COVID-19-Related Trends and Characteristics of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Metabolic Syndrome

Brittany N. Franco ¹, Shinichi Asano ¹

1. Department of Biomedical Sciences, West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine, Lewisburg, USA

Corresponding author: Brittany N. Franco, bfranco@osteo.wvsom.edu

Review began 12/22/2021

Review ended 01/11/2022

Published 01/21/2022

© Copyright 2022

Franco et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background

Although there have been several studies associating obesity with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outcomes, the potential impact of the pandemic on type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) incidence is less clear. Furthermore, reports on the characteristics of these patients during the pandemic have been scarce.

Objectives

The purpose of this retrospective study was 1) to explore the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on T2DM and MetS incidence, and 2) to describe sex-based differences in the characteristics of T2DM and MetS patients during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

Using electronic health records (EHRs) obtained from the USA-based TriNetX research database (TriNetX, Cambridge, MA), the incidence and the total number of patients with “T2DM (ICD-10-CM: E11)” and “MetS (ICD-10-CM: E88.81)” prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic were determined. Aggregate lab data from EHRs were extracted and statistical analyses on the lab values and patient demographics including sex, race/ethnicity, and comorbidities were performed.

Results

After analyzing T2DM and MetS patient data from 2018, 2019, and 2020, we observed a considerable decrease in both T2DM and MetS incidence, with data in April 2020 exhibiting the largest decrease when compared to other months. Furthermore, monthly male T2DM and MetS patients' lab data revealed worsening parameters in April 2020, such as hemoglobin A1c (HbA1C) and blood glucose, when compared to females. Demographic data during 2020 revealed that male T2DM and MetS patients had a significantly higher prevalence of comorbidities including hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and heart failure, but female T2DM and MetS patients had significantly higher asthma comorbidity.

Conclusions

During 2020, there was a marked decrease in T2DM and MetS diagnosis. Due to a lack of screening, these data may suggest a subsequent increase in T2DM and MetS-related heart disease in the future and may magnify the existing sex-related differences identified in these patients.

Categories: Endocrinology/Diabetes/Metabolism, Public Health, Other

Keywords: blood glucose, hemoglobin a1c, covid pandemic, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes mellitus

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) states that over 1.5 million Americans per year are diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), which is characterized by increased insulin resistance and blood glucose levels, and decreased insulin secretion [1]. Similarly, metabolic syndrome (MetS) is characterized by a group of related disorders including obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, and hypertension [2], and it is estimated that the prevalence of MetS was 34.7% among United States adults based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data from 2011 through 2016 [3]. As a result of the poor diet choices and sedentary lifestyle seen in our society, the number of patients with T2DM and MetS is expected to increase further. Due to the increased risk that both T2DM and MetS patients have for developing cardiovascular disease (CVD), frequent health screening and disease management are critical in the prevention of these major obesity-related life-threatening events such as heart attack and stroke. Furthermore, recent data suggest that sex is an important biological variable in CVD, and that sex and obesity interact to produce either protective or detrimental effects on cardiovascular function [4,5].

How to cite this article

Franco B N, Asano S (January 21, 2022) COVID-19-Related Trends and Characteristics of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Metabolic Syndrome. Cureus 14(1): e21483. DOI 10.7759/cureus.21483

Since the emergence of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the priority of limiting the spread of the virus has superseded the importance of screening for chronic diseases. In fact, a significant decrease in cancer screenings and new diagnoses was reported during the spring of 2020, which may raise concerns about potential increases in advanced stages of cancer diagnosis in the near future [6]. Also, a recent report indicated that patients with chronic diseases are more uncomfortable going to the hospital due to the anxiety of acquiring a COVID-19 infection [7]. Due to the psychological fear of a COVID-19 infection and unfamiliar COVID-19-related protocols in healthcare settings, patients may have been reluctant to make routine visits to their primary care physicians. Throughout 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic had forced the healthcare industry to prioritize the treatment of COVID-19 patients. This had a significant impact not only on clinical practices but also on the perception as to when and why a person should seek medical care.

