to 0.620]	0.445 (NS)	-0.5 ± 1.1	-0.7 ± 1.5	0.2 [- 0.237 to 0.587]	0.403 (NS)	

TABLE 4: Comparison of change observed in Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) between groups

HOMA-IR: Homeostatic model assessment - Insulin Resistance, AE: Traditional aerobic exercise, SIT: Sprint Interval Training, SD: Standard Deviation, CI: Confidence Interval

Secondary outcome measures HbA1c, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, and BMI showed significant improvement with AE and SIT. However, there was no significant difference between the groups regarding improving these parameters [Table 5]. There was a significantly more decrease in WHR in the SIT group, but the difference in means was approximately 1% only [Table 5]. Among the SIT group subjects, one subject could not complete the intervention due to knee pain. The pain subsided over a week; however, the subject did not continue with the study. There was a sprain injury in the AE group, and the subject withdrew from the study. There were no other adverse events.

Cureus

Variables (Mean ± SD)		Pre-AE	Post-AE	p-value	Pre-SIT	Post-SIT	p-value	Difference (AE)	Difference (SIT)	p-value
ВМІ		28.2 ± 2.8	27.6 ± 2.7	<0.0001**	28.7 ± 3.2	28.3 ± 2.9	<0.0001**	-0.55 ± 0.58	-0.45 ± 0.59	0.32 (NS)
HbA1c (%)		5.9 ± 0.3	5.6 ±0.3	<0.0001**	5.9 ± 0.3	5.5 ± 0.3	<0.0001**	-0.3± 0.3	-0.4 ± 0.3	0.064 (NS)
Glucose (mg/dl)	0 min	107.1 ± 12	102.3 ± 13.9	0.0006**	108.4 ± 11.1	102.8 ± 11.1	<0.0001**	-4.8 ± 11.5	-5.6 ± 10.9	0.670 (NS)
Insulin (µU/ml)	0 min	13.8 ± 4.2	11.8 ± 3.9	<0.0001**	12.3 ± 4.4	10.1 ± 3.8	0.001*	-1.9 ± 4	-2.3 ± 5.9	0.683 (NS)
WHR		0.95 ± 0.04	0.94 ± 0.04	<0.0001**	0.96 ± 0.04	0.95 ± 0.04	<0.0001**	-0.01 ± 0.01	-0.02 ± 0.01	0.001*

TABLE 5: Comparison of secondary outcome variables before and after AE and SIT

NS: statistically not significant, * statistically significant, **statistically highly significant; AE: Traditional aerobic exercise, SIT: Sprint Interval Training, SD: Standard Deviation, BMI: Body mass index, HbA1c: glycated haemoglobin, WHR: waist-hip ratio

Discussion

Sprint training on the field with just four all-out runs of one minute in each session for three sessions per week for three months showed significant improvement in insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). The benefit was similar to the traditional aerobic exercise of 150 minutes a week. The improvement in insulin resistance was slightly better in SIT group, although the difference was not statistically significant. The decrease in insulin resistance was reflected in improved glycated haemoglobin levels after exercise intervention. The body mass index and waist-hip ratio improvement were observed in both groups. Intermittent exercise at higher intensity stimulates glucose disposal; the molecular level explanation has been given by a higher translocation of glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4), depletion of glycogen after exercise sessions, and vasodilation [18]. There is an activation of larger muscle mass in sprint interval training compared to moderate-intensity exercise, which is associated with a very high turnover of glycogen breakdown. There is rapid glycogen degradation due to metabolic stress during high-intensity contractions. Thus, after sprint exercise, greater muscle mass will require replenishing the glycogen stores compared to moderate-intensity exercise. This remodelling of the glycogen pool has been described to be an essential factor in regulating insulin sensitivity [11, 19]. Ryan BJ et al. recently compared moderate-intensity exercise with short duration high-intensity exercise. The muscle glycogen depletion, which is an essential contributor to an increase in insulin sensitivity, was found to be similar after just 10 minutes of high-intensity exercise compared with 45 minutes of moderate-intensity exercise. They mentioned that the findings were significant, given that lack of time is a frequent reason for low adherence to exercise guidelines [8, 20]. Our study results are in line with growing literature on the subject.

