The First Reported Case of Metoprolol-Induced Pemphigus Foliaceus in the United States: A Critical Report and Review of Literature

Tomer Lagziel^{1, 2}, Margarita Ramos¹, Grace F. Rozycki¹, Charles S. Hultman¹, Mohammed Asif¹

1. Plastic Surgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA 2. Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel-Aviv, ISR

Corresponding author: Tomer Lagziel, t.lagziel@gmail.com

Abstract

Pemphigus is a rare family of autoimmune disorders characterized by epithelial and mucosal blisters. Pemphigus foliaceus (PF) commonly affects the scalp, face, and trunk. Lesions often arise as superficial blisters and develop into scaly, crusted erosions. Management includes corticosteroids with immunosuppressants. Novel therapies include immunoadsorption and active clinical trials. We present the only reported case of metoprolol-induced PF in the United States (US), with an extremely complicated hospital course.

A 66-year-old male patient with a history of hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia presented to his doctor with a blistering, pruritic rash that started after switching to metoprolol for hypertension treatment.

PF is very rare in North America. Given its solely superficial penetration, it creates no direct fatal complication. However, the developing blisters and subsequent wounds are susceptible to a wide array of secondary infections, which can be life-threatening.

Categories: Dermatology, Plastic Surgery, Allergy/Immunology

Keywords: pemphigus, autoimmune, dermatology, infection, metoprolol

Introduction

Pemphigus is a family of devastating blistering conditions that are characterized by disrupted keratinocyte to keratinocyte adhesion which forms blisters in the epithelium of the skin and mucous membranes [1]. The prevalence of pemphigus in the United States (US) is 0.005% of overall adults [2]. One subtype is pemphigus foliaceus (PF) that only affects the cutaneous layer (sparing mucosal membrane) and includes subcorneal acantholytic blisters [3]. PF most commonly affects the scalp, face, and trunk. The lesions contributing to this condition usually begin presenting as superficial blisters but eventually develop into scaly and crusted erosions. During the course of development of the condition, the skin lesions can either remain local or spread to cover larger areas of the body [4].

As it is an autoimmune condition, the factors that lead to or cause PF are not well understood. It is generally accepted that both genetic and environmental factors contribute to the development of pemphigoid diseases. It has also been recorded that certain drugs, thiols in particular, can induce PF [2,5]

However, PF due to treatment with metoprolol has never been reported in the US, as is seen with our case report.

In cases where PF is environmentally triggered or drug induced, the trigger should be determined and removed from contact with the patient. Medical intervention for pemphigus includes corticosteroids in combination with steroid-sparing immunosuppressant drugs, such as azathioprine, mycophenolate, and cyclosporin [3,4,6].

Surgical intervention is usually not necessary for isolated-cutaneous lesions and usually only implemented in severe mucosal invasion [7].

Case Presentation

A 66-year-old male patient with a medical history of hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia presented to his primary care physician with a blistering, pruritic rash that started after switching to metoprolol from atenolol for treatment of uncontrolled hypertension. The rash became infected with superimposed *Staphylococcus aureus* and when symptoms worsened he was sent to the emergency department (ED) for evaluation (Figure 1).

Received 07/06/2020
Review began 07/07/2020
Review ended 07/09/2020
Published 07/15/2020

© Copyright 2020

Lagziel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Lagziel T, Ramos M, Rozycki G F, et al. (July 15, 2020) The First Reported Case of Metoprolol-Induced Pemphigus Foliaceus in the United States: A Critical Report and Review of Literature. Cureus 12(7): e9203. DOI 10.7759/cureus.9203

FIGURE 1: Initial Presentation with Pemphigus Foliaceus. (A – Anterior Torso, B – Posterior Torso)

