Patient Handover in Emergency Trauma Situations

Munawar Peer¹, Noel B. O'Regan², Bradley Evans³, Amanda Fowler³, Adam Dubrowski⁴

1. Medicine, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, CAN 2. Anesthesiology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, CAN 3. Surgery, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, CAN 4. Health Sciences, Ontario Tech University, Oshawa, CAN

Corresponding author: Munawar Peer, msp103@mun.ca

Abstract

Miscommunication during patient handover can be a major cause of preventable medical errors. Emergency traumas are situations where high stress and cognitive load make communication more difficult. Simulation allows for junior learners to practice emergency scenarios in a low-risk setting. This technical report outlines a simulation involving patient handover in emergency trauma scenarios. The intended group of learners are first-year surgery and emergency medicine residents. The scenarios were developed based on the learning objectives of communication, collaboration, and information transfer. Using a high-fidelity simulation mimicking a tertiary care facility, the skills performed in these scenarios can be applied to everyday practice.

Categories: Medical Simulation, Trauma

Keywords: trauma, resident simulation, general trauma surgery, emergency

Introduction

According to a report by the Joint Commission, an estimated 80% of serious medical errors are related to miscommunication during patient handover [1]. In a survey conducted in a US hospital, 59% of internal medicine and general surgery physicians reported that they had witnessed harm to one or more patients due to poor patient handover [2]. Patient handover is the transfer in responsibility in the care of a patient from one person or group to another [3]. It can occur within a single hospital setting during a shift change or when a patient is transferred between units. It can also occur when a patient is transferred between medical facilities such as when a patient is transferred to a Level I trauma center. The skill that this technical report intends to teach is patient handover during an emergency trauma situation between emergency medicine and surgical residents. Patient handover involves skills such as communication, collaboration, and information gathering.

Individuals of different backgrounds and knowledge bases are involved in the treatment of patients. These individuals must collaborate with one another using their expertise in order to reduce any knowledge gaps. This makes clear and efficient communication vital, as necessary information must be transmitted to the person assuming care. A medical professional that assumes care also has to rely on working memory, as they have the responsibility to gather and retain this information [4]. Tools such as cognitive aids could potentially assist in the retention of knowledge and help streamline the process. Practicing these skills through simulation can allow for junior learners to identify the best strategies to use in real scenarios. These skills are ultimately important, as gaps in communication can contribute to a lack of continuity in care, which can result in poor patient outcomes [5].

The intended groups of learners are first-year surgery and emergency medicine residents in a tertiary care facility. These groups of learners often face situations where they will receive patients with little to no knowledge about their condition. It is their responsibility to collect any vital information and transfer this information to the next person that will assume the care of the patient.

This technical report outlines a novel simulation that involves both patient handover and an emergency trauma situation. Descriptions of simulation exercises involving emergency trauma situations already exist [6], but they do not incorporate the patient handover component. Also, there is literature related to protocols for creating efficient patient handover in trauma situations [7]. This includes the development of trauma handover checklists developed by medical professionals, but these lists have not yet been integrated into mainstream use. A number of patient handover simulations have also been conducted on medical students, examining aspects like working memory, cognitive load, collection of information, and communication protocols [4]. This report can build upon the existing literature by examining communication, collaboration, and information gathering through the lens of an emergency. What makes trauma scenarios unique is that they occur in a fast-paced high-stress environment where time is limited. This study also looks into the effectiveness of cognitive aids to make this process more efficient.

Learning objectives for this simulation are related to developing skillsets involving communication,

Received 07/07/2020
Review began 07/12/2020
Review ended 07/21/2020
Published 08/04/2020

© Copyright 2020

Peer et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Peer M, O'Regan N B, Evans B, et al. (August 04, 2020) Patient Handover in Emergency Trauma Situations. Cureus 12(8): e9544. DOI 10.7759/cureus.9544

collaboration, and information transfer and gathering in a scenario related to emergency trauma.

Technical Report

Case

Run 1: A 23-year-old male involved in an all-terrain vehicle (ATV) accident just arriving in the trauma bay. The paramedic will be briefed to give handover to the trauma leader (Learner #1). Primarily abdominal injury. Learner #1 will hand over to Learner #2.

