Infrarenal Vena Cava Leiomyosarcoma Treated With Surgical Resection and Vascular Reconstruction

Yosra Malki¹, Hatim Lazaar¹, Tariq Bouhout¹, Badr Serji¹, Adnan Benzirar², Tijani El Harroudi¹

1. Surgical Oncology, Mohammed VI University Hospital, Regional Oncology Center, Oujda, MAR 2. Vascular Surgery, Medical School University Oujda, Oujda, MAR

Corresponding author: Yosra Malki, yosramalki25@gmail.com

Abstract

Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava is a rare malignant tumor with a poor prognosis. We report a case of a 39-year-old woman admitted for a surgical resection of a retroperitoneal mass revealed by pain localised in the right lumbar fossa. Computed tomography of the abdomen revealed a heterogeneous retroperitoneal mass compressing the inferior vena cava. Surgical resection was performed with the reconstruction of the inferior vena cava using a Dacron prosthesis, the diagnosis of vessel wall leiomyosarcoma was revealed by histopathology. Surgical resection with clear margins remains the only treatment offering the best survival rate. The complex nature of the surgery of those tumors is a major therapeutic challenge for surgeons.

Categories: General Surgery

Keywords: leiomyosarcoma, infrarenal vena cava, surgical resection, vena cava reconstruction, dacron graft

Introduction

Leiomyosarcoma (LMS) is a rare malignant tumor of the large vessel wall that arises from smooth muscle cells and can develop intraluminal and extraluminal involving adjacent structures. LMS of the inferior vena cava (IVC) is the most common one [1]. This tumor is often diagnosed during the fifth and sixth decades and affects women four times more than men [2]. The IVC LMS diagnosis can be delayed owing to the slow growth and the location in the retroperitoneum. Variable clinical presentation can be seen, ranging from asymptomatic to non-specific symptoms due to compression [3]. The surgical tumor resection with clear margins remains the only treatment improving survival [4].

Through this document, we report the case of a patient with an IVC LMS, the presentation of this tumor, and the surgical treatment modalities.

Case Presentation

A 39-year-old female without a past medical history was referred to our department to treat a right para-aortic retroperitoneal tumor, revealed by pain in the right lumbar fossa with nausea and significant weight loss for six months. No abnormalities were apparent in the physical examination. Laboratory examinations including blood cell counts and renal function tests showed normal values. Computed tomography (CT) revealed a heterogeneous retroperitoneal mass well-defined with a necrotic area, measuring 60 x 57 x 54 mm. This tumor had intimate contact with the aorta and right renal vein with a mass effect on the IVC, which had increased in size. No evidence of metastatic disease was found (Figure 1).

Review began 06/07/2021

Review ended 06/11/2021

Published 06/21/2021

© Copyright 2021

Malki et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Malki Y, Lazaar H, Bouhout T, et al. (June 21, 2021) Infrarenal Vena Cava Leiomyosarcoma Treated With Surgical Resection and Vascular Reconstruction. Cureus 13(6): e15808. DOI 10.7759/cureus.15808

FIGURE 1: Axial CT of the abdomen reveals a retroperitoneal mass enclosing the inferior vena cava.

A midline laparotomy was performed, a tumor originating from the wall of the infrarenal IVC was apparent in close contact with the aorta without invading it (Figure 2). After a vascular control with clamps on each side of the tumor, this one was removed (Figure 3).

FIGURE 2: Intraoperative photograph showing the tumor arising from the vena cava.

FIGURE 3: Image showing the infrarenal vena cava clamped after the tumor was removed.

The IVC was reconstructed with Dacron prosthesis implantation using 5-0 Prolene thread, and hemostasis was obtained after removing the clamps (Figure 4). An arteriovenous fistula was performed between the great saphenous vein and the superficial femoral artery. Anatomopathology and immunohistochemistry confirmed the LMS of the IVC with clear surgical margins.

FIGURE 4: Vascular reconstruction using Dacron graft.

Post-surgery, the patient received a low dose of heparin. On the fifth postoperative day, she developed an intraabdominal hematoma evidenced by acute anemia, that required reintervention for drainage and hemostasis. A CT angiogram was performed a month after surgery showing patency of the prosthesis.

Discussion

The IVC LMS is a rare malignant tumor that arises from smooth muscle of media. Its metastases are relatively uncommon, and they may be developed in the liver, lungs, lymph nodes, and bones [1,5]. Since the first description of IVC LMS in 1871 by Perl, only 470 cases have been reported in the literature, the majority of them were discovered between the ages of fifty and sixty, with a female predominance [1].

