Review began 10/17/2021
Review ended 11/04/2021
Published 11/11/2021

© Copyright 2021
Uncu Ulu et al. This is an open access
article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution License CC-
BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use,
distribution, and reproduction in any
medium, provided the original author and
source are credited.

Does Total Body Irradiation Have a Favorable Impact on Thrombocyte Engraftment as per Neutrophil Engraftment in Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation?

Bahar Uncu Ulu¹, Tuğçe Nur Yiğenoğlu¹, Derya Şahin¹, Semih Başcı¹, Dicle İskender¹, Yasemin Adaş², Ebru Atasever Akkaş², Tuba Hacıbekiroğlu³, Merih Kızıl çakar¹, Mehmet Sinan DAL¹, Fevzi Altuntaş^{1,4}

1. Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Ankara Oncology Training and Research Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Ankara, TUR 2. Radiation Oncology, Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Ankara Oncology Training and Research Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Ankara, TUR 3. Hematology, Sakarya University Hospital, Sakarya, TUR 4. Department of Hematology, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, School of Medicine, Ankara, TUR

Corresponding author: Bahar Uncu Ulu, baharuncu@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: In this study, we aim to analyze the effect of total body irradiation (TBI) on neutrophil and thrombocyte engraftment durations in acute leukemia (AL) patients who achieved allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Allo-SCT) at our center.

Methods: The data of 193 acute leukemia patients who were performed Allo-SCT from matched-related donors were analyzed retrospectively.

Results: Thrombocyte engraftment duration was statistically shorter (12 days) in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) patients who received TBI-based conditioning when compared to ALL patients who received non-TBI-based conditioning (14 days; $p=0.037$). On the other hand, no statistically significant difference was observed between acute leukemia patients who received TBI or non-TBI-based conditioning regarding neutrophil engraftment duration.

Conclusion: We found that TBI had a favorable impact on thrombocyte engraftment (TE) rather than neutrophil engraftment (NE) in Allo-SCT in patients with acute leukemia. TBI might have an impact on the engraftment of thrombocytes as per than neutrophils may be attributed to immune mechanisms and microenvironment in the patient's bone marrow (BM).

Categories: Radiation Oncology, Transplantation, Hematology

Keywords: acute leukemia, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, neutrophil engraftment, thrombocyte engraftment, total body irradiation

Introduction

Acute leukemias (AL) are hematological malignancies characterized by abnormal proliferation of blasts caused by hematopoietic myeloid or lymphoid precursors or both. In adults, the most frequently seen AL type is acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and it has an incidence of 5-8/100.000 [1,2]. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is the most frequently seen AL type in children. In adults, it has an incidence of 1.28/100.000 and it is less commonly observed compared to AML [3].

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Allo-SCT) is used as a curative treatment in patients with relapsed, refractory, or high-risk acute leukemia [4-6]. After the infusion, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) settle in the bone marrow (BM) niche where they can find optimal conditions to survive and proliferate [7]. The goal for successful engraftment is to provide long-term effective hematopoiesis and produce all hematopoietic cell subsets [8]. Previous studies revealed that graft failure is associated with worse survival compared to sustained engraftment of donor cells [8,9]. Several risk factors have been identified for graft failure that may be related to the patient, the donor, or the transplant procedure [10].

Total body irradiation (TBI) has been used in Allo-SCT for almost 50 years [11]. It shows that TBI could eradicate resistant malignant cells effectively in the bone marrow and reduce tumor burden even at extranodal sites such as the central nervous system and testis where chemotherapy is relatively ineffective [12]. Total body irradiation damages HSCs in the BM and provides elimination of lymphocytes to prevent the donor's HSCs rejection and ensure the physical space for hematopoietic cell engraftment [13]. However, TBI is associated with a wide variety of side effects, including heart, lung, and kidney complications, cataracts, new malignancies, and an increased risk of developing endocrinopathies [14,15]. On the other hand, TBI has immunosuppressive effects and may prevent graft rejection [16]. In recent years, the strategies of HSCs

How to cite this article

Uncu Ulu B, Yiğenoğlu T, Şahin D, et al. (November 11, 2021) Does Total Body Irradiation Have a Favorable Impact on Thrombocyte Engraftment as per Neutrophil Engraftment in Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation?. Cureus 13(11): e19462. DOI 10.7759/cureus.19462

transplantation have changed, including the intensity of the conditioning regimens, prophylaxis of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), donor selection, prophylactic strategies during febrile neutropenia, and supportive care. Therefore, engraftment success seems to be affected by changing strategies.