Run 2: A 30-year-old male fell from a ladder onto a fence. The paramedic will be briefed to give handover to the trauma leader (Learner #1). Primarily abdominal injury. Distractor inserted (Jehovah's Witness Card) during the handover from Learner #1 to Learner #2.

Run 3: A 30-year-old male ATV accident without a helmet. The paramedic will be briefed to give handover to the trauma leader (Learner #1). Primarily head injury. Distractor will be patient coning during the handover from Learner #1 to Learner #2.

In this technical report, educational context, inputs, processes, and expected products are elements that will support this simulation-based scenario. These elements are organized into a modified context, input, process, and product (CIPP) program development and evaluation model [8].

Context

The appropriate setting for this simulation is a hospital or a university-based simulation facility. This type of facility most accurately models a tertiary care facility in which residents would receive their training and face an emergency trauma situation. This is a hybrid simulation taking into consideration both technical and communication skills [9].

Input

Equipment

Tables 1-3 outline the equipment that will be available in the room during the duration of each simulation run.

Patient simulator/task trainer:	3G patient simulator
Environment/setting/location of scenario:	Trauma bay
Specialty supplies/medications/infusions required:	Normal saline, ringers lactate, blood, tranexamic acid, Ancef, mannitol, hypertonic saline, norepinephrine infusion, epinephrine, laryngoscope and endotracheal tube, bed that can be flexed to 30 degrees, morphine, fentanyl
Supporting files (labs/X-rays/CT/MRI reports):	Chest X-ray, pelvic x-ray, complete blood count, electrolytes, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, liver function tests, coagulation tests, type and screen, focused assessment with sonography for trauma

TABLE 1: Simulation lab set-up

CT: computed tomography; MRI; magnetic resonance imaging

Monitors Required			
x	Non-invasive BP	a	Capnography
x	ECG rhythm (sinus tachycardia)	a	Urinary catheter
x	Heart rate	a	Temperature
x	Oxygen saturation	a	Arterial line

TABLE 2: Monitors required

a=available; x=on patient at start of scenario

BP: blood pressure; ECG: electrocardiogram

Stage	Time (mins)
Setup	15
Simulation	10
Debriefing	20

TABLE 3: Time duration per scenario

Personnel

Table 4 outlines the personnel that will be available to assist the learner in the room upon commencement of the simulation.

Personnel
Paramedic
Will be at bedside when trainee enters room.
Nurse 1
Responds to prompts by trainee. Can suggest leads and oxygen if not prompted within first 60 seconds.
Nurse 2
Responds to prompts by trainee.
Patient (Run 1)
Arms around abdomen in bed. Screaming in pain until treated. Responds to questions about past medical history as above.
Patient (Run 2)
Arms around abdomen in bed. Screaming in pain until treated. Responds to questions about past medical history as above.
Patient (Run 3)
Unconscious. No eye opening. Incomprehensible sounds. Withdraws from pain only.

TABLE 4: Personnel

Process

Pre-Briefing

Learners will be provided with an orientation of the simulation lab and the available equipment. A general overview of the simulation will be explained. The formative nature of this simulation and how it will only be used for teaching purposes without a pass/fail system will be explained.

The Simulation

The scenario begins with a standardized patient brought into the room with a paramedic confederate briefing Learner #1 on the situation. One nurse confederate will also be at hand in the room to provide any assistance the learner may require. The first stage involves information gathering, with Learner #1 integrating the information obtained from the paramedic confederate, as well as assessing the patient, using the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) protocol. A handover checklist developed based on the acronym SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) is present in the room as a cognitive aid, but its use is optional. Upon the collection of necessary information and stabilization of the patient, Learner #2 enters the room. Care of the patient is transferred from Learner #1 to Learner #2. During this transfer, Learner #1 communicates any necessary information regarding the patient to Learner #2. This also gives Learner #2 the opportunity to ask any relevant questions before assuming the care of the patient. After Learner #2 assumes care, a second scenario arises and Learner #2 will need to rely on the information given to them by Learner #1 to treat the patient. Upon completion of the simulation run, the learners will be debriefed. The learners will each complete three different scenarios, with expected improvement after each run. The scenarios of these runs are detailed in Figures 1-3 and Tables 5-7.