According to their position in the IVC, LMS of vena cava are classified into three types: type I tumor arises from below the renal veins (36% of cases), which was the case of our patient, type II tumor arises from the segment between the renal veins and the hepatic veins (44% of cases), and type III tumors develop from the vena cava above the hepatic veins (20% of cases) [6,7].

The IVC LMS diagnosis may be delayed to late-stage due to its slow progression within IVC, which develops in 5% intraluminally, in 62% extraluminally, and in 33% of cases both intraluminally and extraluminally [8]. The symptoms of LMS depend on the level of involvement of the IVC. IVC LMS type 1 may present with pain in the lower right quadrant, back, flank, and sometimes lower limbs edema. Type 2 may present with epigastric discomfort, while type 3 can cause occlusion of the hepatic veins due to the tumor itself or by the thrombosis, consequently, Budd-Chiari syndrome can appear [9]. The tumor may occur in more than one segment and give several signs and symptoms [10].

CT and MRI scans have a crucial role in the precise diagnosis of LMS by providing information about the tumor's origin and its connection to adjacent structures. These tumors appear as heterogeneous masses with peripheral enhancement and may exhibit areas of hemorrhage and necrosis [11]. Computed tomography venography and contrast magnetic resonance venography provide additional information about

the tumor location, its invasion, and a full longitudinal view of the mass [12].

Radical surgical resection removing the entire tumor is the only treatment offering long-term survival [11]. The excision of IVC LMS should be performed in specialized centers by an experienced surgeon to avoid venous injury and major intraoperative bleeding. The tumor should be considered unresectable if the superior mesenteric vessels are involved, and the resection is limited in the case of the suprahepatic vein involvement. However, hepatectomy associated with resection of the IVC and anastomosis of the healthy hepatic vein to a graft has been performed for tumors limited to one hepatic vein or a suprahepatic portion of the inferior vena cava [13,14].

Depending on the level of the IVC involved, the tumor's extension, and the presence or absence of collateral veins, different surgical resection techniques and approaches have been described [15,16]. Before IVC dissection or manipulation, it must be fixed above and below the tumor. Simple clamping is sufficient for infrahepatic IVC reconstruction. For type I, clamping may be achieved between the iliac bifurcation or upper and the suprarenal vena cava. Arterial hypotension and proximal venous hypertension are rare complications and may be treated by the clamp of the infrarenal aorta or the supra celiac aorta [13,17].

After a partial IVC resection, the treatment using a prosthetic patch or direct suture is rarely sufficient. Thus, complete resection is indicated. IVC reconstruction is not always indicated. It depends on the presence of a good network of collaterals, ensuring venous return [16,18]. Several techniques have been described for the IVC reconstruction, and various materials have been used, like synthetic materials including Dacron, polytetrafluoroethylene, vascular graft, or banked vena cava homograft tubularized bovine pericardium, and a stapled peritoneal fascial graft [7]. A small caliber of polytetrafluoroethylene or Dacron is recommended to increase flow velocity and reduce the thrombotic risk [4]. Likewise, the realization of inguinal arteriovenous fistula has been recommended by certain authors to enhance the graft's permeability [1]. Owing to our patient's tumor location and the absence of collateral veins, we opted for the reconstruction of the IVC and the creation of an arteriovenous fistula.

Tumor resection with free margins is the only proven treatment for IVC LMS. Adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy remains a subject of debate [3,19]. Adjuvant treatment was not used in our case. In a study conducted by Wachtel et al., regrouping 377 patients with IVC LMS, the median disease-free survival at one and five years was 57% and 6%, respectively. Furthermore, overall survival was 92% and 55%, respectively. Various prognostic factors for disease-free and overall survival have been found. Isolated involvement of the IVC middle segment is linked to higher disease-free survival. Whereas tumors with a large size (≥ 9 cm) and adjuvant chemotherapy were associated with decreased disease-free survival. These associations, as well as age greater than 55 years and resection with positive margins, are also prognostic factors reducing overall survival [19].