The recent 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee report has reviewed the impact of highintensity training on cardiometabolic risk profile and found it to have benefits similar to moderate-intensity exercise. The report supported the advocation of higher intensity exercise regimens for improving insulin sensitivity, body composition and blood pressure, especially in those at risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. The report stressed the need for continued research on the subject, especially longer than sixmonth duration studies. There is a need for more extended duration studies to assess adherence and benefit on cardiometabolic parameters in the long term [21]. Weston KS et al. reviewed the literature on the subject. They reported that 12-week high-intensity interval training is better than moderate-intensity exercise in improving insulin sensitivity and glucose homeostasis. It was reported as a safe exercise modality with improved quality of life [22]. Gillen JB et al. reported that just 3 minutes of sprint interval training within a total 30-minute time per week is as effective as the weekly 150 minutes exercise in the sedentary population for improving insulin sensitivity and muscle mitochondrial content [23]. High-intensity exercise has higher adherence than moderate-intensity continuous exercise in prediabetes subjects [24].

Limitations of the study are the inclusion of males only and the assessment of only fasting status insulin sensitivity. The dietary and other factors were not recorded. The 'all-out' effort is subjective and may underestimate the effect if the intensity was not achieved. The study did not have a control group with no exercise prescription to give a better perspective on the exercise effects. Further studies from multiple centres and over a longer duration are needed to establish the role of sprint interval training in managing prediabetes.

Conclusions

Sprint interval training improved insulin resistance, glycaemic indices and anthropometric parameters similar to traditional aerobic exercise. Considering the significantly less time requirement, this can be an excellent option for people with time constraints. With due precautions, men with prediabetes can be recommended sprint interval training as a time-efficient exercise intervention that can alter the progression towards diabetes. The improvement in insulin resistance in both the exercise groups signifies the benefit of physical activity in prediabetes. There is a need to properly communicate the exercise duration and intensity options to the general public. More public awareness regarding exercise is the need of the hour to tackle the rapid rise in diabetes prevalence.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Institutional Ethics Committee, Government Medical College, Aurangabad issued approval Pharma/IEC-GMCA/477/2011. The ethics committee has unanimously approved the research protocol. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support from Department of Physiology, Government Medical College, Aurangabad especially Late Dr S T Khan, Dr. Syeda Afroz, Dr. N D Somwanshi and all the faculty and staff from the department. We especially acknowledge the support and encouragement from Late Dr Zingade Sir. We sincerely appreciate the efforts of the study participants and support group for the study. The Dean of the institution has extended all the help and support for the study.