FIGURE 1: Process Overview

Run 1	
HPI	"23-year-old male ATV accident approximately 30 mins ago. Witnessed by a friend riding on a separate ATV. No LOC at the scene, was wearing a helmet. Lost control and hit a large boulder at 30-40km/hr. Complaining of abdominal pain. Last blood pressure on route 100/70 mmHg and heart rate 115 beats per minute (BPM). C-collar in place. 500cc of normal saline given by paramedic."
PMHx	Appendectomy
Social Hx	Lives with parents. Smokes half a pack of cigarettes per day, social ethanol use. No other drugs.
Family Hx	Nil significant
Medications	None
Allergies	None

TABLE 5: Patient History Run 1

HPI: history of the present illness; ATV: all-terrain vehicle; LOC: loss of consciousness; PMHx: past medical history

Run 2	
HPI	"30 year male fell from ladder onto fence while at work 30 mins ago. Witnessed by a co-worker. No LOC at scene. Impact primarily to abdomen. Complaining of abdominal pain. Last blood pressure on route 100/70 mmHg and heart rate 115 BPM. C-collar in place. 500cc of normal saline given by paramedic."
PMHx	Von Willebrand Disease
Social Hx	Roofer. Nonsmoker. No ethanol use. No other drugs.
Family Hx	Nil significant
Medications	None
Allergies	Penicillin

TABLE 6: Patient History Run 2

HPI: history of the present illness; LOC: loss of consciousness; PMHx: past medical history

Run 3	
HPI	"23 year male ATV accident approximately 15 mins ago. Witnessed by a friend riding on a separate ATV. Not wearing a helmet, hit a tree at 30-40km/hr. Unconscious after incident. Oxygen saturation 77% - progressively worsening during transport. Last blood pressure on route 100/70 mmHg and heart rate 70 BPM. C-collar in place. 500cc of normal saline given by paramedic."
PMHx	None
Social Hx	Fisherman. Smokes one pack of cigarettes per day, social ethanol use. No other drugs.
Family Hx	Nil significant
Medications	None
Allergies	None

TABLE 7: Patient History Run 3

HPI: history of the present illness; PMHx: past medical history; BPM: beats per minute

Debriefing

Feedback will be based upon the debriefing with good judgment principles that involve self-reflection [10]. This will be heavily centered around the learning objectives of communication, collaboration, and information gathering. Learners will be asked to provide feedback on and reflect upon the simulation run. This could include aspects they felt they did well in and what they can improve upon. Furthermore, this provides an opportunity for the learners to suggest ways the simulation could be improved. An opportunity would then be given to confederates involved with the simulation to provide feedback regarding the simulation. Finally, two faculty members that observed the simulation would then provide feedback centered around the learning objectives. A brief discussion surrounding the importance of patient handover will then be discussed.

Products/outcomes*Learning Objective 1: Communication*

Handover between Learner #1 and Learner #2 will be assessed based on adherence to principles of SBAR (see Appendix A) and the prehospital trauma handover checklist developed by Harmsen et al. [7]. Practices such as finding a quiet corner of the room to conduct the handover and using closed-loop communication will also be considered.

Learning Objective 2: Collaboration

Collaboration will be assessed using the Communication and Teamwork Skills (CATS) assessment instrument (see Appendix B). The checklist will include aspects like:

- How well Learners #1 and #2 collaborated during transfer (i.e., Learner #2 asking questions to clear doubts or Learner #1 giving recommendations).
- How well learners function within a team setting with nursing confederates.

Learning Objective 3: Information Gathering

Information gathering will be assessed by two faculty members observing the simulation and will take into consideration:

- How well Learner #1 examined the patient using an ATLS protocol
- How well Learner #1 integrates information relayed by the confederate into their care
- How well Learner #2 integrates knowledge attained from Learner #1 into their care

Discussion

As junior learners inevitably face emergency trauma situations during their residency training, having the opportunity to practice in a controlled environment can ensure that they gain proficiency. In particular, emergency trauma handover poses a unique challenge due to the limited time frame, stress, and cognitive load associated with these events.

