In Vitro Fertilization in a Nulliparous Female Resulting in Placenta Increta and Postpartum Hemorrhage

Review began 08/21/2021
Review ended 09/13/2021
Published 09/17/2021

© Copyright 2021

Kassas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Juliette M. Kassas¹, Lauren M. Blue², Carol A. Brenner¹

1. Osteopathic Medicine, University of New England, Biddeford, USA 2. Obstetrics and Gynecology, Speare Memorial Hospital, Plymouth, USA

Corresponding author: Juliette M. Kassas, jkassas@gmail.com

Abstract

A 32-year-old female with unexplained infertility delivered a healthy male infant at 39 weeks 0 days gestational age; the pregnancy was facilitated by in vitro fertilization. Shortly after delivery, she was found to have a morbidly adherent placenta. Attempted removal resulted in postpartum hemorrhage and ultimately hysterectomy after attempting multiple fertility preserving methods to achieve hemostatic control. Pathology results revealed a diagnosis of a 0.1 cm placenta increta (Grade 2 placental villi invasion), the least common diagnosis within the placenta accreta spectrum (PAS). Likely due to the small point of trophoblastic invasion, the diagnosis and outcome were not foreseen. This case highlights the need for additional data collection and development of standardized guidelines for the diagnosis and management of PAS, given a patient's risk factors. Current research may be limited by stigmatization surrounding infertility and reproductive-altering surgeries (e.g. hysterectomy). Additionally, counseling in all stages of pregnancy is critical to achieving the best patient-centered outcomes.

Categories: Family/General Practice, Obstetrics/Gynecology, Pathology

Keywords: placenta accreta spectrum, placenta increta, female infertility, peripartum hysterectomy, in vitro fertilization (ivf)

Introduction

During the third stage of delivery, the placenta, which supplies nutrients and gas exchange to the developing fetus, spontaneously detaches from the uterus and is subsequently expelled or removed. In abnormal circumstances, the placenta may adhere to the uterus because placental villi (trophoblasts) have grown into one or more layers of the uterus. It is commonly theorized that this pathologic process most commonly occurs in weakened areas of the uterine endometrium. Insufficient point attachment and nutritional exchange to support growth and maintenance of the placenta and fetus may encourage further growth into the uterine wall [1,2]. This phenomenon occurs in 0.2% of pregnancies [3]. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) has defined placental villous growth into the uterine wall as placenta accreta spectrum (PAS), which is comprised of three subtypes: placenta adherenta or creta, placenta increta, and placenta percreta [4]. Placenta adherenta or creta (Grade 1 PAS) is defined as a villous attachment to the superficial myometrium and occurs in 63% of cases [3-5]. Placenta increta (Grade 2 PAS) is defined as a villous invasion into the myometrium and is the least common of the subtypes, diagnosed in 15% of cases [3-5]. Finally, placenta percreta (Grade 3 PAS) is defined as villous tissue within or breaching the serosal layer; it occurs in 22% of cases [3-5].

The most significant risk factors for the development of PAS include but are not limited to uterine surgery, placenta previa after cesarean delivery, maternal age >35 years, multiparity, history of irradiation to the pelvis, and infertility (including infertility procedures) [6-10]. One observational study suggests that 80% of patients with PAS have a history of uterine surgery, which includes cesarean delivery, curettage, and myomectomy [1]. The condition is becoming more prevalent due to the increased popularity of cesarean deliveries [6,7].

There are not any definitive methods for diagnosing PAS prior to the end of pregnancy, although it may be detected incidentally through imaging studies [11]. Manual extraction of the placenta is often attempted once determined that spontaneous expulsion is unlikely. In the setting of PAS, attempted removal of the placenta provokes significant bleeding, often resulting in hemorrhage. Due to the significant risk of hemorrhage and its inherent morbidity, further research on a standardized approach for detection and management of PAS is warranted [12].

