Review began 07/07/2022 Review ended 07/14/2022 Published 07/18/2022

© Copyright 2022

Bokhari et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Prevalence and Risk Factors of Urolithiasis Among the Population of Hail, Saudi Arabia

Akram A. Bokhari 1 , Hadi A. Aldarwish 2 , Saleh A. Alsanea 2 , Mohammed A. Al-Tufaif 2 , Sulaiman A. Alghaslan 2 , Ali A. Alghaslab 2 , Basil B. Alshammari 2 , Ali A. Al-Tufaif 2

1. Urology, University of Hail College of Medicine, Hail, SAU 2. Medicine and Surgery, University of Hail College of Medicine, Hail, SAU

Corresponding author: Hadi A. Aldarwish , hadi.darwish00@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

Urolithiasis is the formation of calculi in the urinary system. It is a public health concern worldwide that can lead to serious long-term consequences. Age, gender, dietary habits, and physical activity levels are all factors that increase the risk of urolithiasis formation. Furthermore, the presence of comorbid medical conditions such as diabetes and hypertension are other major risk factors. Among the most prominent determinants that raise the likelihood of acquiring urolithiasis is exposure to high temperatures, especially in middle-aged men. Consequently, Saudi residents are two and a half times more prone than the global average to develop urolithiasis, especially those in the Kingdom's hottest regions.

Methodology

This cross-sectional study assessed the self-reported prevalence and non-nutritional risk factors of urolithiasis among the population of Hail, Saudi Arabia, through an electronic questionnaire. The questionnaire contained 16 questions divided into three categories. Participants' permission was obtained before completing the questionnaire. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to analyze the data.

Results

Of the 1150 participants with a mean age of 26.3 ± 12.8 years old, nearly half were males (50.9%). Urolithiasis was detected among 158 (13.7%) participants. The following factors showed significant relation with having urolithiasis: increased age, male gender, a low level of education, diabetes, hypertension, and hyperthyroidism. A family history of renal stones was also associated with double the risk of having urolithiasis.

Conclusion

The results showed a high prevalence of urolithiasis in the Hail region, with many risk factors associated with it. It is important to support and promote awareness campaigns that address the critical risk factors of urolithiasis. Further studies should be conducted to arrive at a better understanding of the association between non-nutritional risk factors and developing urolithiasis.

Categories: Preventive Medicine, Urology, Public Health Keywords: saudi arabia, risk factors, nephrolithiasis, renal stones, urolithiasis

Introduction

Urolithiasis refers to the formation of urinary calculi in the urinary system [1]. Age, gender, ethnic groupings, local climate, dietary habits, physical activity, and occupation are all risk factors that can contribute to the development of urolithiasis [2]. The presence of comorbid medical conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and obesity are other major factors [3]. The overall probabilities of forming stones vary from country to country; nevertheless, it is a public health concern worldwide [4].

According to recent studies, kidney stones are more than an acute event, since they can lead to serious longterm consequences. Therefore, efforts should be made to reduce the burden of kidney stones [5]. Additionally, kidney stones can also increase healthcare costs. Previous studies have shown different international efforts in the form of comparing the incidence of urolithiasis in different population groups to define risk factors for urolithiasis. [6]. These valuable investigations primarily propose an interventional trial or a research question to examine if the defined risk factors can cause kidney stones and if managing these risk factors can help prevent nephrolithiasis from spreading [7]. Consequently, the quality of life for the general population is improved [8]. Stone formation is a multifactorial disease, involving environmental and metabolic aspects. Exposure to high temperatures is one of the most prominent determinants that raises the likelihood of acquiring stones, especially in men between the ages of 30 and 60 [9]. Due to the region's climate, Saudis are two and a half times more susceptible than others to develop urolithiasis, especially those in the Kingdom's hottest regions [10]. An appropriate detection method using well-defined samples from a population is important for accurately assessing the effects of urolithiasis rate fluctuations and their possible risk factors in the population of Hail, Saudi Arabia. This study was thus undertaken to determine the prevalence and non-nutritional risk factors of urolithiasis in Hail.

Materials And Methods

Research design and setting

This cross-sectional study was approved by the University of Hail's Research Ethics Committee (approval no. H-2022-164). The study was conducted between April and June 2022. It aimed to estimate the prevalence and non-nutritional risk factors of urolithiasis among the Hail population, through an electronic questionnaire written in Arabic and distributed via multiple social media applications (though mainly Twitter and WhatsApp). Information was kept private per Google's privacy policies. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration's principle regarding studies involving human participants.

Sample size

The equation that was adopted in the measurement of sample size is $ss = (z2 \times p \times q)/d2$. Where ss = sample size, z = 1.96, p = 0.5, q = (1-p) = 0.5, and d = sampling error at 3%. As stated by this equation, the lowest acceptable sample size for establishing a study with $\pm 3\%$ error and 95% confidence interval (CI) is 1066. However, we added a margin of error and increased the sample size to 1150. The criteria included in this study encompassed those who are 18 years or older, live in the Hail region, and are willing to participate in this study. We excluded participants who live outside Hail, are younger than 18 years old, or who made incomplete submissions.

Development and application of the questionnaire

The research team created a questionnaire to estimate the prevalence and non-nutritional risk factors of urolithiasis. The questionnaire contained 16 questions divided into three categories. The first category gathered demographic information from participants, the second concerning past medical history, and the third dealt with the self-reported prevalence of urolithiasis. Participants' permission was obeforeprior to completing the questionnaire. The questionnaire was translated from English to Arabic by a translator.

