Recurrent Ameloblastoma Involving Fibula Neo-mandible: Management with Digital Planning and Reconstruction Using a Contralateral Free Fibula Flap

Suzanne M. Beecher¹, Paul Lennon², Michael O'Shaughnessy¹, Conor P. Barry³

1. Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, Cork University Hospital, Cork, IRL 2. Ear, Nose & Throat Surgery, St. James's Hospital, Dublin, IRL 3. Maxillofacial Surgery, St. James's Hospital, Dublin, IRL

Corresponding author: Suzanne M. Beecher, suzub@hotmail.com

Abstract

Ameloblastoma is a locally aggressive tumor that most commonly arises in the mandible. It has a high rate of recurrence if inadequately excised. We report a case of a patient who developed recurrence of his ameloblastoma in his fibula flap mandibular reconstruction despite clear resection margins 23 years after resection. This is the first reported case of recurrent ameloblastoma in a neo-mandible reconstruction in the setting of negative margins. We discuss its surgical management using digital planning and reconstruction using a contralateral free fibula flap. Ameloblastoma is a locally aggressive entity that requires complete excision. Recurrence can even occur in the reconstruction, which can present a challenge to manage. Consideration should be given to repeat excision and second osseous flap reconstruction.

Categories: Otolaryngology, Plastic Surgery, Oncology

Keywords: ameloblastoma, free fibula flap, recurrence, mandible, digital planning, reconstruction

Introduction

Ameloblastomas are the most common odontogenic tumor of the jaws, with 80% of tumors occurring in the mandible [1]. It is a benign entity that is usually painless. However, it can be locally aggressive and lead to significant dysfunction, including displacement of teeth, malocclusion, pathological fracture, and facial asymmetry [2].

While conservative surgical management of ameloblastomas (including marsupialisation, curettage and enucleation, with or without peripheral ostectomy) is associated with very high recurrence rates, the recurrence rate associated with en bloc resection is rare [3-5]. To minimize the risk of recurrence, surgical resection should include a clinical margin of 1-2 cm [6]. Recurrence rates after inadequate surgical excision may be as high as 90% [7].

There are sporadic reports in the international literature of recurrence despite en bloc resection but the status of the resection margins is normally unclear. We report a case of a patient who developed recurrence of his ameloblastoma in his fibula flap mandibular reconstruction despite clear resection margins and discuss its reconstruction.

Case Presentation

A 59-year-old man was referred to the Head and Neck Unit with swelling of the mucosa of his left mandible and exfoliation of his dental implants. He had a history of previous segmental resection of the left mandible and free fibula flap reconstruction from the right lower leg for an ameloblastoma 23 years previously. Histological examination confirmed complete excision with negative surgical margins. The patient subsequently proceeded to implant insertion for dental rehabilitation (Figure 1).

Received 04/14/2020
Review began 04/16/2020
Review ended 04/20/2020
Published 04/29/2020

© Copyright 2020

Beecher et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Beecher S M, Lennon P, O'Shaughnessy M, et al. (April 29, 2020) Recurrent Ameloblastoma Involving Fibula Neo-mandible: Management with Digital Planning and Reconstruction Using a Contralateral Free Fibula Flap. Cureus 12(4): e7880. DOI 10.7759/cureus.7880

FIGURE 1: After initial segmental resection and free fibula flap reconstruction (arrow).

At re-presentation, he was investigated with plain film X-ray and CT imaging of his mandible (Figure 2).

FIGURE 2: At presentation (2018) with recurrent ameloblastoma (arrow) involving the previous free fibula flap.

The imaging indicated recurrence within his fibula flap neo-mandible. The mandibular mass was biopsied and histology confirmed tumor recurrence. After digital surgical planning, the patient proceeded to radical resection of the recurrent tumor with wide resection margins, but sparing the right mental nerve to preserve sensation of the contralateral lip and chin.

Figure 3 illustrates the digital planning of the case. Successful microvascular bony reconstruction of the resulting Brown Class 3 defect with restoration of facial contour was achieved using the contralateral fibula (Figure 4).

FIGURE 3: Digital planning of the planned resection.

FIGURE 4: After repeat segmental resection and second free fibula flap

reconstruction with osteotomy (arrow).

Discussion

En bloc resection of ameloblastomas is the gold standard, particularly where there has been failure of more conservative surgical approaches. Because they can reach a large size before becoming symptomatic, en bloc resection normally involves segmental resection of the mandible. While this is associated with significant morbidity, patients can normally be reassured that recurrence of their tumor is exceedingly rare. There are sporadic reports in the international literature of recurrence despite segmental resection [5].

Ameloblastomas most commonly recur within five years, with Almeida et al. suggesting that patients should undergo X-ray screening every six months for five years, then yearly for a further five years [4]. Recurrence after a significant time period is rare, but case reports exist in the literature. Belli et al. reported a case of recurrence after almost 50 years from initial resection [1].

Recurrence can occur in the native remaining mandible, as well as in the mode of reconstruction. Eckardt et al. reported cases of recurrence in nonvascularized bone grafts; however, they acknowledged that adequacy of the surgical margins on histological analysis could not be determined [8].

Basat et al. first described ameloblastoma recurrence in a free fibula flap reconstruction [9]. This occurred seven years after segmental resection and reconstruction. No comment was made on the adequacy of the segmental resection margins. The patient underwent excision of the disease, but opted against reconstruction.