Case Presentation

A 32-year-old Caucasian gravida 1 para 0 (G1P0) woman without any significant past medical or surgical history was followed by our department throughout the duration of her pregnancy, which was facilitated by in vitro fertilization. Prior to pregnancy, the patient had regular menstrual periods and cycles lasting 30-32

How to cite this article

Kassas J M, Blue L M, Brenner C A (September 17, 2021) In Vitro Fertilization in a Nulliparous Female Resulting in Placenta Increta and Postpartum Hemorrhage. Cureus 13(9): e18042. DOI 10.7759/cureus.18042

days on average with a variability of +/- 5 days. Papanicolaou (Pap) smear conducted 5 years prior to pregnancy revealed a low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion that was negative for human papillomavirus (HPV). A subsequent Pap smear conducted 2 years prior to pregnancy was negative for abnormality. She denied a history of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), sexually transmitted infections (STIs), tubo-ovarian abscess, colitis, appendicitis, and endometriosis. Despite not having any chronic medical conditions, being a non-smoker, and having a healthy, active lifestyle, the patient had a history of unexplained infertility for which she underwent an extensive medical workup and multiple treatment modalities.

The patient previously used an intrauterine device for contraception, which was removed approximately 4 years prior to this pregnancy. Approximately 2 years prior to the start of pregnancy at her routine gynecologic exam (during which she had her second Pap smear, as noted previously), the patient stated that she was trying to become pregnant. Two weeks prior to this exam, her male partner had an overall normal semen analysis. The patient at this time was provided with prenatal guidance regarding timed intercourse and anticipatory guidance. She was also instructed to take daily prenatal vitamins and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). Roughly 4 months after this appointment (at this point 8-9 months of actively trying to become pregnant) the patient sought out additional procreative guidance after unsuccessfully conceiving. The patient was advised to use an ovulation indicator, continue timed intercourse, and maintain healthy lifestyle habits. Laboratory testing was also conducted, and the following values were reported at this time: dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) 7.35 ng/mL, estradiol 48 pg/mL, progesterone 0.26 ng/mL, testosterone 21 ng/dL, sex hormone-binding globulin 73 nmol/L, free testosterone 2.1 pg/mL, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) 6.1 mIU/mL, prolactin 15.04 ng/mL, luteinizing hormone (LH) 4.35 mIU/mL, LH:FSH 0.7. These values were all considered to be within normal limits for being in the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle. These results were followed by a hysterosalpingogram to assess potential structural abnormalities, which was conducted 1 month later and shown in Figure 1. The impression noted a widely patent right fallopian tube and a patent left fallopian tube. Because of the widely patent right side, the contrast flowed very quickly, and it was difficult to appreciate the detail of the endometrial cavity. Overall, no focal abnormalities were noted. The patient subsequently underwent three cycles of clomiphene at a 50 mg dose for 5 days (instructed to be used during days 5-9 of the menstrual cycle) and one cycle of clomiphene at 200 mg with the same regimen. She was unsuccessful at conceiving after a combined four cycles and experienced gastrointestinal-related side effects with the 200 mg dose. She was then unsuccessfully trialed on letrozole 2.5 mg for 5 days using the same regimen and was referred to an infertility clinic.

FIGURE 1: Hysterosalpingogram

This figure shows contrast solution traveling through the right fallopian tube (R FT), uterine cavity (UC), and left fallopian tube (L FT).

The patient was next managed by our network 8 weeks into her pregnancy in the emergency department

with a chief complaint of vaginal bleeding with abdominal cramping. She stated to the provider that she had undergone in vitro fertilization with the implantation of two 5-day-old embryos. A transvaginal ultrasound confirmed a singleton live intrauterine pregnancy with an estimated age of 8 weeks 0 days. She was subsequently discharged home.