In an attempt to gain pathophysiological insights into the effects of obesity on COVID-19 infection, there have been numerous studies reporting that obesity is associated with an increase in the incidence and severity of COVID-19 [8-10]. However, the impact of the pandemic on T2DM and MetS diagnosis and screening is less clear. Also, risk stratification by sex and T2DM/MetS characteristics play a key role in the reduction of premature CVD mortality [11], but there is limited information on sex disparities present in COVID-19 pandemic-related T2DM and MetS screenings such as blood glucose and hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C). In light of this, the objective of the current study is 1) to investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on T2DM and MetS incidence, and 2) to describe sex-based differences in the characteristics of T2DM and MetS during the COVID-19 pandemic.

A part of this data was previously presented at the 2021 American Physiological Society “New Trends in Sex and Gender Medicine” Conference on October 19-22, 2021.

Materials And Methods

Data source

Aggregate data from electronic health records (EHRs) were obtained from a subset of healthcare organizations (HCOs) that are associated with the TriNetX research network (TriNetX, Cambridge, MA) as described previously [12-14]. TriNetX is a global federated health research network that provides access to real-time EHR data, including demographics, diagnoses, laboratory results, and vital signs. The HCOs associated with the TriNetX network comprise private practices, community hospitals, and academic medical centers [15]. This particular study included HCOs across the United States. TriNetX has a waiver from the Western Institutional Review Board since only aggregated counts and statistical summaries of de-identified patient information are used and no protected health information is received. This study was also reviewed by the West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine (WVSOM) Institutional Review Board and received a waiver.

Study protocols

We categorized our search primarily into two groups of patients: 1) those presenting to an HCO with the diagnosis of T2DM and 2) those who presented to an HCO with the diagnosis of MetS. The queries for this retrospective study were made using the diagnostic terms: “type 2 diabetes mellitus (ICD-10 CM: E11)” and “metabolic syndrome (ICD-10-CM: E88.81)”. These queries were made during a specified time frame to compare results prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic (e.g., April 1, 2019, to April 30, 2019, vs. April 1, 2020, to April 30, 2020). This process was repeated for each month of 2018, 2019, and 2020. The incidence was described as the number of new diagnoses observed within each time frame. The percentage of changes was calculated by normalizing the data in 2018 from the same month. For the 2020 patient demographic data and monthly lab data, the same procedure was used to select the first diagnosis of T2DM or MetS data using a specific time frame as detailed above.

Data analysis

After patient data were extracted, male and female data were separated, and a comparison was made using TriNetX analytical features. For patients' demographic data including sex, race, and comorbidities, contingency tables were created and the frequencies in the groups were analyzed by the chi-square test using the TriNetX analytical function. The comorbidities listed in Tables 1, 2 were selected because these conditions are often associated with T2DM and MetS patients, and similar analyses had also been utilized in a previous study using the TriNetX database [16]. Extracted lab data were analyzed by unpaired t-tests using GraphPad Prism statistical software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). These data are presented as means and standard deviation (mean $\pm$ SD).

Results

Number of patients with T2DM and incidence

From January to December 2018, the average monthly number of patients with T2DM was $343,768 \pm 12,568$. Similarly, the average monthly number of patients with T2DM was $367,216 \pm 16,866$ in 2019. However, in 2020, the average monthly number of patients with T2DM was $334,538 \pm 32,441$. Specifically, the number of

patients with T2DM was up to 24.1% lower than the 2018 data. Furthermore, there was a 31.9% decline in the number of patients with T2DM noted for the month of April 2020 when compared to April 2019 (379,014 vs. 258,086 in 2019 and 2020, respectively). These data demonstrate that the number of patients with T2DM differed prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Figures 1A, 1B).

From January to December 2018, the average monthly T2DM patient incidence was $41,540 \pm 5,497$. Similarly, the average monthly T2DM patient incidence in 2019 was $38,059 \pm 2,045$. However, in 2020, the average monthly T2DM patient incidence was $31,910 \pm 5,253$. Specifically, T2DM patient incidence was up to 53.6% lower than the 2018 data. These data exhibit the difference in incidence prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Figures 1A, 1C). Taken together, these data suggest that both the total number of patients with T2DM and the first incidence of T2DM patients dramatically decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic. Interestingly, the first incidence of T2DM patients' data appeared to be more affected than existing T2DM patients' data (Figures 1B, 1C).

