Review began 03/18/2023 Review ended 04/14/2023 Published 04/23/2023

#### © Copyright 2023

AlShalhoub et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Identifying the Challenges of Child Abuse Detection Among Emergency, Pediatrics, and Family Medicine Practitioners in Saudi Arabia

Naif M. AlShalhoub <sup>1</sup>, Abdulaziz A. Bin shalhoub <sup>2</sup>, Hussam E. Alshanawani <sup>3</sup>, Saleh A. Showail <sup>4</sup>, Shams A. Alowais <sup>5</sup>, Zahi M. Alhamad <sup>6</sup>, Bandar S. Almutairi <sup>7</sup>, Sultan T. Alturki <sup>8</sup>, Abdulrahman Al-mana <sup>1</sup>

1. Family Medicine, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, SAU 2. Forensic Medicine, Forensic Medicine Center, Riyadh, SAU 3. Forensic Medicine, Saudi Ministry of Health (MOH), Riyadh, SAU 4. Forensic Medicine, Ministry of Health (MOH), Riyadh, SAU 5. Medicine, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Riyadh, SAU 6. General Practice, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, SAU 7. Emergency Medicine, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, SAU 8. Family and Community Medicine, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, SAU

Corresponding author: Naif M. AlShalhoub, nmshalhoub@gmail.com

### Abstract

Background: Child abuse is a significant issue across many countries. Despite the situation's innate understanding, many children are not reported to authorities and continue to experience abuse, sometimes even death. Healthcare professionals must be alert for abuse in any child who appears with injuries that are out of the ordinary because it is easy for indicators of child abuse to go unnoticed in a busy emergency department. The current study aims to evaluate and detect the challenges in diagnosing and reporting cases of child abuse among healthcare practitioners in emergency, pediatrics, and family medicine.

Methods: A self-administered online disseminated questionnaire was used for data collection during the period from October 1 to December 30, 2022. A cross-sectional study was conducted on emergency, pediatrics, and family medicine healthcare practitioners working in hospitals in healthcare centers in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. All data were collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed using SPSS 23.0 for (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) Windows.

Results: The study sample constituted 200 physicians working in the front lines of healthcare like emergency, pediatrics, and family medicine primary care services, 50.5% were males and 49.5% were females. 36.5% of participants were 31-39 years old. 42% were family medicine physicians, 36.5% were pediatricians, and 21.5% were emergency medicine. About 43% of participants attended an educational workshop on child abuse. Nineteen percent of participants are very familiar with the diagnosis of child abuse and 36% of participants reported one to three cases of child abuse in the emergency department in the last year, 5% reported four to six cases and 56.5% reported none. Forty-seven percent of participants reported diagnosing one to five cases of child abuse throughout their whole career, 13% reported 11-15 cases, 6.5% reported six to 10 cases and 28.5% reported none. Causes of underdiagnosis of child abuse by healthcare providers were reported as 63% inexperience, 59% inadequate time for physical examination, 59% lack of diagnosis protocol, 51% lack of confidence in communicating with parents, 36% physicians' cultural background, and 38% lack of confidence in the diagnosis. 93.5% of participants think that healthcare practices need further education for child abuse.

Conclusion: In conclusion, physicians in Saudi Arabia who participated in the study had good knowledge to diagnose a case of child abuse. Inexperience, inadequate time for physical examination, lack of diagnosis protocol, lack of confidence in communicating with parents, and physicians' cultural background were the main identified challenges for diagnosing child abuse. Familiarity with cases of child abuse was significantly associated with physicians' age, specialty, and level of training.

Categories: Family/General Practice, Pediatrics

Keywords: child abuse in emergency department, child trauma, child abuse, neglect, abuse

### Introduction

Child abuse is a public health concern that has long-term effects on both physical and mental health [1]. The World Health Organization estimates that 40 million children worldwide experience sexual abuse every year, while 23% also experience physical abuse and 36% experience emotional abuse [2]. The likelihood of physical child abuse decreased dramatically (by 47.7%) during the COVID-19 epidemic. The COVID-19 pandemic had no statistically significant impact on children's chances of experiencing emotional abuse, according to one study [3].

Abuse-related head trauma can result in physical disabilities such as cerebral palsy, developmental delays, and neurologic abnormalities. Psychologically, those who have suffered from child abuse are more likely to

#### How to cite this article

AlShalhoub N M, Bin Shalhoub A A, Alshanawani H E, et al. (April 23, 2023) Identifying the Challenges of Child Abuse Detection Among Emergency, Pediatrics, and Family Medicine Practitioners in Saudi Arabia. Cureus 15(4): e38022. DOI 10.7759/cureus.38022

experience depression, conduct disorders, and substance dependence. These kids may perform poorly academically and have impaired cognitive abilities [4].

Despite having the desire to increase the detection of child abuse, health professionals do not have the time to create suitable policies and protocols, register (suspicions of) child abuse, or plan education and training [5]. Additionally, the large patient number in the ED and the wide range of symptom intensity make it challenging for clinicians to calmly discuss a suspect of child abuse with parents [6].

A thorough assessment of the various types and severity of child abuse in Saudi Arabia was conducted in 2018 by reading publications written on the subject during the previous 25 years as a result of this. It was discovered that child abuse is regrettably still an issue in Saudi Arabia, and its prevalence is of concern to both the government and the healthcare agencies. Incidents are reported much less frequently than they actually occur, typically because the victim was innocent or because investigating agencies were callous and insensitive [3,7]. A meta-analysis of 15 research on child maltreatment conducted in Saudi Arabia in 2019 revealed that the prevalence of child abuse is high, with physical abuse and neglect, in particular, being the most commonly reported types of abuse throughout the 15 studies, with an overall prevalence rate of 15% [8].

All healthcare professionals have a moral, legal, and professional duty to recognize child abuse when they see it and to report it to authorities. Since the Emergency Department sees the majority of child abuse cases, nurses and doctors are frequently the first to identify the issue. The first step is to recognize the issue; allowing abused children to go back to their abusers frequently results in increased violence, and occasionally even fatalities. The social worker must be notified even if there is only a remote possibility that child abuse occurred so that the child can be monitored as an outpatient [9,10]. The current study aims to explore what practicing physicians in Primary Care Services (like an emergency, pediatrics, and family medicine) perceive as the challenges to diagnosing and reporting cases of child abuse.