References

- Ramachandran A: Epidemiology of diabetes in India--three decades of research. J Assoc Physicians India. 2005, 53:34-8.
- Haffner SM, Mykkänen L, Festa A, Burke JP, Stern MP: Insulin-resistant prediabetic subjects have more atherogenic risk factors than insulin-sensitive prediabetic subjects: implications for preventing coronary heart disease during the prediabetic state. Circulation. 2000, 101:975-80. 10.1161/01.cir.101.9.975
- Hu G, Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J, et al.: Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in nondiabetic European men and women. Arch Intern Med. 2004, 164:1066-76. 10.1001/archinte.164.10.1066
- Meigs JB, Rutter MK, Sullivan LM, Fox CS, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wilson PW: Impact of insulin resistance on risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in people with metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care. 2007, 30:1219-25. 10.2337/dc06-2484
- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Parise H, Sullivan L, Meigs JB: Metabolic syndrome as a precursor of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Circulation. 2005, 112:3066-72. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.539528
- Korkiakangas EE, Alahuhta MA, Laitinen JH: Barriers to regular exercise among adults at high risk or diagnosed with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. Health Promot Int. 2009, 24:416-27. 10.1093/heapro/dap031
- American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in--2013. Diabetes Care. 2012, 36:S11-S66. 10.2337/dc13-S011
- Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W: Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2002, 34:1996-2001.10.1097/00005768-200212000-00020
- Jenkins NT, Hagberg JM: Aerobic training effects on glucose tolerance in prediabetic and normoglycemic humans. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011, 43:2231-40.10.1249/MSS.0b013e318223b5f9
- Earnest CP, Lupo M, Thibodaux J, et al.: Interval training in men at risk for insulin resistance. Int J Sports Med. 2013, 34:355-363. 10.1055/s-0032-1311594
- Babraj JA, Vollaard NB, Keast C, Guppy FM, Cottrell G, Timmons JA: Extremely short duration high intensity interval training substantially improves insulin action in young healthy males. BMC Endocr Disord. 2009, 2009;3. 10.1186/1472-6823-9-3
- Rakobowchuk M, Tanguay S, Burgomaster KA, Howarth KR, Gibala MJ, MacDonald MJ: Sprint interval and traditional endurance training induce similar improvements in peripheral arterial stiffness and flow-mediated dilation in healthy humans. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2008, 295:236-42.
 10.1152/ajprequ.00069.2008
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985, 28:412-9. 10.1007/BF00280883
- 14. Misra A, Chowbey P, Makkar BM, et al.: Consensus statement for diagnosis of obesity, abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome for Asian Indians and recommendations for physical activity, medical and

surgical management. J Assoc Physicians India. 2009, 57:163-70.

- 15. American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in diabetes--2010. Diabetes Care. 2010, 33:11. 10.2337/dc10-S011
- Chatterjee R, Narayan KM, Lipscomb J, Jackson SL, Long Q, Zhu M, Phillips LS: Screening for diabetes and prediabetes should be cost-saving in patients at high risk. Diabetes Care. 2013, 36:1981-7. 10.2337/dc12-1752
- Trinder P: Determination of blood glucose using an oxidase-peroxidase system with a non-carcinogenic chromogen. J Clin Pathol. 1969, 22:158-61.10.1136/jcp.22.2.158
- Mackenzie R, Maxwell N, Castle P, Elliott B, Brickley G, Watt P: Intermittent exercise with and without hypoxia improves insulin sensitivity in individuals with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012, 97:546-55. 10.1210/jc.2011-2829
- Burgomaster KA, Heigenhauser GJ, Gibala MJ: Effect of short-term sprint interval training on human skeletal muscle carbohydrate metabolism during exercise and time-trial performance. J Appl Physiol. 2006, 100:2041-7. 10.1152/japplphysiol.01220.2005
- Ryan BJ, Schleh MW, Ahn C, et al.: Moderate-intensity exercise and high-intensity interval training affect insulin sensitivity similarly in obese adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020, 105:2941-59. 10.1210/clinem/dgaa345
- Campbell WW, Kraus WE, Powell KE, et al.: High-intensity interval training for cardiometabolic disease prevention. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019, 51:1220-6.10.1249/MSS.00000000001934
- Weston KS, Wisløff U, Coombes JS: High-intensity interval training in patients with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2014, 48:1227-34. 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092576
- Gillen JB, Martin BJ, MacInnis MJ, Skelly LE, Tarnopolsky MA, Gibala MJ: Twelve weeks of sprint interval training improves indices of cardiometabolic health similar to traditional endurance training despite a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment. PLoS One. 2016, 0154075-2016. 10.1371/journal.pone.0154075
- Jung ME, Bourne JE, Beauchamp MR, Robinson E, Little JP: High-intensity interval training as an efficacious alternative to moderate-intensity continuous training for adults with prediabetes. J Diabetes Res. 2015:191595. 10.1155/2015/191595