Sharma et al. also described recurrence in a free fibula flap reconstruction [10]. No comment again was made on the adequacy of the surgical margins. In this case report, the patient represented early within six months postoperatively with hardware loosening and exposure. Recurrence was detected at this time. There was no comment on the subsequent management of the recurrence.

Various methods for reconstruction of the resulting defect have been reported, including titanium plates and nonvascularized bone grafts. However, where microvascular expertise is available, bony free tissue transfer is normally advocated, especially for defects over 6 cm. In this case, vascularized bone was essential as an osteotomy was required to form the anterior neo-mandible and a soft tissue component was required to reconstruct the resected mucosa.

The posterior mandible (Brown Class 1) is the most commonly affected site for the development of an ameloblastoma. In these cases, we favor the deep circumflex iliac artery (DCIA) flap [11]. The volume and natural curvature of the DCIA flap can perfectly restore the contours of the body of the mandible and allow for dental implant rehabilitation.

The free vascularized scapula flap can also be used in mandibular reconstruction [12]. For longer defects, especially those involving the anterior mandible, as in this case, where an osteotomy may be required, we have found the fibula flap to be preferable for its length of both bone segment and pedicle. The free fibula flap is a common reliable method of mandibular reconstruction. It can be osteotomized to achieve a good cosmetic and functional outcome. Osseointegrated implants can also be placed successfully at the time of reconstruction [13].

Conclusions

To date, there are only two cases in the literature reporting recurrent ameloblastoma in the free fibula flap after segmental resection and reconstruction. Neither of these cases reported on the adequacy of the initial surgical resection. We present the first described case of recurrent ameloblastoma involving a fibula neo-mandible in the presence of adequate initial surgical margins. We also present the first case of management of reconstruction in the setting of recurrence in a fibula neo-mandible and demonstrate that it is possible to achieve a good outcome using a contralateral fibula flap. The case illustrates the value of digital planning in reconstruction of bony defects of the jaws resulting in successful reconstruction using the contralateral fibula.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Belli E, Rendine G, Mazzone N: Ameloblastoma relapse after 50 years from resection treatment . J Craniofac Surg. 2009, 20:1146-1149. [10.1097/SCS.0b013e3181abb4c7](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0b013e3181abb4c7)
2. Gerzenshtein J, Zhang F, Caplan J, et al.: Immediate mandibular reconstruction with microsurgical fibula flap transfer following wide resection for ameloblastoma. J Craniofac Surg. 2006, 17:178-182. [10.1097/01.scs.0000190350.37978.2c](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.scs.0000190350.37978.2c)
3. Pogrel MA, Montes DM: Is there a role for enucleation in the management of ameloblastoma? . Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2009, 38:807-812. [10.1016/j.ijom.2009.02.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2009.02.018)
4. Almeida RDAC, Andrade ESDS, Barbalho JC, et al.: Recurrence rate following treatment for primary multicystic ameloblastoma: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016, 45:359-367. [10.1016/j.ijom.2015.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2015.12.016)
5. Olaitan AA, Arole G, Adekeye EO: Recurrent ameloblastoma of the jaws. A follow-up study . Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1998, 27:456-460.
6. Feinberg SE, Steinberg B: Surgical management of ameloblastoma. Current status of the literature . Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1996, 81:383-388.
7. de Silva I, Rozen WM, Ramakrishnan A, et al.: Achieving adequate margins in ameloblastoma resection: the role for intra-operative specimen imaging. Clinical report and systematic review. PLoS One. 2012, 7:e47897. [10.1371/journal.pone.0047897](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047897)
8. Eckardt AM, Kokemüller H, Flemming P, Schultze A: Recurrent ameloblastoma following osseous reconstruction - a review of twenty years. J Cranio-Maxillofac Surg. 2009, 37:36-41. [10.1016/j.jcms.2008.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2008.07.009)
9. Basat SO, Öreroğlu AR, Orman C, et al.: Recurrent ameloblastoma in the free fibula flap: review of literature and an unusual case report. J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2015, 14:821-825. [10.1007/s12663-014-0704](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12663-014-0704)
10. Sharma R, Menon S, Kulkarni V, Muralidharan CG: Recurrence of ameloblastoma in free fibula: were we expecting it?. J Craniofac Surg. 2016, 27:813-814. [10.1097/SCS.0000000000002414](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000002414)
11. Brown JS, Barry C, Ho M, Shaw R: A new classification for mandibular defects after oncological resection . Lancet Oncol. 2016, 17:23-30. [10.1016/s1470-2045\(15\)00310-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(15)00310-1)
12. Vayvada H, Mola F, Menderes A, Yilmaz M: Surgical management of ameloblastoma in the mandible: segmental mandibulectomy and immediate reconstruction with free fibula or deep circumflex iliac artery flap (evaluation of the long-term esthetic and functional results). J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2006, 64:1532-1539. [10.1016/j.joms.2005.11.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2005.11.065)
13. Ghana JS, Chang YM, Wei FC, et al.: Segmental mandibulectomy and immediate free fibula osteoseptocutaneous flap reconstruction with endosteal implants: an ideal treatment method for mandibular ameloblastoma. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2004, 113:80-87. [10.1097/01.PRS.0000097719.69616.29](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PRS.0000097719.69616.29)