# **Materials And Methods**

### Study type and duration

A cross-sectional study was conducted during the period from October 1 to December 30, 2022 on physicians in front lines specialties in some of Riyadh hospitals and Healthcare centers, who were invited to participate and fill out the questionnaire of the study. Research clearance and approval were obtained from the Ethical Research Committee of the Medical Services Department for Armed Forces Scientific Research Center.

#### Sample size

The sample size was 200 emergency, pediatrics, and family medicine healthcare practitioners working in hospitals in healthcare centers in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, in order to achieve sound results. The calculation methodology of sample size, Raosoft, was used for the population survey.

### Data collection tool and technique

A pre-designed online questionnaire was uploaded on Google Services and was used for data collection. The questionnaire link was sent to the target population via WhatsApp platform. The questionnaire was adopted from multiple studies, which addressed the subject of healthcare practitioners dealing with child abuse and its determinants. It was mainly composed of two main sections as followed:

Section 1 included sociodemographic characteristics of the participants, e.g., age, sex, marital status, nationality, occupational characteristics, degree, and years of experience. Section 2 asked about experiences and attitudes toward child abuse and barriers to reporting it. The Likert scale was used for some questions. Question regarding the previous diagnosis of a case of child abuse, frequency of evaluating such cases, and settings of diagnosis.

The survey was filled out by the participants personally. The questionnaire had a brief introduction explaining the nature of the research and highlighting the anonymity of the participants.

#### **Ethical considerations**

The ethical issue in the study states that avoiding asking the participants about certain races or genders of the patients or asking the participants to admit any mistake that he or one of his colleagues made. Research clearance and approval were obtained from the Ethical Research Committee of the Medical Services Department for Armed Forces Scientific Research Center. This work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.

#### Data management and analysis

All data were collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) for Windows. Qualitative data were stated as absolute frequency and relative frequency (percentage).

Proportions of categorical variables were compared using either the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **Results**

As illustrated in Table 1, the study included 200 participants, 50.5% were males and 49.5% were females. 36.5% of participants were 31-39 years old, 33.5% were 30 years or less and 30% were 40 years or more. 48.5% were married with children, 16.5% were married without children and 35% were single. As for specialty, 42% were family medicine, 36.5% were pediatricians and 21.5% were emergency medicine. 35.5% of participants were consultants and 19% were specialists. 36.5% work in military hospitals, 22% work in general Ministry of Health (MOH) hospitals, and 19.5% in private hospitals. 90.5% of participants live in urban areas, 6.5% in suburban areas, and 3.5% in rural areas.

Parameter		No.	%
	30 years old or less	67	33.5
Age	31-39 years old	73	36.5
	40 years old or more	60	30.0
Gender	Male	101	50.5
Gender	Female	99	49.5
	Married with children	97	48.5
Marital status	Married without children	33	16.5
	Single	70	35.0
	Emergency Medicine	43	21.5
Speciality	Family medicine	84	42.0
	Paediatrics	73	36.5
	R1	15	7.5
	R2	32	16.0
	R3	30	15.0
Level of medical training	R4	10	5.0
	Consultant	71	35.5
	Resident	4	2.0
	Specialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)	38	19.0
	Military hospital	73	36.5
	MOH hospital	44	22.0
<b>T</b>	Private hospital	39	19.5
Type of institution	Security forces hospital	4	2.0
	Specialized hospital	12	6.0
	Teaching hospital (University hospital)	28	14.0
	Rural	7	3.5
Current residence location	Suburban	12	6.0
	Urban	181	90.5
	1 to 5 years	72	36.0
Experience as medical practitioner	6 to 10 years	55	27.5
	more than 10 years	73	36.5

### TABLE 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of participants (n=200)

Table 2 illustrates participants' experience with child abuse incidents. 43% of participants attended an educational workshop on child abuse and 84.5% are interested in attending one. 19% of participants are very familiar with the diagnosis of child abuse and 21.5% estimated the highest level of confidence in diagnosing a case of child abuse. 36% of participants reported one to three cases of child abuse in the emergency department in the last year, 5% reported four to six cases and 56.5% reported none. 47% of participants reported diagnosing one to five cases of child abuse throughout their whole career, 13% reported 11-15 cases, 6.5% reported six to 10 cases and 28.5% reported none.

Parameter		No.	%
Attended an educational workshop on child abuse	Yes	86	43.0
	No	114	57.0
	Yes	169	84.5
Interested in attending one in the future	No	26	13.0
	I don't know	5	2.5
	1	11	5.5
	2	20	10.0
Familiar with diagnosis of child abuse	3	62	31.0
	4	69	34.5
	5	38	19.0
	1	9	4.5
	2	19	9.5
Estimate level of confidence in diagnosing cases of child abuse	3	68	34.0
	4	61	30.5
	5	43	21.5
	1-3	70	36.0
Cases of child abuse diagnosed in 2021	4- 6	10	5.0
	More than 6	7	3.5
	None	113	56.5
	0	57	28.5
	5-1	94	47.0
Cases of child abuse diagnosed through career	10-6	13	6.5
	15-11	26	13.0
	15+	10	5.0
Can detect child abuse as early as possible	Yes	147	73.5
	No	53	26.5

## TABLE 2: Participants' experience with child abuse (n=200)

Regarding attitude, Table 3 shows that 6% strongly agree that abuse is only physical, 10% strongly agree that abused children grow up to be abusers, 20% strongly agree that they can identify abused children, 38.5% strongly agree that abusers have an unstable social life, 53% strongly agree that disabled children are likely to become a victim of abuse and 7.5% strongly agree that practitioners generally receive adequate training in child abuse.