The patient was reintroduced to our department during her first prenatal visit at 10 weeks 4 days gestational age (GA). At this appointment, she was screened and found negative for the following conditions: asymptomatic urinary tract infection, chlamydia, gonorrhea, hepatitis c, hepatitis b, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and syphilis. She was also found to be rubella immune with a negative urine drug screen. Her blood type was confirmed as B positive with a negative antibody screen. The patient elected to have genetic testing at this point in time which revealed an expected representation of chromosomes 21, 18, and 13 (normal result). Y chromosomal material was also detected, consistent with a male fetus. The patient continued with an uneventful pregnancy with a normal fetal anatomy scan at 20 weeks. Her 1-hour glucose tolerance test was borderline at 143 mg/dL and followed up with a 3-hour glucose tolerance test that revealed fasting, 1-hour, 2-hour, and 3-hour results of 84, 146, 111, and 102 mg/dL, respectively. The patient was swabbed for Group B Streptococcus (GBS) in her 36th week of pregnancy and was found to be colonized (positive).

The patient presented at 39 weeks and 0 days GA at the labor and delivery unit in active labor claiming rupture of membranes with clear fluid within the last 3-4 hours. Shortly after admission, she was adequately treated for the GBS colonization and provided epidural analgesia. She progressed through labor without augmentation and had a category 1 fetal heart tracing. After complete dilation of the cervix and more than 3 hours of active pushing, the course of labor was augmented by uncomplicated vacuum assistance. This resulted in the delivery of a healthy male infant and a first-degree laceration. After 30 minutes, the placenta had not detached from the uterus with the application of gentle traction. The patient had consented at this point in time for manual removal of the placenta, which was found to be morbidly adherent to the uterus. The attempt of placental removal resulted in atony of the uterus and brisk vaginal bleeding. Bimanual uterine massage and uterotonic drugs (oxytocin, methylergometrine, and carboprost) were sequentially administered and were unsuccessful at controlling the bleeding. The patient was urgently brought into the operating room for further management. First, a Bakri balloon tamponade catheter was placed without improvement, and the decision was made to move on to exploratory laparotomy. After transitioning to an abdominal procedure, the physician placed bilateral O'Leary sutures followed by multiple longitudinal and box-type compression sutures in the myometrium of the uterus. The bleeding remained uncontrolled and the B-Lynch technique was subsequently attempted. This sequence was used in an effort to preserve the patient's fertility.

Ultimately, a peripartum hysterectomy was needed despite following the uterotonic algorithm. A massive transfusion protocol was also declared, requiring the administration of six units of packed red blood cells (RBCs), two units of fresh frozen plasma, and two units of platelets in order to achieve hemodynamic stability. The patient was discharged after a 3-day hospital stay. In follow-up, her pathology report revealed a diagnosis of a 0.1 cm placenta increta. Placenta images are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

FIGURE 2: Normal Placentation

This image depicts a normal representation of placental attachment to the uterus. The symbols (*) demonstrate the separation of the placenta (top) from the uterine wall (bottom). (H&E 400X)

FIGURE 3: Placenta Increta

In contrast to Figure 2, this image shows placental invasion into both the endometrial and myometrial layers of the uterus. The invasion depth is best represented by the black arrow. (H&E 400X)

Discussion

Due to low incidence, PAS is not commonly screened for without significant risk factors (predominantly prior history cesarean section or other uterine surgery). Although screening for this condition has been proven to be linked to improved maternal and fetal outcomes, there are no definitive guidelines to suggest when it is necessary or recommended [12]. It is noted in the literature that 18% of women with a morbidly adherent placenta are nulliparous, suggesting that risk is difficult to determine [13]. This may be a contributing factor to the ambiguity behind the screening recommendations. At present, screening is left to the discretion of the clinician.