The on-call dermatologist was consulted, and perilesional punch biopsy from the left lateral trunk was collected for wound culture and expert dermatopathologist histopathological examination. The culture confirmed *Staphylococcus aureus* which suggested possible staphylococcal-scalded-skin-syndrome. However, the dermatopathologist assessed the sample via direct immunofluorescence (IF) and reported heavy linear deposition of IgG and C3 on the cell surface of keratinocytes with subcorneal acantholysis in the epidermis. The patient's blood was also sent for indirect IF and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for desmoglein (DSG) 1 and 3 (DSG1 > 100, reference < 18). These findings along with the clinical picture are diagnostic for PF. The patient was discharged with instructions for antibiotic control of the infection. Two weeks later, he re-presented to the ED with a diffuse body rash, swelling, and uncontrollable pain. Workup suggested acute kidney injury (AKI) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) bacteremia. He was admitted for inpatient management of his bacteremia and PF where he was treated with long-term steroids, a five-day course of intravenous Immunoglobulin (IVIG), and one dose of rituximab, stabilizing his PF. He was discharged with planned treatment of weaning off steroids, monthly IVIG, and a single dose of rituximab every three months. Upon discharge, the patient was also advised to seek continuing follow-up consultations to monitor his pemphigus. After six weeks, he was readmitted to our ED with a worsening weeping, blistering rash, declining mental status, and dyspnea (Figure 2).

FIGURE 2: Presentation Upon Admittance to Burn Center. (A – Anterior Head, B – Anterior Torso, C – Posterior Torso)

Pemphigus wounds are not usually surgically managed, but due to persistent systemic infections the patient required excision, restructuring, and resurfacing for which he was transferred to our burn unit. He developed bacteremia from his wounds and was treated with a fifth-generation cephalosporin which cleared it. However, on rounds he was noticed to have abdominal distention and an X-ray suggested ileus. Given the severe clinical deterioration a CT scan was obtained and it confirmed pneumatosicoli and pneumoperitoneum. He was immediately taken to the operating room where the acute surgical care team performed an emergent exploratory laparotomy demonstrating viable bowel and no intraabdominal pathology as the cause of his decline. His pneumonia was treated with 10 days of ceftolozane/tazobactam. He improved hemodynamically and his PF is under better control with tapered steroids and local wound care. He is scheduled for outpatient IVIG and rituximab treatment. A brief illustrated timeline of the events is shown in Figure 3.

FIGURE 3: Timeline of events in the burn unit

MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

Discussion

PF is an autoimmune disorder with no cure [8]. While it is not fatal and its symptoms are less life-threatening than other pemphigus subtypes, quality of life is severely reduced, limiting the patient's ability to carry out daily tasks as well as negatively affecting their psychological state [9-11]. The current gold standard for chronic management of PF is systemic corticosteroids with steroid-sparing immunosuppressants [12].

Treatment of our patient was complicated due to multiple systemic inflammatory insults. However, we adhered to expert dermatologic guidelines with an immunosuppressant regimen which included four courses of IVIG (five days per month), two courses of rituximab (every three months), and high-dose prednisone (60 mg/day) throughout the patient's hospitalization. Although the patient's overall skin disease burden improved over time, the complications of AKI and multidrug-resistant bacteremia, including fungemia (*Candida albicans*) and sepsis, frequently happened following administration of IVIG therapy. The patient did not suffer any significant adverse effects from the rituximab treatment and prednisone therapy, which was weaned down to 10 mg/day from 60 mg/day at onset. In light of these observations, it is prudent to maintain adequate hydration, aggressive wound care, and caution for systemic infections because they can be fatal. Surgical wound debridement is non-standard treatment but in order to control fatal infectious complications, we suggest surgical excision is often needed. Fortunately, our patient's condition improved significantly and his wounds were manageable allowing for discharge to a skilled nursing facility.

PF is very rare in North America and more prevalent in South America and North Africa with an annual sporadic incidence of less than one per million individuals per year [3,13,14]. The combination of low mortality and low prevalence in the Western world leads to lack of innovation in the development of curative therapy. There are only four ongoing clinical trials testing new drug therapies, one of which is outside of the US. These new targeted therapies include the use of naturally occurring regulatory T cells to potentially replace chronic immunosuppressive therapies, disruption of B-cell receptor signaling, and neonatal Fc receptor inhibition [15]. In addition to new drug therapies being developed, other treatment methods are being utilized outside of the US. For example, particularly in Europe, extracorporeal immunoadsorption is performed to remove the autoantibodies from the blood [16-18]. PF patients are suffering and they need more effective treatment methods that do not carry an increased risk of harm.