Parameter		No.	%
	1	114	57.0
	2	24	12.0
ts only abuse if it was physical	3	17	8.5
	4	33	16.5

		5	12	6.0
		1	15	7.5
		2	43	21.5
Abused children always grow up to be abusers		3	71	35.5
		4	51	25.5
		5	20	10.0
		1	6	3.0
		2	25	12.5
can identify children who are abused		3	63	31.5
		4	66	33.0
		5	40	20.0
		1	11	5.5
		2	13	6.5
Child abusers tends to have an unstable social life		3	27	13.5
		4	72	36.0
		5	77	38.5
		1	4	2.0
		2	11	5.5
Disabled children are likely to become victims of abuse		3	28	14.0
		4	51	25.5
		5	106	53.0
		1	32	16.0
		2	64	32.0
Practitioners generally receive adequate training in child abuse		3	56	28.0
			33	16.5
		5	15	7.5
		1	34	17.0
		2	59	29.5
Practitioners generally receive adequate training in child abuse		3	62	31.0
		4	32	16.0
		5	13	6.5
		1	31	15.5
		2	59	29.5
Practitioners generally receive adequate training in child abuse		3	57	28.5
		4	38	19.0
		-	15	7.5

TABLE 3: Participants' attitude towards child abuse (n=200)

Table 4 shows that causes of underdiagnosis of child abuse by healthcare providers were reported as 63%

inexperience, 59% inadequate time for physical examination, 59% lack of diagnosis protocol, 51% lack of confidence in communicating with parents, 36% physicians' cultural background and 38% lack of confidence in the diagnosis. 93.5% of participants think that healthcare practices need further education for child abuse. 47% are familiar with medical laws regulating child abuse in Saudi Arabia. 89% recommend adding courses on child abuse to public schools. 86.5% recommend improving child abuse detection in practice by improving child abuse training during residency, 64% recommend offering child abuse CME courses and 51% recommend developing subspecialty training in child abuse.

Parameter		No.	%
	Physicians cultural background	72	36.
	Lack of confidence in communicating with parents	102	51.0
	Lack of confidence in diagnosis	76	38.
	Inexperience	126	63.
Cause of underdiagnosis of child abuse by healthcare providers (Bias may occur)	Lack of diagnosis protocol	118	59
	Inadequate training	44	22
	Inadequate time for physical examination	118	59
	language barrier	26	13
	Inadequate training	114	57
	Yes	187	93
ealthcare practices need further education for child abuse	No	13	6.5
	Yes	94	47
amiliar with medical laws regulating child abuse in Saudi Arabia	No	101	50
	l don't know	5	2.5
	Yes	178	89
ecommend adding courses on child abuse to public school	No	17	8.5
	l don't know	5	2.5
	Improve child abuse training during residency	173	86
locommand to improve shild abuse detection in practice	Offer child abuse CME course	128	64
ecommend to improve child abuse detection in practice	Develop a subspecialty training in child abuse	102	51
	None	2	1

### TABLE 4: Causes of underdiagnosis of child abuse by healthcare providers

Table 5 shows that familiarity with diagnosing child abuse was significantly associated with the age and level of medical training of participants (P=0.001).

		Familiar with	Familiar with diagnosis of child abuse		P value
		No	Yes	Total (N=200)	r value
	30 years old or less	48	19	67	
		51.6%	17.8%	33.5%	
Age	21.20 years ald	28	45	73	
	31-39 years old	30.1%	42.1%	36.5%	0.001