PAS may be detected incidentally on obstetric ultrasound examination. Common ultrasonographic findings which are suggestive of this diagnosis include placental lacunae, disruption of the bladder lining, thinning of the myometrium, and vascular irregularities, among others [14-16]. In one small study of seven patients, a gestational sac in the lower uterine segment with a history of previous cesarean delivery suggested the possibility of placenta accreta [17]. Placental growth over previous cesarean scar has also been used as a predictor for the outcome of PAS [18]. Identification of these findings may be helpful in the development of management guidelines. Additionally, detection in the future with the option of more advanced imaging technology and/or prior identification could allow for alternative safer delivery method options and preparation for appropriate resources at delivery [19]. Avoiding adherent placental removal would likely be advised and would eliminate the dangerous risk of PPH [19]. In order to preserve fertility, conservative measures are recommended before drastic procedures. Uterotonic medicines, intrauterine packing (Bakri balloon), external compression with uterine sutures (B-Lynch), and selective devascularization by ligation or embolization of the uterine artery are all conservative alternatives for PPH [20].

In this patient's case, the probability of PAS may have not been considered because she was nulliparous,

healthy, and without major risk factors other than facilitation of pregnancy through in vitro fertilization. This modality of achieving pregnancy is associated with a higher risk of PAS compared to the general population (1.6% versus 0.12% in one study), but it is still relatively uncommon [9]. Because the villous invasion was incredibly small, measured 0.1 cm by pathology report, it is very unlikely that it would be visualized on imaging studies. It should be noted that complete or focal adherence of the placenta to the uterus is associated with massive PPH. The size and depth of invasion do not affect the result [9]. Overall, this outcome with minuscule risk highlights the importance and necessity to counsel patients about the risks and options that surround pregnancy, no matter the likeliness of the outcome. This patient had an uncomplicated pregnancy and was considered a low-risk delivery. Shortly after becoming a mother, she battled for her life and lost her fertility in the process. Counseling is especially important for patients who utilize assisted reproductive technology (ART), which includes ovulation stimulation medications, intrauterine insemination (IUI), and in vitro fertilization (IVF), among others. One study claims that ART, no matter the modality, is associated with an increased risk of several adverse obstetric and perinatal outcomes including placenta previa, preterm delivery, and low birth weight [21].