Learners are not only responsible for diagnosing and stabilizing a patient in a timely manner, but they must also be able to retain pertinent information and communicate this knowledge. As a result of these challenges, vital patient information is often omitted during the process of handover. Knowledge gaps during handover is a risk to patient safety and can result in poor health outcomes. However, with repeated practice with simulation scenarios, junior learners can better prepare themselves for real-life situations by utilizing skills they have attained.

This simulation teaches these skills based upon the three learning objectives and is supplemented by feedback from experienced faculty members. Furthermore, this simulation closely mimics real-life situations such that these skills are practical. By introducing aspects like distractors during handover that further increase the difficulty of handover, junior learners will be more prepared for random events that can complicate cases in real-life emergency departments.

Conclusions

This technical report describes the design of a simulation scenario on patient handover during emergency trauma situations. Simulation provides an opportunity for junior learners to practice key skills related to handover in a controlled setting. These skills are based on the learning objectives of communication, collaboration, and information gathering. As the simulation mimics real-life scenarios, the skills attained

can be practiced during encounters in the emergency department.

Appendices

Adapted SBAR tool

SBAR: A Shared Structure for Effective Team Communication

Toronto
Rehab
Everything Humanly Possible

Adapted SBAR Tool

S

Describe
SITUATION

My name is and I work (your service)

I need to talk to you about:

- ☐ an urgent safety issue regarding (name of client)
- ☐ a quality of care issue regarding (name of client)

I need about (minutes) to talk to you, if not now, when can we talk?

I need you to know about:

- ☐ changes to a patient status
- ☐ changes to treatment plan, procedures or protocols
- ☐ environmental/organizational issues related to patient care

B

Provide
BACKGROUND

Are you aware of (specific problem)

The patient is (age) and has a diagnosis of (diagnosis) as well as (diagnosis)

He/She was admitted on (date) and is scheduled for discharge on (date)

His/Her treatment plans related to this issue to date include (treatment)

He/She is being monitored by (specialist) and has appointments

for (procedures)

This patient/family/staff is requesting that (requests)

A

Provide client
ASSESSMENT

I think the key underlying problem/concern is (describe)

The key changes since the last assessment related to the specific concern are:

Person Level Changes

- ☐ Vital Signs/G/I/
- ☐ Cardio-Respiratory
- ☐ Neurological
- ☐ Musculoskeletal/Skin
- ☐ Pain
- ☐ Medications
- ☐ Psychosocial/Spiritual
- ☐ Sleep
- ☐ Cognitive/Mental Status/
- ☐ Behavioural
- ☐ Nutrition/Hydration

Activity/Participation/Functional

- Changes**
- ☐ ADL
- ☐ Transfers
- ☐ Home/Community Safety

Environmental Changes

- ☐ Organizational/Unit Protocols/
- ☐ Processes
- ☐ Discharge Destination
- ☐ Social/Family Supports

R

Make
RECOMMENDATION

Based on this assessment, I request that:

- ☐ we discontinue/continue with
- ☐ we prepare for discharge OR extend discharge date
- ☐ you approve recommended changes to treatment plan/goals including
- ☐ you reassess the patient's
- ☐ the following tests/assessments be completed by
- ☐ the patient be transferred out to.../be moved to
- ☐ you inform other team members/family/patients about change in plans
- ☐ I recommend that we modify team protocols in the following ways

To be clear, we have agreed to... Are you ok with this plan?