Instrume         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume           Name         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume           Annor         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume           Annor         Instrume         Instrume <tdi< th=""><th></th><th>40 years old or more</th><th>17</th><th>43</th><th>60</th><th></th></tdi<>		40 years old or more	17	43	60	
Main     648.0     6.9.0     9.3.3.0       Parale     42.0     5.0.3.0     45.9.0       42.0.0     5.0.3.0     45.9.0       Maride with oldifen     40.0.0     5.0.0.0     45.9.0       Maride with oldifen     10.0.0     5.0.0.0     6.0.0.0       Maride with oldifen     10.0.0     6.0.0.0     6.0.0.0       Maride with oldifen     10.0.0     6.0.0.0     6.0.0.0       Maride with oldifen     10.0.0     6.0.0.0     6.0.0.0       Maride with oldifen     6.0.0.0     6.0.0.0     6.0.0.0   <			18.3%	40.2%	30.0%	
Gender     94.9%     94.7%     90.5%       Parale     92.0%     92.5%       Parale     92.0%     93.5%     93.5%       Marind with oldren     93.0%     93.5%     93.5%       Marind with oldren     10.0%     93.5%     93.6%       Marind without hildren     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Marind without hildren     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Marind without hildren     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Bangeery Modicine     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Bangmery Modicine     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Parameticine     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Specially     Parameticine     10.0%     93.6%       Parameticine     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Rate     10.0%     93.6%     93.6%       Rate     10.0%     10.0%     93.6%       Rate     10.0%     10.0%     10.6%       Rate     10.0%     10.0%     10.6%    <			51	50	101	
		Male	54.8%	46.7%	50.5%	0.050
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Gender		42	57	99	0.253
Amata status     Married with civiterer     Amata without civiterer     Amata wi		Female	45.2%	53.3%	49.5%	
Annial status40.0%53.3%45.5%93.6%Mariad valuation children14.0%19.0%16.5%16.5%Single3031.0%20.5%36.5%16.5%Brangency Mediane17.0%20.5%20.5%16.5%Panity mediane10.5%36.5%20.5%16.5%Pedatrics20.5%20.5%36.5%56.5%Real-Real-Real-Real-Real-Real-Real-Real-			40	57	97	
Name15%1		Married with children	43.0%	53.3%	48.5%	
InitialInitialInitialInitialBinde303030Pendency Medicine1030%30%30%Pendency Medicine30%30%30%30%Pollatrice30%30%40%30%Pollatrice30%40%30%30%Pollatrice20%30%30%30%Ra124%30%30%30%Ra124%30%30%30%Ra123%30%30%30%Ra123%30%30%30%Ra124%30%30%30%Ra123%30%30%30%Rasent20%30%30%30%Rasent20%30%30%30%Rasent20%30%30%30%Manapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30%Anapopla20%30%30%30% </td <td></td> <td>Manufada Mirada Ma</td> <td>14</td> <td>19</td> <td>33</td> <td>0.450</td>		Manufada Mirada Ma	14	19	33	0.450
SpeciallySingle19%30%30%Branegency Medicine13%43%15%Paragency Medicine43%43%15%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine10%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine10%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine10%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine21%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragency Medicine36%36%36%Paragen	Marital status	Married without children	15.1%	17.8%	16.5%	0.158
419%20%35.0%Panergency Medicine16413.3%2.43%2.5%4Panily medicine51.6%3.6%4Panily medicine51.6%3.6%4Patiatics61.6%3.6%5.5%Patiatics12.0%2.8%5.5%Patiatics2.8%3.6%5.5%Patiatics2.8%3.6%5.5%Patiatics2.6%3.6%5.5%Patiatics1.2%6.8%3.6%Patiatics1.2%5.6%3.6%Patiatics1.2%6.8%3.6%Patiatics1.2%6.8%3.6%Patiatics1.2%6.8%3.6%Patiatics1.2%6.8%3.6%Patiatics1.2%6.8%3.6%Patiatic1.3%6.9%3.6%Patiatic Patiatics1.3%1.6%3.6%Patiatics1.3%1.6%3.6%Patiatics1.3%1.6%3.6%Patiatics1.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics2.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics3.6%3.6%3.6%Patiatics3.6%3.6%3.6% </td <td></td> <td>Cincle</td> <td>39</td> <td>31</td> <td>70</td> <td></td>		Cincle	39	31	70	
Intergency Medicine13%24%24%SpecialtyPamily medicine61%36.%42.%Pamily medicine61%36.%42.%0.4%Pediatrics0.1%42.1%36.5%Pediatrics21%28%7.5%R21%28%7.5%R21%84%10.1%Pathers12.0%28%10.1%Pathers12.0%84%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%10.1%Pathers12.6%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%Pathers13.1%13.1%14.1%		Single	41.9%	29.0%	35.0%	
Panily medicine18.3%24.3%21.5%SpecialityPanily medicine463642.0%16.0%36.0%42.0%36.5%73Pelatrics0.1%42.1%36.5%56R112.0%36.5%7556R223.0%9.0%5756R221.7%8.4%10.0%56R212.7%8.4%10.0%56R312.7%13.1%10.0%56R412.7%13.1%50.5%56R421.6%36.5%5656R421.6%36.5%5656R421.6%36.5%5656R421.6%36.5%5656R421.6%36.5%36.5%56R421.6%36.5%36.5%56R421.6%36.5%36.5%56R421.6%36.5%36.5%56R421.6%36.5%36.5%56R421.6%36.5%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R421.6%36.5%36.5%R4 </td <td></td> <td>Emorranay Madiaina</td> <td>17</td> <td>26</td> <td>43</td> <td></td>		Emorranay Madiaina	17	26	43	
SpecialtyFamily medicine51.8%33.8%42.0%16.8%33.6%42.0%7.8%Pediatrics63.1%42.1%36.5%Pediatrics12.0%2.1%36.5%R112.9%2.8%7.5%R224.7%8.4%6.0%R316.0%14.0%30.0%Pactor17.2%3.1%5.0%R317.2%3.1%5.0%R36.0%5.0%5.0%R36.0%5.0%5.0%R45.0%5.0%5.0%R45.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R420.0%5.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%20.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%R421.0%24.0%5.0%<			18.3%	24.3%	21.5%	
Final Pediatics51.0%33.6%42.0%Pediatics285.5%3.5%Pediatics20.1%21.5%36.5%Pather12.0%2.8%7.5%Pather2.0%2.0%5.5%Pather2.4%8.4%6.0%Pather2.4%8.4%6.0%Pather16.0%3.1%16.0%Pather12.2%3.1%5.0%Pather2.0%5.0%5.0%	Specialty	Equily modicing	48	36	84	0.027
Pediatrics50.1%42.1%56.5%R1123.0%5.5%R2239.0%3.0%R314.0%16.0%5.0%R310.7%1.1%5.0%R46.0%5.0%5.0%Consultant6.0%5.0%5.5%Rester21%1.0%5.5%Rester21%1.0%5.5%Rester21%1.0%3.0%Probabit (Registrar Or Senior Festion	Speciality		51.6%	33.6%	42.0%	0.037
Number		Dedictrice	28	45	73	
R112.9%2.8%7.5%P293.2P2.4%8.4%16.0%P1410.0%10.0%P12.0%1.1%10.0%P1.2%1.1%10.0%P3.3%5.6%0.0%P2.4%6.6%3.5%P2.4%4.6%3.5%P2.4%1.9%3.5%P2.4%1.9%3.6%P2.4%1.9%3.6%P1.3%1.6%3.6%P1.6%3.6%1.6%P2.6%3.6%3.6%P1.6%3.6%3.6%P		Pediatrics	30.1%	42.1%	36.5%	
Level of medical training Rate12.9%2.8%7.5%Rate143.0Rate14.7%8.4%16.0%Rate12.7%13.1%15.0%Rate1.7%13.1%15.0%Rate1.3%6.6%0.0%Consultant105.5%10Rate2.0%3.5%10Reldent10.4%3.6%3.6%Resident (Registrar or Senior registra)10.4%3.6%Miltary hospital13.7%3.6%10.6%Miltary hospital2.1%3.6%1.6%Moth hospital2.1%3.6%1.6%Private hospital10.1%2.0%1.6%Frage for senior registra1.6%3.6%Miltary hospital3.6%3.6%Moth hospital3.6%3.6%Miltary hospital2.1%3.6%Moth hospital2.1%3.6%Math hospital3.6%3.6%Math hospital3.6%		R1	12	3	15	
R224.7%8.4%16.0%R3161430R317.2%13.1%15.0%A6100.01A5.6%5.0%71Consultant195.2%71Consultant20.4%86.5%5.5%Resident2.2%1.9%2.0%Specialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)10.6%10.0%Nilitary hospital63731.5%MOH hospital63.7%36.5%1.6%Type of institution24.4%2.0%1.6%Type of institution61.1%24.4%1.6%Security forces hospital63.6%1.6%0.85%			12.9%	2.8%	7.5%	
Level of medical training RaRA84.%16.0%RA161430.0%17.2%13.1%15.0%0.014.3%5.6%5.0%0.01Consultant105.2%71Consultant20.4%86.9%35.5%Resident2.2%1.9%2.0%Specialist (Registrar or Senior registri Military hospital19.6%19.6%NOH hospital36.7%36.5%Private hospital36.7%36.5%Type of institution9.0%36.5%Security forces hospital16.1%2.4%36.5%Security forces hospital20.4%30.5%Security forces hospital20.4%30.5%			23	9	32	