Conclusions

Given its purely superficial penetration, PF has no direct fatal complication and has even been shown to have historically positive outcomes. However, blisters and subsequent wounds are susceptible to dangerous secondary infections. Since there is no acute life threat from PF, few treatments are available for the condition itself. Instead, therapy relies on managing PF and treating subsequent infections. Because PF is an autoimmune disorder it is managed using immunosuppressants. However, these drugs can make the patient susceptible to multiple systemic infections, which can be life-threatening. The development of new treatment methods is crucial for more efficient management of the condition as well as to improve the patients' quality of life.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. Johns Hopkins IRB issued approval Not Applicable. No IRB approval was required for this research. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work.

Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work.

Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Hammers CM, Stanley JR: Mechanisms of disease: pemphigus and bullous pemphigoid. *Annu Rev Pathol.* 2016, 11:175-197. [10.1146/annurev-pathol-012615-044313](#)
2. Wertenteil S, Garg A, Strunk A, Alloo A: Prevalence estimates for pemphigus in the United States: a sex-adjusted and age-adjusted population analysis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2019, 155:627-629. [10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.5954](#)
3. Kasperkiewicz M, Ellebrecht CT, Takahashi H, Yamagami J, Zillikens D, Payne AS, Amagai M: Pemphigus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2017, 3:17026. [10.1038/nrdp.2017.26](#)
4. James KA, Culton DA, Diaz LA: Diagnosis and clinical features of pemphigus foliaceus. *Dermatol Clin.* 2011, 29:405-412. [10.1016/j.det.2011.03.012](#)
5. Kershenovich R, Hodak E, Mimouni D: Diagnosis and classification of pemphigus and bullous pemphigoid. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2014, 13:477-481. [10.1016/j.autrev.2014.01.011](#)
6. Tavakolpour S: Pemphigus trigger factors: special focus on pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. *Arch Dermatol Res.* 2018, 310:95-106. [10.1007/s00403-017-1790-8](#)
7. Melchionda V, Harman KE: Pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus: an overview of the clinical presentation, investigations and management. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2019, 44:740-746. [10.1111/ced.14041](#)
8. Berkowitz P, Chua M, Liu Z, Diaz LA, Rubenstein DS: Autoantibodies in the autoimmune disease pemphigus foliaceus induce blistering via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase-dependent signaling in the skin. *Am J Pathol.* 2008, 173:1628-1636. [10.2353/ajpath.2008.080391](#)
9. Sebaratnam DF, McMillan JR, Werth VP, Murrell DF: Quality of life in patients with bullous dermatoses. *Clin Dermatol.* 2012, 30:103-107. [10.1016/j.clindermatol.2011.03.016](#)
10. Penha MA, Farat JG, Miot HA, Barraviera SR: Quality of life index in autoimmune bullous dermatosis patients. *An Bras Dermatol.* 2015, 90:190-194. [10.1590/abd1806-4841.20153372](#)
11. Arbabi M, Ghodsi Z, Mahdian A, et al.: Mental health in patients with pemphigus: an issue to worth consideration. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2011, 56:541-545. [10.4103/0019-5154.87151](#)
12. Daniel BS, Murrell DF: Management of pemphigus. *F1000Prime Rep.* 2014, 6:32. [10.12703/P6-32](#)
13. Groves RW: Pemphigus: a brief review. *Clin Med.* 2009, 9:371-375. [10.7861/clinmedicine.9-4-371](#)
14. Joly P, Litrowski N: Pemphigus group (vulgaris, vegetans, foliaceus, herpetiformis, brasiliensis). *Clin Dermatol.* 2011, 29:432-436. [10.1016/j.clindermatol.2011.01.013](#)
15. Izumi K, Bieber K, Ludwig RJ: Current clinical trials in pemphigus and pemphigoid. *Front Immunol.* 2019, 10:978. [10.3389/fimmu.2019.00978](#)
16. Mlynec A, Meurer M: Immunoabsorption in the treatment of pemphigus. *Atheroscler Suppl.* 2009, 10:107-109. [10.1016/S1567-5688\(09\)71822-7](#)
17. Eming R, Hertl M: Immunoabsorption in pemphigus. *Autoimmunity.* 2006, 39:609-616. [10.1080/08916930600972040](#)
18. Yanovsky RL, McLeod M, Ahmed AR: Treatment of pemphigus vulgaris: part 1 - current therapies. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2019, 15:1047-1060. [10.1080/1744666X.2020.1672535](#)