FIGURE 1: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients seen in a healthcare setting during the COVID-19 pandemic

Panel A: Total number of T2DM patient visits, and first-time T2DM-diagnosis patients (incidence) in 2018, 2019, and 2020. Panel B: Normalized number of patients with T2DM during the pandemic using 2018 counts as a control. Panel C: Normalized T2DM patient incidence during the pandemic using 2018 counts as a control

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019

Number of patients with MetS and incidence

From January to December 2018, the average monthly number of patients with MetS was $4,945 \pm 298$. Similarly, the average monthly number of patients with MetS was $5,144 \pm 393$ in 2019. However, in 2020, the average monthly number of patients with MetS was $4,743 \pm 562$. Specifically, the number of patients with MetS was up to 29.2% lower than the 2018 data. Furthermore, there was a considerable difference in the number of patients with MetS noted for the month of April: 4,891, 5,475, and 3,461 in 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. These data demonstrate that the number of patients with MetS differed prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Figures 2A, 2B).

From January to December 2018, the average monthly MetS patient incidence was $1,573 \pm 183$. Similarly, the average monthly MetS patient incidence in 2019 was $1,451 \pm 136$. However, in 2020, the average monthly MetS patient incidence was $1,304 \pm 219$. Specifically, MetS patient incidence was up to 52.1% lower than the 2018 data. These data exhibit the difference in incidence prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Figures 2A, 2C). Similar to the T2DM data, these data suggest that both the number of patients with MetS and the first incidence of MetS patients dramatically decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic. Interestingly, the first incidence of MetS patients' data also appeared to be more affected than the number of patients with MetS data (Figure 2B, 2C).

FIGURE 2: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) patients seen in a healthcare setting during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Panel A: Total number of MetS patient visits, and first-time MetS-diagnosis patients (incidence) in 2018, 2019, and 2020. Panel B: Normalized number of MetS patients during the pandemic using 2018 counts as a control. Panel C: Normalized MetS patient incidence during the pandemic using 2018 counts as a control

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019

2020 T2DM patient demographics

Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients are described in Table 1. Demographic data during 2020 revealed a total of 377,809 T2DM patients with a statistically higher number of male T2DM patients who self-identified as white compared to female T2DM patients (male vs. female: 63% vs. 59%, $p < 0.001$). Contrastingly, there was a significantly lower number of male T2DM patients who self-identified as black compared to T2DM females (male vs. female: 16% vs. 21%, $p < 0.001$). Additionally, male T2DM patients had a significantly higher prevalence of comorbidities including hypertension (male vs. female: 66% vs. 64%, $p < 0.001$), ischemic heart disease (male vs. female: 27% vs. 17%, $p < 0.001$), and heart failure (male vs. female: 14% vs. 11%, $p < 0.001$) as described in Table 1. On the other hand, female T2DM patients had significantly higher asthma comorbidity (male vs. female: 6% vs. 12%, $p < 0.001$).

T2DM incidence, January-December 2020				
	Diagnosis	Male	Female	P-value
Number of patients (n)		193,963	183,846	
Age in years, mean $\pm$ SD		61.1 $\pm$ 14.8	60.4 $\pm$ 16.2	<0.0001
Race				
White (%)		63	59	<0.0001
Black or African American (%)		16	21	<0.0001
Unknown (%)		17	16	<0.0001
Asian (%)		3	3	0.9683
American Indian or Alaskan Native (%)		1	1	0.104
Comorbidities				
Hypertension (%)	I10	66	64	<0.0001
Ischemic heart disease (%)	I20-I25	27	17	<0.0001
Chronic kidney disease (%)	N18	17	14	<0.0001
Acute kidney failure (%)	N17	15	10	<0.0001
Heart failure (%)	I50	14	11	<0.0001
Atrial fibrillation and flutter (%)	I48	12	8	<0.0001
COPD (%)	J44	9	9	0.8492
Asthma (%)	J45	6	12	<0.0001
Overweight and obesity (%)	E66	26	33	<0.0001