As there is an association between engraftment and survival, it is very important to find out all the factors related to engraftment failure. In this study, we aimed to analyze the effect of TBI on neutrophil and thrombocyte engraftment durations in AL patients who were performed Allo-SCT at our center.

Materials And Methods

Patients

The data of AL patients who were performed Allo-SCT from their Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) - 9/10 or 10/10 matched related donors between December 2009 and December 2018 - were analyzed retrospectively. The patients whose AL were diagnosed with the examination of the morphological findings of BM aspirates and flow cytometry or immune histochemical analysis, and who were over the age of 18 years were included in the study. The data regarding the gender, age, AL type, conditioning regimen, donor characteristics, and engraftment durations were retrospectively analyzed. HLA evaluation was performed with a high-resolution method of HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, and HLA-DRB1. The matched donor was defined as the donor matched at high resolution for HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, and HLA-DRB1 (HLA-10/10 matched or 9/10 matched). Peripheral blood (PB) derived HSCs were used in all Allo-SCTs. Haploidentical transplantations and transplantations from unrelated donors were excluded.

Engraftment durations

The duration between the date of stem cell infusion and the date when the absolute neutrophil count (ANC) was $>500/\text{mm}^3$ and thrombocyte count was $>20,000/\text{mm}^3$ for three consecutive days without any support were defined as neutrophil engraftment (NE) and thrombocyte engraftment (TE), retrospectively [17].

Total body irradiation

Patients received radiation therapy with bilateral parallel opposing fields and 6-MV photons in ELECTA Synergy Platform Linear Accelerator. Patients were immobilized in the supine position. The manual treatment planning was delivered; 372 cm source-to-axis distance and $40 \times 40 \text{ cm}^2$ field size with 90° gantry and 45° collimator angle was used for treatment. To measure the radiation doses, Mosfet in vitro dosimetry was applied to the head, neck, chest, and umbilicus. Tissue equivalent material was used to decrease the dose of lung, neck, and head to the planning region. One fraction of the treatment was approximately 30 minutes, and a minimum of a six-hour break was given between two fractions. Eight gray TBI was given in twice-daily fraction for two days (2×2 Gray/day for two days) and 12 gray TBI was given twice-daily fraction for three days (2×2 Gray/day for three days).

Statistical analyses

For statistical analysis, IBM SPSS Statistics (version 21, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) software was used. Categorical data were expressed as a ratio, and numerical data were expressed as a median and a mean $\pm$ standard deviation. The differences between neutrophil and platelet engraftment times across age groups were examined by the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test.

Ethics

The trial was conducted following the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The Ethical Committee approved the study protocol of the Coordinating Center of Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Ankara Oncology Training and Research Hospital (2020-06/663).

Results

One hundred and ninety-three patients with AL were included in the study. Seventy-two patients received TBI-based conditioning regimens whereas 121 patients received non-TBI-based conditioning regimens. There were 106 AML patients and 87 ALL patients. Nearly 83% of the patients received TBI-based conditioning in ALL patients whereas 17% of the ALL patients had non-TBI-based conditioning regimens. All AML patients received a non-TBI-based conditioning regimen, none of the AML patients received a TBI-based regimen. Characteristics of ALL and AML patients were given in Table 1. When the gender ratio and the median ages were compared, ALL and AML groups were similarly distributed ($p=0.8$ and $p=0.7$, respectively). Regarding infused $\text{CD}34^+$ cell numbers, there was no statistical difference between ALL and AML patients ($p=0.4$). No statistical difference was found between AML and ALL patients regarding median NE duration (15 days, $p=0.9$). Thrombocyte engraftment duration was statistically significantly shorter in ALL patients (12 days) compared to AML patients (14 days; $p=0.001$).

	ALL (n=87)	AML (n=106)	p-value
Gender (female/male; n)	31/56	36/70	0.8
Median age (years)	32 (18–59)	34 (18–62)	0.7
Conditioning regimen			
Non-TBI-based (n=121)	CY-BU (n=5) FLU-BU-ATG (n=10)	CY-BU-ATG (n=64) BU-FLU-ATG (n=42)	N/A
TBI-based (n=72)	CY-TBI (12 Gy) (n=55) CY-TBI (8 Gy) (n=7) FLU-ATG-TBI (12 Gy) (n=10)		N/A
Median CD34+ cell number infused	7.2×10 ⁶ /kg (2.94–14.86)	6.8×10 ⁶ /kg (2–10.28)	0.4
Median neutrophil engraftment duration (days)	15 (10–30)	15 (10–43)	0.9
Median thrombocyte engraftment duration (days)	12 (9–27)	14 (10–84)	0.001