Conclusions

PAS is diagnosed in 0.2% of pregnancies resulting in delivery and encompasses three subtypes: placenta adherenta or creta, placenta increta, and placenta percreta, which indicate pathologic placental villous tissue attachment to the superficial myometrium, invasion into the myometrium, or invasion into or beyond the serosa, respectively. The size and depth of invasion of placental tissue do not change the outcome or extent of a PAS pregnancy. Guidelines do not currently exist regarding the screening, detection, or management of PAS. However, it is documented that in vitro fertilization (IVF) is associated with a higher risk of PAS compared to the general population for unknown reasons.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. University of New England IRB issued approval IRB 051420-06. IRB 051420-06; In-vitro Fertilization in a Nulliparous Female Resulting in Placenta Increta and Post-partum Hemorrhage: A Case Report Thank you for submitting the above-referenced case study, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Tantibirojn P, Crum CP, Parast MM: Pathophysiology of placenta creta: the role of decidua and extravillous trophoblast. *Placenta*. 2008, 29:639-45. [10.1016/j.placenta.2008.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2008.04.008)
2. Khong TY: The pathology of placenta accreta, a worldwide epidemic. *J Clin Pathol*. 2008, 61:1243-6. [10.1136/jcp.2008.055202](https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.2008.055202)
3. Silver RM: Abnormal placentation: placenta previa, vasa previa, and placenta accreta. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015, 126:654-68. [10.1097/AOG.0000000000001005](https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000001005)
4. Jauniaux E, Ayres-de-Campos D, Langhoff-Roos J, Fox KA, Collins S: FIGO classification for the clinical diagnosis of placenta accreta spectrum disorders. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2019, 146:20-4. [10.1002/ijgo.12761](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.12761)
5. Jauniaux E, Bunce C, Grønbeck L, Langhoff-Roos J: Prevalence and main outcomes of placenta accreta spectrum: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2019, 221:208-18. [10.1016/j.ajog.2019.01.233](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.01.233)
6. Timor-Tritsch IE, Monteagudo A, Cali G, et al.: Cesarean scar pregnancy is a precursor of morbidly adherent placenta. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2014, 44:346-53. [10.1002/uog.13426](https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.13426)
7. Silver RM, Fox KA, Barton JR, et al.: Center of excellence for placenta accreta. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015, 212:561-8. [10.1016/j.ajog.2014.11.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.11.018)
8. Kaser DJ, Melamed A, Bormann CL, et al.: Cryopreserved embryo transfer is an independent risk factor for placenta accreta. *Fertil Steril*. 2015, 103:1176-84.e2. [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.01.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.01.021)
9. Esh-Broder E, Ariel I, Abas-Bashir N, Bdolah Y, Celnikier DH: Placenta accreta is associated with IVF pregnancies: a retrospective chart review. *BJOG*. 2011, 118:1084-9. [10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.02976.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.02976.x)
10. Salmanian B, Fox KA, Arian SE, et al.: In vitro fertilization as an independent risk factor for placenta accreta spectrum. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020, 223:568.e1-5. [10.1016/j.ajog.2020.04.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.04.026)
11. Melcer Y, Jauniaux E, Maymon S, Tsviban A, Pekar-Zlotin M, Betser M, Maymon R: Impact of targeted scanning protocols on perinatal outcomes in pregnancies at risk of placenta accreta spectrum or vasa previa. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018, 218:443.e1-8. [10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.017)
12. Morlando M, Collins S: Placenta accreta spectrum disorders: challenges, risks, and management strategies. *Int J Womens Health*. 2020, 12:1033-45. [10.2147/IJWH.S224191](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S224191)
13. Bailit JL, Grobman WA, Rice MM, et al.: Morbidly adherent placenta treatments and outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015, 125:683-9. [10.1097/AOG.0000000000000680](https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000680)
14. Comstock CH: Antenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta: a review. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2005, 26:89-96. [10.1002/uog.1926](https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.1926)

15. Finberg HJ, Williams JW: Placenta accreta: prospective sonographic diagnosis in patients with placenta previa and prior cesarean section. *J Ultrasound Med.* 1992, 11:333-43. [10.7863/jum.1992.11.7.333](#)
16. Guy GP, Peisner DB, Timor-Tritsch IE: Ultrasonographic evaluation of uteroplacental blood flow patterns of abnormally located and adherent placentas. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1990, 163:723-7. [10.1016/0002-9378\(90\)91056-i](#)
17. Comstock CH, Lee W, Vetraino IM, Bronsteen RA: The early sonographic appearance of placenta accreta. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2003, 22:19-23; quiz 24-6. [10.7863/jum.2003.22.1.19](#)
18. Stirnemann JJ, Mousty E, Chalouhi G, Salomon LJ, Bernard JP, Ville Y: Screening for placenta accreta at 11-14 weeks of gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011, 205:547.e1-6. [10.1016/j.ajog.2011.07.021](#)
19. Fitzpatrick KE, Sellers S, Spark P, Kurinczuk JJ, Brocklehurst P, Knight M: The management and outcomes of placenta accreta, increta, and percreta in the UK: a population-based descriptive study. *BJOG.* 2014, 121:62-70; discussion 70-1. [10.1111/1471-0528.12405](#)
20. Chanu SM, Dey B, Dey S, et al.: Successful management of total placenta previa with placenta accreta presenting with massive obstetric hemorrhage: a case report. *Int J Reprod Contracep Obstetr Gynecol.* 2018, 7:3417-9. [10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20183359](#)
21. Hayashi M, Nakai A, Satoh S, Matsuda Y: Adverse obstetric and perinatal outcomes of singleton pregnancies may be related to maternal factors associated with infertility rather than the type of assisted reproductive technology procedure used. *Fertil Steril.* 2012, 98:922-8. [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.05.049](#)