TABLE 1: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patient demographics from January to December 2020

The frequencies in the groups were analyzed by the chi-squared test; the entries I10, N18, etc. represent the ICD-10 diagnostic codes

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision; SD: standard deviation

2020 MetS patient demographics

Similarly, demographic data during 2020 revealed a total of 15,841 MetS patients, with a statistically higher white population of males compared to female patients (male vs. female: 70% vs. 64%, $p < 0.001$). Contrastingly, there was a significantly lower African American male population compared to African American females (male vs. female: 13% vs. 20%, $p < 0.001$). Male MetS patients also had a significantly higher prevalence of comorbidities including hypertension (male vs. female: 66% vs. 51%, $p < 0.001$), ischemic heart disease (male vs. female: 26% vs. 12%, $p < 0.001$), and heart failure (male vs. female: 13% vs. 7%, $p < 0.001$) as described in Table 2. On the other hand, female MetS patients had significantly higher asthma comorbidity (male vs. female: 13% vs. 21%, $p < 0.001$).

MetS incidence, January-December 2020				
	Diagnosis	Male	Female	P-value
Number of patients (n)		5,819	10,022	
Age in years, mean $\pm$ SD		51.4 $\pm$ 18.8	46.4 $\pm$ 18.2	<0.0001
Race				
White (%)		70	64	<0.0001
Black or African American (%)		13	20	<0.0001
Unknown (%)		14	13	0.0616
Asian (%)		2	2	0.3245
American Indian or Alaskan Native (%)		1	1	0.1654
Comorbidities				
Hypertension (%)	I10	66	51	<0.0001
Ischemic heart disease (%)	I20-I25	26	12	<0.0001
Chronic kidney disease (%)	N18	17	9	<0.0001
Acute kidney failure (%)	N17	16	7	<0.0001
Heart failure (%)	I50	13	7	<0.0001
Atrial fibrillation and flutter (%)	I48	11	5	<0.0001
COPD (%)	J44	9	7	<0.0001
Asthma (%)	J45	13	21	<0.0001
Overweight and obesity (%)	E66	60	65	<0.0001

TABLE 2: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) patient demographics from January to December 2020

The frequencies in the groups were analyzed by the chi-squared test; the entries I10, N18, etc. represent the ICD-10 diagnostic codes

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision; SD: standard deviation

2020 T2DM and MetS lab values

To explore the severity of T2DM and MetS between males and females, we compared HbA1C and blood glucose lab values from January to December 2020 (Figure 3). Given that April 2020 showed the most significant decline in T2DM and MetS incidence, we examined the corresponding HbA1C and blood glucose lab values for that patient cohort. Our analysis displayed a marked increase in severity for the month of April 2020 in both T2DM and MetS patients (Figure 4). The mean HbA1C and blood glucose values were significantly higher in the male T2DM patients compared with the female T2DM patients (HbA1C: male: 7.59 $\pm$ 2.24 vs. female: 7.27 $\pm$ 2, $p < 0.001$; glucose: male: 152 $\pm$ 79.4 vs. female: 144 $\pm$ 71.7, $p < 0.001$). The same trend was observed in MetS patients.

FIGURE 3: HbA1C and blood glucose values of male vs. female type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) patients from January to December 2020

Panel A: Elevated HbA1C in male T2DM patients (n=106,655) compared to female T2DM patients (n=102,907) from January to December 2020. Panel B: Elevated blood glucose in male T2DM patients (n=143,914) compared to female T2DM patients (n=138,151) from January to December 2020. Panel C: Elevated HbA1C in male MetS patients (n=4,111) compared to female MetS patients (n=7,189) from January to December 2020. Panel D: Elevated blood glucose in male MetS patients (n=5,003) compared to female MetS patients (n=8,500) from January to December 2020

Error bars indicate standard deviation. *Indicates significant differences between male vs. female by an unpaired t-test, p<0.01