TABLE 1: Characteristics of acute leukemia patients

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; CY-BU: cyclophosphamide busulfan; FLU-BU-ATG: fludarabine busulfan antitimosit globulin; CY-TBI: cyclophosphamide total body irradiation; FLU-ATG-TBI: fludarabine antitimosit globulin total body irradiation; BU-FLU-ATG: busulfan fludarabine antitimosit globulin; CY-BU-ATG: cyclophosphamide busulfan antitimosit globulin, N/A: not applicable

The median engraftment durations in all patients according to their conditioning regimens were given in Table 2 and Figure 1. Thrombocyte engraftment duration was significantly shorter in all cohorts who received TBI-based conditioning (12 days; range 9–27 days) comparing non-TBI-based conditioning (14 days; range 10–84; p=0.007), on the other hand, no statistically significant difference was observed in all cohort who received non-TBI-based conditioning (15 days, range 10–43 days) or TBI-based conditioning regarding NE duration (15 days, range 10–30 days; p=0.03). Since the number of ALL patients who received 8 Gy TBI was lower than those who received 12 Gy TBI, a comparison was not made between the two groups.

	All patients		p-value
Conditioning regimen	TBI based	Non-TBI based	
Median neutrophil engraftment duration (days)	15 (10–30)	15 (10–43)	0.3
Median thrombocyte engraftment duration (days)	12 (9–27)	14 (10–84)	0.007

TABLE 2: Median engraftment durations in all cohorts according to their conditioning regimens

TBI: total body irradiation

FIGURE 1: Summary of engraftment durations

Allo-SCT: allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, TBI: total body irradiation

Discussion

Total body irradiation has an important role in the conditioning regimen for hematological malignancies such as acute leukemia and lymphomas. Especially, ALL is considered the ideal hematologic malignancy for which TBI-based conditioning for allo-SCT. In studies on TBI-based conditioning regimens, most evaluations were made on long-term transplantation results [13]. In the current study, we examined the effect of a transplantation conditioning regimen on bone marrow engraftment duration in a shorter time for Allo-SCT. We observed that thrombocyte engraftment occurred earlier than neutrophil engraftment in patients who received TBI-based conditioning, especially ALL patients. TBI-based conditioning regimens had a favorable impact on the recipient's bone marrow microenvironment and precursor cells in the bone marrow, especially megakaryocytes, thus early thrombocyte engraftment occurred. However, myeloid cytotoxic conditioning regimens or TBI-based treatment regimens had a similar effect on neutrophil engraftment in Allo-SCT. The reason that TBI had an impact on the engraftment of thrombocytes but not neutrophils may be attributed to immune mechanisms which affect more TE than NE as TBI suppresses recipient-side immunity.

TBI has many advantages such as a uniform effect on the whole body and causes decreased exposure to cytotoxic chemotherapeutic regimens. To deplete patients' HSCs in BM, ionizing irradiation has been used in Allo-SCT [18,19]. TBI damages the DNA of cells and inhibits their proliferation [20,21]. The TBI reduces tumor burden and provides an immunosuppressive effect and depletes the BM to allow enough space for the engraftment of healthy donor cells. The immunosuppressive effect of TBI on the host immune system minimizes the risk of engraftment failure [22-24]. A high dose of TBI has been reported to cause organ toxicities [11,16,25-28]. Because of this, researchers have tried strategies, including non-TBI-based or reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens. In previous studies, because of insufficient immunosuppression, non-TBI-based conditioning regimens or RIC were found to be associated with a higher risk of graft failure in Allo-SCTs which were performed from unrelated or HLA-mismatched donors [29-32]. Contrary to this; in the study conducted by Nakasone et al. in unrelated Allo-SCT cohorts, if an HLA-mismatched donor was selected, TBI did not have a favorable impact on NE [16]. In the current study, we evaluated only transplants from matched-related donors, excluding the negative effects of unrelated donors or haploidentical transplants.

In a previous study, a high-TBI-myeloablative conditioning regimen was found to be associated with delayed NE in the matched unrelated cohort and this was attributed to the damage of the BM environment due to high dose [33]. In our study, no statistically significant difference was observed between AL patients who received non-TBI-based conditioning or TBI-based conditioning regarding NE duration. On the other hand, TE duration was statistically significantly shorter in AL patients who received TBI-based conditioning when compared to those who received non-TBI-based conditioning. Patients are mostly supported by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) agents for the shortened neutrophil engraftment duration, however, there is no standardized supportive approach for the delayed thrombocyte engraftment except thrombocyte transfusion. It is important to note that delayed thrombocyte engraftment has shown a risk factor for higher non-relapse mortality and worse overall survival [34].