- ☐ I would like to hear back from you by
- ☐ I will be in contact with you about this issue by

4

FIGURE 4: SBAR Toolkit Document

Appendix A [11]

Image reproduction approved with permission by author Angie Andreoli

Reproduction

Communication and Teamwork Skills (CATS) Assessment Instrument, Initial Version

Communication and Teamwork Skills Assessment

Date: _____ Observer ID: _____ Observation Start Time _____ Observation End Time _____

Case ID: _____ Procedure: _____

Category	Behavior	Observed and Good	Variation in Quality	Expected But not Observed	Comments
Coordination	Briefing				
	Verbalize plan				
	Verbalize expected timeframes				
	Debriefing				
Situational Awareness	Visually scan environment				
	Verbalize adjustments in plan as changes occur				
Cooperation	Request external resources if needed				
	Ask for help from team as needed				
	Verbally request team input				
	Cross Monitoring				
Communication	Verbal assertion				
	Receptive to assertion and ideas				
	Closed loop				
	SBAR				
	Verbal updates – think aloud				
	Use names				
	Communicate with patient				
	Appropriate tone of voice				

If Crisis Situation Arises

Category	Behavior	Observed and Good	Variation in Quality	Expected But Not Observed	Comments
Coordination	Establish event manager				
Cooperation	Escalation of asserted concern				
Communication	Critical language				

FIGURE 5: Communication and Teamwork Skills Assessment Instrument

Appendix B [12]

Image reproduction approved with permission by author Roxane Gardner.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve human participants or tissue.

Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue.

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Joint Commission Centre for Transforming Healthcare releases targeted solutions tool for hand-off communications. (2012). Accessed: March 2018: https://www.jointcommission.org/-/media/deprecated-unorganized/imported-assets/tjc/system-folders/blogs/tst_hoc_persp...
2. Kitch BT, Cooper JB, Zapol WM, Hutter MM, Marder J, Karson A, Campbell EG: Handoffs causing patient harm: a survey of medical and surgical house staff. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2008, 34:563-570. [10.1016/s1553-7250\(08\)34071-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250(08)34071-9)
3. Abdellatif A, Bagian JP, Barajas ER, Cohen M, Cousins D, Denham CR, Horvath D: Communication during patient hand-overs. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2007, 33:439-442.
4. Young JQ, Irby DM, Barilla-LaBarca ML, ten Cate O, O'Sullivan PS: Measuring cognitive load: mixed results

- from a handover simulation for medical students. *Perspect Med Educ*. 2016, 5:24-32. [10.1007/s40037-015-0240-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-015-0240-6)
5. Patel R, Thiagarajan P: Structured approach in improving weekend handovers in a medical high dependency unit. *BMJ Qual Improv Rep*. 2014, 3:u205194.w2142. [10.1136/bmjquality.u205194.w2142](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjquality.u205194.w2142)
6. Mathieson S, Williams K, Dubrowski A: Pediatric motor vehicle-pedestrian accident: a simulation scenario for emergency medicine trainees. *Cureus*. 2017, 9:1052. [10.7759/cureus.1052](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1052)
7. Harmsen AMK, Geeraedts LMG, Giannakopoulos GF, Terra M, Christiaans HM, Mookink LB, Bloemers FW: National consensus on communication in prehospital trauma care, the DENIM study. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2017, 25:67. [10.1186/s13049-017-0414-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13049-017-0414-9)
8. Dubrowski A, Alani S, Bankovic T, Crowe A, Pollard M: Writing technical reports for simulation in education for health professionals: suggested guidelines. *Cureus*. 2015, 7:371. [10.7759/cureus.371](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.371)
9. Kneebone R, Kidd J, Nestel D, Asvall S, Paraskeva P, Darzi A: An innovative model for teaching and learning clinical procedures. *Med Educ*. 2002, 36:628-634. [10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01261.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01261.x)
10. Rudolph JW, Simon R, Rivard P, Dufresne RL, Raemer DB: Debriefing with good judgment: combining rigorous feedback with genuine inquiry. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2007, 25:361-376. [10.1016/j.anclin.2007.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2007.03.007)
11. Trentham B, Andreoli A, Boaro N, Velji K, Fancott C: SBAR: A Shared Structure for Effective Team Communication. An Implementation Toolkit. 2nd Edition. Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto; 2010.
12. Frankel A, Gardner R, Maynard L, Kelly A: Using the communication and teamwork skills (CATS) assessment to measure health care team performance. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf*. 2007, 33:549-558. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1553-7250\(07\)33059-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1553-7250(07)33059-6)