HbA1C: hemoglobin A1c

FIGURE 4: HbA1C and blood glucose values of male vs. female type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) patients in April 2020

Panel A: Elevated HbA1C in male T2DM patients (n=6,049) compared to female T2DM patients (n=5,339) in April 2020. Panel B: Elevated blood glucose in male T2DM patients (n=8,219) compared to female T2DM patients (n=7,313) in April 2020. Panel C: Elevated HbA1C in male MetS patients (n=230) compared to female MetS patients (n=374) in April 2020. Panel D: Elevated blood glucose in male MetS patients (n=275) compared to female MetS patients (n=445) in April 2020

Error bars indicate standard deviation. *Indicates significant differences between males vs. females by an unpaired t-test, p<0.01

HbA1C: hemoglobin A1c

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to explore if the COVID-19 pandemic affects the incidence of T2DM and MetS and to describe the characteristics of these patients during the ongoing pandemic. Although the majority of previous studies have suggested that the characteristics of T2DM and MetS such as obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia are associated with an increase in the incidence and severity of COVID-19 [8-10], there is little information in the literature to indicate that the diagnosis of T2DM and MetS was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. In this study, we described the disparate phenomena of both the incidence and the total number of T2DM and MetS patients in 2020 compared to the two previous years (2018 and 2019). Specifically, in our analysis, there was a noticeable decrease in new T2DM diagnosis, as well as the total number of T2DM patients, with April 2020 showing a 54% decrease in incidence and a 24% decrease in the total number of T2DM patients compared to April 2018 (Figure 1). Similarly, there was a marked decrease in new MetS diagnosis, as well as the total number of patients with MetS in April 2020 (Figure 2). These trends are consistent with a recent cancer diagnosis study that described significant declines in both existing and new incidence of different types of cancer from January 2020 to April

2020 when compared to January 2019 to April 2019 [6]. Thus, our data provide additional evidence that COVID-19 not only caused a health crisis by direct infection, but also by the indirect effects of the pandemic. Interestingly, we did not observe any noticeable rebound or increase in T2DM and MetS incidence throughout the rest of 2020. Currently, it is unclear if these declines in newly diagnosed T2DM and MetS patients we observed were due to physician burn-out, lack of healthcare providers, fear of visiting a hospital, or some other factors, and hence warrant further investigation. Also, whether these pandemic-related declines in screening and diagnoses of T2DM and MetS patients will affect the incidences of T2DM associated life-threatening cardiovascular events in the future is difficult to predict. Further studies are needed to closely monitor these populations who are at considerable risk of developing CVD in the future.

It is clear that due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of T2DM and MetS diagnoses in 2020 differed from the previous years; moreover, another interesting finding of our analyses was the differing severity of T2DM and MetS patients between males and females from January to December 2020 (Figure 3). Specifically, April 2020 data showed that males exhibited worsening HbA1C and blood glucose values among both T2DM and MetS patients (Figure 4). Of particular interest is our finding that MetS patient demographics in 2020 appeared to be different according to sex. Specifically, cardiovascular-related comorbidities such as hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and heart failure were significantly more frequent in male patients than female patients. Our analysis also revealed the racial disparity in both T2DM and MetS patients with the white male population being significantly higher when compared to female patients, whereas the opposite trend was seen with the African American population. Although sex is recognized as a critical biological variable for obesity-related CVD [4,5], these sex and racial disparities present in 2020 T2DM and MetS patients may magnify the existing sex differences in heart attack and stroke risk in the future. This also emphasizes the importance of closely monitoring future T2DM and MetS patients and minimizing the effect that the COVID-19 pandemic has on the screening and treatment of these patients.

Limitations

Although TriNetX obtains aggregate data from millions of patients, there are patients who have been seen in other HCOs that are not within the TriNetX research network. Also, our data subscription would not allow us to perform a fully adjusted descriptive statistical analysis. Thus, these data and our analysis should not be simply generalized to the wider population; however, the data samplings cover over 40 HCOs rather than a single HCO, and the data extraction methods such as timely obtained EHRs are consistent.