Due to the retrospective and single-center design of the study, our study has some limitations. One of the study limitations is the limited number of patients recruited in our study. The other conditioning regimens were not standardized in the groups. We focused on the acute effects as engraftment duration mostly after

Allo-SCT, we did not evaluate long-term graft failure. Nevertheless, the effect on engraftment duration with TBI-based conditioning is very limited in the literature. We concluded that TBI-based conditioning could provide a shorter thrombocyte engraftment duration than neutrophil.

In summary, we found that TBI had a favorable impact on TE but not NE in matched related Allo-SCT. TBI-based conditioning suppresses recipient-side immunity before transplantation. The reason that TBI had an impact on the engraftment of thrombocytes compared to neutrophils may be attributed to immune mechanisms and microenvironment in the patient's bone marrow.

Conclusions

We analyzed the effect of TBI on neutrophil and thrombocyte engraftment durations in acute leukemia patients who were performed Allo-SCT. The conclusion that TBI had a favorable impact on thrombocyte engraftment rather than neutrophil engraftment in Allo-SCT in patients with acute leukemia, especially ALL patients, be supported by future multicenter studies with more patients.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. The Ethical Committee approved the study protocol of the Coordinating Center of Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Ankara Oncology Training and Research Hospital issued approval 2020-06/663. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Fey MF, Buske C: Acute myeloblastic leukaemias in adult patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2013, 24 Suppl 6:vi138-43. [10.1093/annonc/mdt320](#)
2. De Kouchkovsky I, Abdul-Hay M: 'Acute myeloid leukemia: a comprehensive review and 2016 update'. *Blood Cancer J.* 2016, 6:e441. [10.1038/bcj.2016.50](#)
3. Hoelzer D, Bassan R, Dombret H, Fielding A, Ribera JM, Buske C: Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in adult patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2016, 27:v69-82. [10.1093/annonc/mdw025](#)
4. Peters C, Schrauder A, Schrappe M, et al.: Allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: the BFM/IBFM/EBMT concepts. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2005, 35 Suppl 1:S9-11. [10.1038/sj.bmt.1704835](#)
5. Mehta PA, Davies SM: Allogeneic transplantation for childhood ALL. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2008, 41:133-9. [10.1038/sj.bmt.1705914](#)
6. Stiff PJ: Management strategies for the hard-to-mobilize patient. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 1999, 23 Suppl 2:S29-33. [10.1038/sj.bmt.1701671](#)
7. Servais S, Beguin Y, Baron F: Emerging drugs for prevention of graft failure after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs.* 2013, 18:173-92. [10.1517/14728214.2013.798642](#)
8. Locatelli F, Lucarelli B, Merli P: Current and future approaches to treat graft failure after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Expert Opin Pharmacother.* 2014, 15:23-36. [10.1517/14656566.2014.852537](#)
9. Olsson R, Remberger M, Schaffer M, Berggren DM, Svahn BM, Mattsson J, Ringden O: Graft failure in the modern era of allogeneic hematopoietic SCT. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2013, 48:537-43. [10.1038/bmt.2012.239](#)
10. Olsson RF, Logan BR, Chaudhury S, et al.: Primary graft failure after myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for hematologic malignancies. *Leukemia.* 2015, 29:1754-62. [10.1038/leu.2015.75](#)
11. Gyurkocza B, Sandmaier BM: Conditioning regimens for hematopoietic cell transplantation: one size does not fit all. *Blood.* 2014, 124:344-53. [10.1182/blood-2014-02-514778](#)
12. Paix A, Antoni D, Waissi W, Ledoux MP, Bilger K, Fornecker L, Noel G: Total body irradiation in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation conditioning regimens: A review. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2018, 123:138-48. [10.1016/j.critrevonc.2018.01.011](#)
13. Jung J, Lee H, Suh YG, Eom HS, Lee E: Current use of total body irradiation in haploidentical allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2021, 36:e55. [10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e55](#)
14. Chemaitilly W, Boulad F, Oeffinger KC, Sklar CA: Disorders of glucose homeostasis in young adults treated with total body irradiation during childhood: a pilot study. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2009, 44:339-43. [10.1038/bmt.2009.40](#)
15. Künkele A, Engelhard M, Hauffa BP, et al.: Long-term follow-up of pediatric patients receiving total body irradiation before hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and post-transplant survival of >2 years. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2013, 60:1792-7. [10.1002/pbc.24702](#)
16. Nakasone H, Fuji S, Yakushijin K, et al.: Impact of total body irradiation on successful neutrophil