R317.2%13.1%15.0%Level of medical trainingR4604.3%5.6%5.0%5.0%102.0%6.6%5.5%272.0%48.6%5.5%5.5%5.5%Resident2.2%1.9%2.0%13pecialist (Registrar or Senior registra)16.3%19.6%9.0%Miltary hospital66373136.7%3.6%36.5%36.5%1MOH hospital2.1%36.6%36.5%1MOH hospital2.1%2.2%3.0%1Type of institution2.1%2.1%3.0%3.6%Type of institution2.1%2.1%3.0%3.6%Security forces hospital2.1%2.4%3.0%3.6%			24.7%	8.4%	16.0%	
Level of medical trainingR417.2%13.1%15.0%Revel of medical trainingR46100.00113.1%5.0%5.0%5.0%142.0%195.2%7114Consultant20.4%48.6%35.5%Resident2.2%1.9%2.0%14Specialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)17.7%10.0%19.0%Military hospital6636.5%36.5%16.5%MOH hospital21.5%36.6%36.5%16.5%MOH hospital21.5%22.4%3936.85%Type of institution50.0%15.1%24.4%30.85%Type of institution50.0%21.6%21.6%30.85%Security forces hospital20.0%21.6%30.85%36.85%Security forces hospital20.0%21.6%30.85%36.85%			16	14	30	
Level of medical trainingR413%5.6%5.0%Possiltant195271Consultant20.4%48.6%35.5%Resident224Resident2.2%1.9%2.0%Specialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)16.3%19.6%30.0%Military hospital36.7%34.6%36.5%Multary hospital36.7%34.6%36.5%Multary hospital21.6%36.5%4.1%Private hospital15.7%24.4%39.0%Type of institution52.4%19.5%36.5%Security forces hospital21.6%24.4%39.5%			17.2%	13.1%	15.0%	
4.3%         5.6%         5.0%           Participant         19         52         71           20.4%         48.6%         35.5%           Participant         20.4%         48.6%         35.5%           Participant         2.2%         1.9%         2.0%           Participant         17         2.1%         38           Participant         18.3%         19.6%         19.0%           Military hospital         18.3%         36.6%         4           MOH hospital         20         2         4           MOH hospital         20         2         4           Private hospital         15         2         30           First Education         2         2         4           Security forces hospital         2         2         4	Level of medical training	R4	4	6	10	0.001
Consultant         20.4%         48.6%         35.5%           Resident         2         2         4           Resident         2.2%         1.9%         2.0%           Propertiest (Registrar or Senior registrar)         17         2         38           Military hospital         18.3%         9.6%         10.0%           Military hospital         36         37         3           MOH hospital         204         44         44           Private hospital         21.5%         24.6%         36.5%           Private hospital         21.5%         24.6%         36.5%           Frivate hospital         21.5%         24.6%         36.5%           Security forces hospital         21.5%         24.6%         36.5%			4.3%	5.6%	5.0%	0.001
20.4%         48.6%         35.5%           Resident         2         2         4           2.2%         1.9%         2.0%           32.2%         1.9%         2.0%           Precialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)         17         21         38           18.3%         19.6%         19.0%         19.0%           Military hospital         36         37         3           MOH hospital         20         44         44           1.5%         24.4%         20.0%         44           Private hospital         21.5%         24.4%         30.0%           Type of institution         Private hospital         21.5%         24.4%         30.5%           Type of institution         Security forces hospital         21.6%         21.4%         30.5%		Consultant	19	52	71	
Resident         2.2%         1.9%         2.0%           Appendix (Registrar or Senior registrar)         17         21         38           18.3%         19.6%         19.0%         19.0%           Military hospital         36         37         3           MOH hospital         21.5%         24.6%         22.0%           Private hospital         15         24.4%         39           Type of institution         22.4%         19.5%         24.5%		oonoukum	20.4%	48.6%	35.5%	
2.2%         1.9%         2.0%           Specialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)         17         21         38           18.3%         19.6%         19.0%         19.0%           Military hospital         36         37         73           MOH hospital         38.7%         34.6%         36.5%           MOH hospital         21         44         44           11.5%         24.4%         39         16.1%         39           Type of institution         16.1%         22.4%         19.5%         36.5%		Resident	2	2	4	
Specialist (Registrar or Senior registrar)         18.3%         19.6%         19.0%           18.3%         36.3%         37         33           Military hospital         36.7%         34.6%         36.5%           MOH hospital         20         24         44           Private hospital         21.5%         22.4%         22.0%           Type of institution         21.6%         24.4%         39           Constrained         21.6%         22.4%         39           Constrained         21.6%         22.4%         39.5%		. tooluoni	2.2%	1.9%	2.0%	
18.3%         19.6%         19.0%           Military hospital         36         37         73           38.7%         34.6%         36.5%           MOH hospital         20         24         44           15.5%         22.4%         39           Private hospital         16.1%         22.4%         19.5%           Security forces hospital         2         4         19.5%		Specialist (Registrar or Segior registrar)	17	21	38	
Military hospital       38.7%       34.6%       36.5%         MOH hospital       20       24       44         12.5%       22.4%       22.0%         Private hospital       15       24       39         Type of institution       19.5%       2.4%       19.5%         Security forces hospital       2       2       4		eposition (registration definiting studi)	18.3%	19.6%	19.0%	
38.7%         34.6%         36.5%           MOH hospital         20         24         44           21.5%         22.4%         22.0%           Private hospital         15         24         39           Type of institution         22.4%         19.5%         0.855		Military hospital	36	37	73	
MOH hospital         21.5%         22.4%         22.0%           Private hospital         15         24         39           Type of institution         22.4%         19.5%         0.855           Security forces hospital         2         2         4         0.855			38.7%	34.6%	36.5%	
21.5%         22.4%         22.0%           15         24         39           16.1%         22.4%         19.5%           2         2         4		Private hospital	20	24	44	
Private hospital     16.1%     22.4%     19.5%       Type of institution     2     2     4			21.5%	22.4%	22.0%	
Type of institution         16.1%         22.4%         19.5%         0.855           2         2         4			15	24	39	
2 2 4 Security forces hospital	Type of institution		16.1%	22.4%	19.5%	0.855
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2	2	4	
		<ul> <li>A state of</li> </ul>	2.2%	1.9%	2.0%	