Conclusions

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a substantial impact on individuals and healthcare systems worldwide. We described a significant decrease in T2DM and MetS incidence during the pandemic, which may suggest the possibility of a subsequent increase in the diagnosis of T2DM and MetS-related heart disease. This clear decline in T2DM and MetS incidence is most likely a multifactorial process, but the repercussions of it may be observed in the future.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Issued approval N/A. TriNetX has a waiver from the Western Institutional Review Board since only aggregated counts and statistical summaries of de-identified patient information are used and no protected health information is received. This study was also reviewed by the West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine (WVSOM) Institutional Review Board and received a waiver. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine Intramural grant. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official view of the West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine.

References

1. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2020. (2020). Accessed: August 28, 2020: <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/data/statistics-report/index.html>.

2. Hirode G, Wong RJ: Trends in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the United States, 2011-2016 . JAMA. 2020, 323:2526-8. [10.1001/jama.2020.4501](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4501)
3. Rochlani Y, Pothineni NV, Kovelamudi S, Mehta JL: Metabolic syndrome: pathophysiology, management, and modulation by natural compounds. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis. 2017, 11:215-25. [10.1177/1753944717711379](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753944717711379)
4. Regensteiner JG, Golden S, Huebschmann AG, et al.: Sex differences in the cardiovascular consequences of diabetes mellitus: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015, 132:2424-47. [10.1161/CIR.0000000000000343](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000343)
5. Wright AK, Kontopantelis E, Emsley R, et al.: Cardiovascular risk and risk factor management in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Circulation. 2019, 139:2742-53. [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.039100](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.039100)
6. London JW, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Palchuk MB, Sankey P, McNair C: Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer-related patient encounters. JCO Clin Cancer Inform. 2020, 4:657-65. [10.1200/CCI.20.00068](https://doi.org/10.1200/CCI.20.00068)
7. Kaya Y, Bostan S, Kaya A, Karaman Ö, Karataş A, Dereli S: Effect of COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety depression and intention to go to hospital in chronic patients. Int J Clin Pract. 2021, 75:e14219. [10.1111/ijcp.14219](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.14219)
8. Simonnet A, Chetboun M, Poissy J, et al.: High prevalence of obesity in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) requiring invasive mechanical ventilation. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020, 28:1195-9. [10.1002/oby.22831](https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22831)
9. Higham A, Singh D: Increased ACE2 expression in bronchial epithelium of COPD patients who are overweight. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020, 28:1586-9. [10.1002/oby.22907](https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22907)
10. Aghili SM, Ebrahimipur M, Arjmand B, et al.: Obesity in COVID-19 era, implications for mechanisms, comorbidities, and prognosis: a review and meta-analysis. Int J Obes (Lond). 2021, 45:998-1016. [10.1038/s41366-021-00776-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-021-00776-8)
11. Roth GA, Nguyen G, Forouzanfar MH, Mokdad AH, Naghavi M, Murray CJ: Estimates of global and regional premature cardiovascular mortality in 2025. Circulation. 2015, 132:1270-82. [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.016021](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.016021)
12. Nalleballe K, Siddamreddy S, Sheng S, et al.: Coronavirus disease 2019 in patients with prior ischemic stroke. Cureus. 2020, 12:e10231. [10.7759/cureus.10231](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.10231)
13. Miles B, Mackey JD: Increased risk of second primary malignancy and mortality at ten years after stem cell transplant for multiple myeloma: an analysis of 14,532 patients. Cureus. 2021, 13:e16372. [10.7759/cureus.16372](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.16372)
14. Nalleballe K, Reddy Onteddu S, Sharma R, et al.: Spectrum of neuropsychiatric manifestations in COVID-19. Brain Behav Immun. 2020, 88:71-4. [10.1016/j.bbi.2020.06.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.06.020)
15. Stapff MP: Using real world data to assess cardiovascular outcomes of two antidiabetic treatment classes . World J Diabetes. 2018, 9:252-7. [10.4239/wjd.v9.i12.252](https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v9.i12.252)
16. Hallan DR: Obesity and mechanical thrombectomy. Cureus. 2021, 13:e12671. [10.7759/cureus.12671](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.12671)