- engraftment in unrelated bone marrow or cord blood transplantation. *Am J Hematol*. 2017, 92:171-8. [10.1002/ajh.24613](#)
17. Rihn C, Cilley J, Naik P, Pedicano AV, Mehta J: Definition of myeloid engraftment after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica*. 2004, 89:763-4.
 18. Wagner JE, Huff JL, Rust WL, Kingsley K, Plopper GE: Perillyl alcohol inhibits breast cell migration without affecting cell adhesion. *J Biomed Biotechnol*. 2002, 2:136-40. [10.1155/S1110724302207020](#)
 19. Delaney G, Jacob S, Barton M: Estimation of an optimal external beam radiotherapy utilization rate for head and neck carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2005, 103:2216-27. [10.1002/cncr.21084](#)
 20. Jackson SP, Bartek J: The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. *Nature*. 2009, 461:1071-8. [10.1038/nature08467](#)
 21. Cvancarova M, Samuelsen SO, Magelssen H, Fosså SD: Reproduction rates after cancer treatment: experience from the Norwegian radium hospital. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009, 27:334-43. [10.1200/JCO.2007.15.3130](#)
 22. Torres JL, Bross DS, Lam WC, Wharam MD, Santos GW, Order SE: Risk factors in interstitial pneumonitis following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1982, 8:1301-7. [10.1016/0360-3016\(82\)90579-X](#)
 23. Kader HA, Khanna S, Hutchinson RM, Aukett RJ, Archer J: Pulmonary complications of bone marrow transplantation: the impact of variations in total body irradiation parameters. *Clin Oncol*. 1994, 6:96-101. [10.1016/S0936-6555\(05\)80111-6](#)
 24. Cardozo BL, Zoetelief H, van Bekkum DW, Zurcher C, Hagenbeek A: Lung damage following bone marrow transplantation: I. The contribution of irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1985, 11:907-14. [10.1016/0360-3016\(85\)90112-9](#)
 25. Rapoport AP, Miller Watelet LF, Linder T, et al.: Analysis of factors that correlate with mucositis in recipients of autologous and allogeneic stem-cell transplants. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999, 17:2446-53. [10.1200/JCO.1999.17.8.2446](#)
 26. Hill GR, Ferrara JL: The primacy of the gastrointestinal tract as a target organ of acute graft-versus-host disease: rationale for the use of cytokine shields in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Blood*. 2000, 95:2754-2759. [10.1182/blood.V95.9.2754.009k25_2754_2759](#)
 27. Hill GR, Crawford JM, Cooke KR, Brinson YS, Pan L, Ferrara JL: Total body irradiation and acute graft-versus-host disease: the role of gastrointestinal damage and inflammatory. *Blood*. 1997, 90:3204-3213. [10.1182/blood.V90.8.3204](#)
 28. Clift RA, Buckner CD, Appelbaum FR, et al.: Allogeneic marrow transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first remission: a randomized trial of two irradiation regimens. *Blood*. 1990, 76:1867-1871. [10.1182/blood.V76.9.1867.1867](#)
 29. Shaw BE, Russell NH, Devereux S, et al.: The impact of donor factors on primary non-engraftment in recipients of reduced intensity conditioned transplants from unrelated donors. *Haematologica*. 2005, 90:1562-1569.
 30. Topolsky D, Crilley P, Styler MJ, Bulova S, Brodsky I, Marks DI: Unrelated donor bone marrow transplantation without T cell depletion using a chemotherapy only condition regimen. Low incidence of failed engraftment and severe acute GVHD. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1996, 17:549-54.
 31. Mehta J, Powles RL, Mitchell P, Rege K, De Lord C, Treleaven J: Graft failure after bone marrow transplantation from unrelated donors using busulphan and cyclophosphamide for conditioning. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1994, 13:583-7.
 32. Schultz KR, Ratanatharathorn V, Abella E, et al.: Graft failure in children receiving HLA-mismatched marrow transplants with busulfan-containing regimens. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1994, 13:817-22.
 33. Wilke C, Holtan SG, Sharkey L, et al.: Marrow damage and hematopoietic recovery following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation for acute leukemias: effect of radiation dose and conditioning regimen. *Radiation Oncol*. 2016, 11:65-71. [10.1016/j.radonc.2015.11.012](#)
 34. Kanamori H, Aoki J, Ogusa E, et al.: Prognostic impact of delayed platelet engraftment after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for hematological malignancy. *Blood*. 2016, 128:3407. [10.1182/blood.V128.22.3407.3407](#)