Specialised hospital	5	7	12		
		5.4%	6.5%	6.0%	
	Teaching hospital (University hospital)	15	13	28	
		16.1%	12.1%	14.0%	
	Rural Suburban	4	3	7	
		4.3%	2.8%	3.5%	
Current residence location		6	6	12	0.815
		6.5%	5.6%	6.0%	
	Urban	83	98	181	
		89.2%	91.6%	90.5%	

TABLE 5: Association between sociodemographic characteristics of participants with familiarity with diagnosing child abuse

### **Discussion**

When dealing with the problem of child abuse and neglect, front-line physicians in emergency, pediatrics, and family medicine have a crucial role to play and face particular difficulties such as inadequate training [3]. This study aimed to assess awareness of child abuse among practitioners Working on the front lines and providing a primary care service and to identify the challenges in diagnosing and reporting child abuse cases.

According to our study results, 19% of participants are very familiar with diagnosing child abuse, and 21.5% estimated the highest level of competency in diagnosing a case of child abuse. 36% of participants reported one to three cases of child abuse in the emergency department in the last year, 5% reported four to six cases and 56.5% reported none. Forty-seven percent of participants reported diagnosing one to five cases of child abuse throughout their whole career, 13% reported 11-15 cases, 6.5% reported six to 10 cases and 28.5% reported none. This was consistent with earlier research, according to Kraus and Jandl-Jager's report that the majority of doctors were aware of the most typical signs of child abuse [11]. According to Alnasser et al., Saudi medical students, pediatric residents, and pediatricians possess solid foundational knowledge [12]. Another study by Habib found that participants generally had a good understanding of some key elements of child abuse and neglect [13]. Li et al. stated that there was a lack of awareness of child maltreatment among health professionals in China [14]. This distinction can result from the study's inclusion of all health professionals. Yadav and Datta noted that most family doctors lacked adequate information regarding the recognition and treatment of child abuse cases [15]. Additional studies, like Hynniewta et al.'s assessment of awareness among other crucial individuals like teachers, are necessary for the detection and prevention of child abuse and neglect [16]. 84.5% of participants stated a wish to attend an educational program on child abuse, but only 43% did, raising the possibility that there may be a lack of training as a contributing factor. Twenty percent of Saudis who participated in a different study reported having heard a lecture or attended a session on child abuse and neglect within the previous five years or more [17].

In our study, causes of underdiagnosing child abuse by healthcare providers were reported as 63% inexperience, 59% inadequate time for physical examination, 59% lack of diagnosis protocol, 51% lack of confidence in communicating with parents, 36% physicians' cultural background and 38% lack of confidence in the diagnosis. A Saudi study conducted in Jeddah found that barriers prevent reporting cases of suspected child abuse because 78.6% of respondents were unsure if the kid had been molested [17]. This can suggest that the information and abilities needed to recognize the signs and symptoms of abuse are lacking. These findings are in agreement with those of Alrimawi et al., Ragan and Olympio, Lynne and Gifford, and Skarsaune and Bondas [18-21]. The failure to recognize abuse signs and symptoms is an obvious obstacle to reporting child maltreatment, according to Alvarez et al., [22]. Lack of knowledge about reporting procedures and/or prior negative experiences with reporting that had an impact on the family or the child are additional obstacles that prevent reporting child abuse and neglect [17]. In addition, Lynne et al. [20] discovered that 38% of respondents were uninformed about the reporting mechanism for child abuse. The findings of a study on the role of healthcare professionals' knowledge as one of the factors influencing an individual's decision to report showed that more pediatricians with limited knowledge and the inability to recognize children with genital abnormalities declined to report cases of suspected child abuse [7]. But there are situations when ignorance is not the primary excuse for failing to report suspected cases. Because of this, some professionals may be hesitant to disclose suspected cases of child abuse even when their expertise grows [9]. Merrild and Frost found that the ambiguous sign of child abuse made the detection and reporting challenging, hence they felt that they lack chances to report such cases [23]. Foster et al. either found that a big percentage of

healthcare practitioners rarely screen for child maltreatment as they do feel uncomfortable in discussing such matters, as additional training is needed to improve healthcare system outcomes [24].

According to our study results, most participants think that healthcare practices need further education regarding child abuse. A cross-sectional study in Nigeria reported being unfamiliar with policies regarding the diagnosis and reporting of child abuse and advised increasing training for medical professionals on child abuse to be able to assist such patients [25]. According to a cross-sectional study by Starling et al., the resident's understanding and approach to such situations need to be improved by the residency programs [26].

In our study, familiarity with diagnosing a case of child abuse was significantly associated with physicians' age and level of training. According to Aldukhayel et al. [27], primary healthcare physicians in Al-Khobar City, Eastern Region of Saudi Arabia, had a general knowledge of child abuse that was significantly higher among those between the ages of 36 and 40. This finding is consistent with our findings regarding the age of the respondents. They also claimed that having more experience was related to having more knowledge [27].