Conclusions

IVC LMS is a rare malignant tumor that affects women more than men. The surgical resection with free margins is the unique curative treatment offering the best survival rate. Adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy remain controversial and unclear. The performance of this complex surgery requires a multidisciplinary team of surgeons to have the best results.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. López-Ruiz JA, Tallón-Aguilar L, Marengo-de la Cuadra B, López-Pérez J, Oliva-Mompeán F, Padillo-Ruiz J: Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava. Case report and literature review. *Cir Cir*. 2017, 85:361-365. [10.1016/j.circir.2016.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.circir.2016.05.002)
2. Mingoli A, Cavallaro A, Sapienza P, Di Marzo L, Feldhaus RJ, Cavallari N: International registry of inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma: analysis of a world series on 218 patients. *Anticancer Res*. 1996, 16:3201-3205.
3. Moncayo KE, Vidal-Insua JJ, Troncoso A, García R: Inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma: preoperative diagnosis and surgical management. *Surg Case Rep*. 2015, 1:35. [10.1186/s40792-015-0036-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40792-015-0036-2)
4. Sharma A, Ayappan MK, Raju R, Mathur K, Pawar P: Leiomyosarcoma of infrarenal inferior vena cava: a single institution experience and review of literature. *Indian J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2018, 5:203-207. [10.4103/ijves.ijves_81_17](https://doi.org/10.4103/ijves.ijves_81_17)
5. Hollenbeck ST, Grobmyer SR, Kent KC, Brennan MF: Surgical treatment and outcomes of patients with

- primary inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2003, 197:575-579. [10.1016/S1072-7515\(03\)00433-2](#)
6. Daylami R, Amiri A, Goldsmith B, Troppmann C, Schneider PD, Khatri VP: Inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma: is reconstruction necessary after resection?. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2010, 210:185-190. [10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2009.10.010](#)
  7. Tzanis D, Bouhadiba T, Gaignard E, Bonvalot S: Major vascular resections in retroperitoneal sarcoma. *J Surg Oncol*. 2018, 117:42-47. [10.1002/jso.24920](#)
  8. Ceyhan M, Danaci M, Elmali M, Ozmen Z: Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava. *Diagn Interv Radiol*. 2007, 13:140-143.
  9. Griffin AS, Sterchi JM: Primary leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava: a case report and review of the literature. *J Surg Oncol*. 1987, 34:53-60. [10.1002/jso.2930340114](#)
  10. Ganeshalingam S, Rajeswaran G, Jones RL, Thway K, Moskovic E: Leiomyosarcomas of the inferior vena cava: diagnostic features on cross-sectional imaging. *Clin Radiol*. 2011, 66:50-56. [10.1016/j.crad.2010.08.004](#)
  11. Dull BZ, Smith B, Tefera G, Weber S: Surgical management of retroperitoneal leiomyosarcoma arising from the inferior vena cava. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2013, 17:2166-2171. [10.1007/s11605-013-2385-0](#)
  12. Rusu CB, Gorbatai L, Szatmari L, et al.: Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava. Our experience and a review of the literature. *Rom J Morphol Embryol*. 2020, 61:227-233. [10.47162/RJME.61.1.25](#)
  13. Bonvalot S, Raut CP, Pollock RE, et al.: Technical considerations in surgery for retroperitoneal sarcomas: position paper from E-Surge, a master class in sarcoma surgery, and EORTC-STBSG. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012, 19:2981-2991. [10.1245/s10434-012-2342-2](#)
  14. Spinelli A, Schumacher G, Benckert C, et al.: Surgical treatment of a leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava involving the hepatic and renal veins confluences: technical aspects. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2008, 34:831-835. [10.1016/j.ejso.2007.01.015](#)
  15. Dew J, Hansen K, Hammon J, McCoy T, Levine EA, Shen P: Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava: surgical management and clinical results. *Am Surg*. 2005, 71:497-501. [10.1177/000313480507100609](#)
  16. Kieffer E, Alaoui M, Piette JC, Cacoub P, Chiche L: Leiomyosarcoma of the inferior vena cava: experience in 22 cases. *Ann Surg*. 2006, 244:289-295. [10.1097/01.sla.0000229964.71743.db](#)
  17. Delva E, Camus Y, Nordlinger B, et al.: Vascular occlusions for liver resections. Operative management and tolerance to hepatic ischemia: 142 cases. *Ann Surg*. 1989, 209:211-218. [10.1097/00000658-198902000-00012](#)
  18. Gaignard E, Bergeat D, Robin F, Corbière L, Rayar M, Meunier B: Inferior vena cava leiomyosarcoma: what method of reconstruction for which type of resection?. *World J Surg*. 2020, 44:3537-3544. [10.1007/s00268-020-05602-2](#)
  19. Wachtel H, Gupta M, Bartlett EK, et al.: Outcomes after resection of leiomyosarcomas of the inferior vena cava: a pooled data analysis of 377 cases. *Surg Oncol*. 2015, 24:21-27. [10.1016/j.suronc.2014.10.007](#)