Programs for training doctors on child abuse should evaluate their prior knowledge, target their interventions to a particular group of doctors, and specify their behavioral and educational goals [28]. It is important to consider the individual needs of students while developing educational programs for medical professionals and students. Physicians with different specializations and degrees of training are likely to have distinct educational demands because there are variances in knowledge and comfort levels across the many medical professions [9]. On this basis, 89% of our study participants recommend adding courses on child abuse to public schools. 86.5% recommend improving child abuse detection in practice by improving child abuse training during residency, 64% recommend offering child abuse courses and 51% recommend developing subspecialty training in child abuse.

When authorities create training programs to inform other health professionals about child abuse, they should take all of the cited impediments into account [13]. The current study's findings show that simply inviting all medical professionals to workshops and lectures on child abuse and neglect is insufficient because many nurses simply cannot find time in their hectic schedules for such training.

### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, physicians in Riyadh seem to have acceptable knowledge to diagnose a case of child abuse compared to previously published literature worldwide. Lack of experience, inadequate time for physical examination, lack of diagnosis protocol, lack of confidence in communicating with parents, and physicians' cultural background were the main identified challenges for diagnosing child abuse. Familiarity with cases of child abuse was significantly associated with physicians' age, specialty, and level of training. Children must be guarded, and any suspected cases of child abuse must be reported and documented to the appropriate authorities so they can look into them and aid the children. It is necessary to conduct an adequate inquiry and take measures to support abuse victims in order to alleviate the harm that is caused to these children and their families. Another way to raise awareness and knowledge about reporting child abuse is through additional training, which should be considered necessary.

# **Appendices**

Questionnaire: Identifying the challenges of child abuse detection among Emergency, Pediatrics, and Family medicine practitioners in Saudi Arabia

We are a group of medical practitioners working in different hospitals across the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia conducting this research to identify the challenges and difficulty of child abuse detection among health care practitioners in the Emergency, pediatrics, and Family medicine departments.

Our goals from this research:

- 1- To identify the challenges in diagnosing and in reporting such cases.
- 2- To assess awareness of child abuse among practitioners in different specialities.

Estimated time to answer the questionnaire: 3-5 minutes

- \* Indicates required question
- 1. Age group \*

Mark only one oval.

30 years old or less 31-39 years old

40 years old or more

2. Gender \*

Mark only one oval.

Male Female

3. Marital status \*

Mark only one oval.

Single

Married with children Married without children

4. What is your speciality? \*

Mark only one oval.

**Emergency Medicine Pediatrics** 

Family medicine

5. What is your level of medical training? \*

Mark only one oval.

R1 R2 R3 R4

Specialist ( Registrar or Senior registrar)

Consultant

Other:

6. Which of the following best describes \*

your institution?

Mark only one oval.

Teaching hospital (University hospital)

Military hospital MOH hospital Private hospital Specialised hospital

\*

Other:

7. Which of the following best describes \*

your current location of practice?

Mark only one oval.

Urban Rural Suburban

8. How long have you worked as a

medical practitioner?

Mark only one oval.

1 to 5 years

```
6 to 10 years
```

more than 10 years

9. Have you ever attended an

\*

\*

educational workshop on child abuse?

Mark only one oval.

Yes No

10. Would you be interested in attending \*

one in the future?

Mark only one oval.

Yes No

11. How familiar are you with the

diagnosis of child abuse

Mark only one oval.

Not familiar

1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

very familiar

12. Estimate your level of competence in \*

diagnosing cases of child abuse

Mark only one oval.

significantly below average

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

5

significantly above average

13. How many cases of child abuse did \*

you diagnose/report in 2021?

Mark only one oval. None 1-3 4-6 More than 6 14. Throughout your career, how many reported total? (estimate) Mark only one oval. 0 1-5 5-10 10-15 20+ Do you believe you can detect child \* 15. abuse as early as possible? Mark only one oval. Yes No Estimate your level of agreement with the following statements: 16. Check all that apply. Ok 17. "It's only abuse if it was physical" \* Mark only one oval. strongly disagree 1 2 3 4 5 strongly agree 18. "Abused children always grow up to \* be abusers" Mark only one oval.

\* cases of child abuse have you

Mark only one oval.

1

strongly disagree

2

3

1

4

5

strongly agree

20. "Child abusers tends to have an \*

unstable social life"

Mark only one oval.

strongly disagree

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

\*

strongly agree

21. "Disabled children are likely to

become victims of abuse"

Mark only one oval.

strongly disagree

- 1
- 2
- 3

4 5

strongly agree

22. "Practitioners generally receive \* adequate training in child abuse evaluation"

Mark only one oval.

strongly disagree

1

2

3

4

5

strongly agree

23. "Practitioners generally receive \* adequate training in child abuse diagnosis"

Mark only one oval.

strongly disagree

1

2	
3	
4	
5	
strongly agree	
24. "Practitioners generally receive management"	* adequate training in child abuse
Mark only one oval.	
strongly disagree	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
strongly agree	
25. What do you think is the cause of the * under diag	nosis of child abuse by healthcare providers?
Check all that apply.	
Inexperience	
Lack of con\dence	
Lack of diagnosis protocol Lack of con\dence in	
communicating with parents	
Inadequate training Inadequate time for physical	
examination	
language barrier	
physicians cultural background	
Other:	
26. Do you think that health care *	
practitioners need further education on child abuse?	
Mark only one oval.	
Yes No	
27. Are you familiar with medical laws *	
regarding child abuse in Saudi Arabia	
Mark only one oval.	

#### Yes No

28. Were you taught in primary schooling \* (elementary-high school) about child abuse?

Mark only one oval.

Yes No

29. Would you recommend adding \* courses on child abuse to public school curriculums?

Mark only one oval.

Yes No

30. What would you recommend to your practice

\* improve child abuse detection in

Check all that apply.

Improve child abuse training during residency

Offer child abuse CME course Develop a subspecialty training in

child abuse

None

Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Forms

# Additional Information

#### Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Research Ethics Committee, Prince Sultan Military Medical City issued approval SOP-30 PSMMC. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

#### References

- 1. Wood JN, Henry MK, Berger RP, et al.: Use and utility of skeletal surveys to evaluate for occult fractures in young injured children. Acad Pediatr. 2019, 19:428-37. 10.1016/j.acap.2018.08.007
- Merrick MT, Guinn AS: Child abuse and neglect: breaking the intergenerational link between . Am J Public Health. 2018, 108:1117-8. 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304636
- Alenezi S, Alnamnakani MA, Temsah MH, et al.: Epidemiology of child maltreatment during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia. Children (Basel). 2022, 9:312. 10.3390/children9030312
- Rumball-Smith J, Fromkin J, Rosenthal B, Shane D, Skrbin J, Bimber T, Berger RP: Implementation of routine electronic health record-based child abuse screening in General Emergency Departments. Child Abuse Negl. 2018, 85:58-67. 10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.08.008
- Ghezelseflo M, Rostami M: Relationship of child abuse with personality features and high risk behaviors in adolescents. J Kermanshah Univ Med Sci. 2015, 19:93-101. 10.22110/jkums.v19i2.2066
- Fallon B, Trocmé N, Fluke J, MacLaurin B, Tonmyr L, Yuan YY: Methodological challenges in measuring child maltreatment. Child Abuse Negl. 2010, 34:70-9. 10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.08.008
- Faraz A, Ahmed SM, Ghaffar U: A study of child abuse trend in Saudi Arabia . Ind J Forensic Community Med. 2020, 1:8-12. 10.18231/2394-6776.2018.0002
- Almuneef M, ElChoueiry N, Saleheen H, Al-Eissa M: The impact of adverse childhood experiences on social determinants among Saudi adults. J Public Health (Oxf). 2018, 40:e219-27. 10.1093/pubmed/fdx177
- Carter YH, Bannon MJ, Limbert C, Docherty A, Barlow J: Improving child protection: a systematic review of training and procedural interventions. Arch Dis Child. 2006, 91:740-3. 10.1136/adc.2005.092007

- Louwers EC, Korfage IJ, Affourtit MJ, De Koning HJ, Moll HA: Facilitators and barriers to screening for child abuse in the emergency department. BMC Pediatr. 2012, 12:167. 10.1186/1471-2431-12-167
- 11. Kraus C, Jandl-Jager E: Awareness and knowledge of child abuse amongst physicians-a descriptive study by a sample of rural Austria. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2011, 123:340-9.
- Alnasser Y, Albijadi A, Abdullah W, Aldabeeb D, Alomair A, Alsaddiqi S, Alsalloum Y: Child maltreatment between knowledge, attitude and beliefs among Saudi pediatricians, pediatric residency trainees and medical students. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2017, 16:7-13. 10.1016/j.amsu.2017.02.008
- 13. Habib HS: Pediatrician knowledge, perception, and experience on child abuse and neglect in Saudi Arabia . Ann Saudi Med. 2012, 32:236-42. 10.5144/0256-4947.2012.236
- Li X, Yue Q, Wang S, et al.: Knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours of healthcare professionals regarding child maltreatment in China. Child Care Health Dev. 2017, 43:869-75. 10.1111/cch.12503
- 15. Yadav S, Datta M: Assessment of knowledge of family physicians regarding risk factors associated with child abuse. Int J Med Res Prof. 2017, 3:301-05.
- 16. Hynniewta B, Jose TT, Anjali KG: Knowledge and attitude on child abuse among school teachers, in selected urban English medium schools of Udupi District. Manipal J Nurs Health Sci. 2017, 3:32-6.
- 17. Elarousy W, Abed S: Barriers that inhibit reporting suspected cases of child abuse and neglect among nurses in a public hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. East Mediterr Health J. 2019, 25:413-21. 10.26719/emhj.18.055
- Alrimawi I, Saifan A, AbuRuz M: Barriers to child abuse identification and reporting. J Appl Sci. 2014, 14:2793-803. 10.3923/jas.2014.2793.2803
- 19. Ragan K, Olympio J: Child emergencies with fatal outcomes in North Carolina: the response and role of emergency medical services. North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Raleigh, NC; 2011.
- Lynne E, Gifford E, Evans KE, Rosch JB: Barriers to reporting child maltreatment: do emergency medical services professionals fully understand their role as mandatory reporters?. N C Med J. 2015, 76:13-8. 10.18043/ncm.76.1.13
- Skarsaune K, Bondas T: Neglected nursing responsibility when suspecting child abuse. J Clin Nurs. 2016, 4:24-32. 10.5430/cns.v4n1p24
- 22. Alvarez KM, Kenny M, Donohue M, Carpin K: Why are professionals failing to initiate mandated reports of child maltreatment, and are there any empirically based training programs to assist professionals in the reporting process? Aggress Violent Behav. 2003, 9:563-78. 10.1016/j.avb.2003.07.001
- 23. Merrild CH, Frost L: Identifying signs of child neglect and abuse in general practice . Danish Med J. 2021, 68:A05200396.
- Foster RH, Olson-Dorff D, Reiland HM, Budzak-Garza A: Commitment, confidence, and concerns: assessing health care professionals' child maltreatment reporting attitudes. Child Abuse Negl. 2017, 67:54-63. 10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.01.024
- Opekitan A, Bolanle F, Olawale O, et al.: Awareness of social infrastructures for victims of child abuse among primary health workers in Ogun State, Nigeria. Int Quarterly Comm Health Educ. 2019, 40:23-8. 10.1177/0272684X19835359
- Starling SP, Heisler KW, Paulson JF, Youmans E: Child abuse training and knowledge: a national survey of emergency medicine, family medicine, and pediatric residents and program directors. Pediatrics. 2009, 123:e595-602. 10.1542/peds.2008-2938
- Aldukhayel A, Aljarbou E, Alturki FM, Almazyad NS, Alsaqer OM, Almutairi R: Knowledge and attitude regarding child abuse among primary healthcare physicians and interns in Al Qassim, Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2020, 12:e12270. 10.7759/cureus.12270
- Christian CW: Professional education in child abuse and neglect. Pediatrics. 2008, 122 Suppl 1:S13-7. 10.1542/peds.2008-0715f