Statistical analysis

After data were extracted, it was revised, coded, and fed into the statistical software Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). All statistical analysis was done using twotailed tests. A p-value less than 0.05 was statistically significant. We used WHO classification of body mass index (BMI) to classify participants as normal, overweight, and obese. Descriptive analysis based on frequency and percent distribution was conducted for all variables, including participants' personal data, education level, and medical history. Crosstabulation was used to assess factors associated with urolithiasis, including participants' personal data and medical history. Relations were tested using the Pearson chisquare test and exact probability test for small frequency distributions. Adjusted binary logistic regression was used, including whole factors related to renal stone (urolithiasis) formation. The forced entry model was also used, whereby all independent variables were tested in one block to assess their association while controlling for the effects of other variables in the model. Multicollinearity was checked by running collinearity in the multiple linear logistic regression and was measured by a tolerance < 0.10 and a variance inflation factor (VIF) > 10. There was no evidence of collinearity in the adjusted model. The statistical significance level was set at P < 0.05. The adjusted exponentiation of the B coefficient-Exp (B) value or odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were displayed for each included variable.

Results

A total of 1150 participants completed the study questionnaire after a pilot study was conducted first to statistically determine the reliability of the survey using Cronbach alpha, it was found reliable with >0.60. Participants ages ranged from 18 to 68 years with a mean age of 26.3 ± 12.8 years old. Of the total participants, 585 (50.9%) were males and 1100 (95.7%) were Saudi. As for education, 833 (72.4%) had a university level of education/above while 302 (26.350 had mid-level education/secondary. A total of 268 (23.3%) of the study participants were health care workers (HCWs) and 464 (40.3%) had normal weight while 379 (33%) had overweight, and 307 (26.7%) were obese (Table 1).

Bio-demographic data	Number of participants	%
Age in years		
18-25	487	42.3%
26-35	212	18.4%
36-50	317	27.6%
51-60	106	9.2%
> 60	28	2.4%
Gender		
Male	585	50.9%
Female	565	49.1%
Nationality		
Saudi	1100	95.7%
Non-Saudi	50	4.3%
Education		
Primary/below	15	1.3%
Middle/secondary	302	26.3%
University/above	833	72.4%
Job title		
нсм	268	23.3%
Others	882	76.7%
BMI		
Normal	464	40.3%
Overweight	379	33.0%
Obese	307	26.7%

TABLE 1: Bio-demographic data of study participants from Hail, Saudi Arabia

HCW: Health care worker, BMI: Body mass index

One hundred and eighty-three (15.9%) participants were smokers, 124 (10.8%) were diabetic, 121 (10.5%) were hypertensive, 33 (2.9%) complained of intestinal disease, 28 (2.4%) had gout, 29 (2.5%) complained of hypothyroidism, eight (0.7%) had chronic kidney disease. Of the participants, 507 (44.1%) had a family history of urolithiasis, 158 (13.7%) complained of urolithiasis, while 992 (86.3%) did not (Table 2).

Medical history	Number of participants	%
Smoker		
Yes	183	15.9%
No	967	84.1%
Chronic diseases		
None	829	72.1%
DM	124	10.8%
HTN	121	10.5%
Hyperthyroidism	28	2.4%
Intestinal disease	33	2.9%
Chronic kidney disease	8	.7%
Gout	28	2.4%
Hypothyroidism	29	2.5%
Others	42	3.7%
Family history of urolithiasis		
Yes	507	44.1%
No	643	55.9%
Had urolithiasis		
Yes	158	13.7%
No	992	86.3%

TABLE 2: Medical history of study participants of Hail, Saudi Arabia

DM: Diabetes mellitus, HTN: Hypertension

Of the total participants, 27.4% aged 51-60 years had renal stones versus 6.4% of those aged 18 to 25 years, with a recorded statistical significance (P = 0.001). Renal stones were reported among 17.3% of male participants compared with 10.1% of females (P = 0.001). And 18.2% of participants with middle/secondary education level complained of urolithiasis in comparison with 13.3% of others with a low level of education (P = 0.031). Additionally, 14.9% of those who were not HCWs complained of urolithiasis compared with 10.1% of HCWs (P = 0.047) (Table 3).

	Had urolithiasis				
Socio-demographic data	Yes		No		P-value
	No	%	No	%	
Age in years					
18-25	31	6.4%	456	93.6%	
26-35	38	17.9%	174	82.1%	
36-50	53	16.7%	264	83.3%	0.001* ^{\$}
51-60	29	27.4%	77	72.6%	
> 60	7	25.0%	21	75.0%	
Gender					
Male	101	17.3%	484	82.7%	0.001*
Female	57	10.1%	508	89.9%	
Nationality					
Saudi	151	13.7%	949	86.3%	0.956
Non-Saudi	7	14.0%	43	86.0%	
Education					
Primary/below	2	13.3%	13	86.7%	0.031*
Middle/secondary	55	18.2%	247	81.8%	0.031*
University/above	101	12.1%	732	87.9%	
Job title					
нсw	27	10.1%	241	89.9%	0.047*
Others	131	14.9%	751	85.1%	
ВМІ					
Normal	55	11.9%	409	88.1%	0.131
Overweight	51	13.5%	328	86.5%	
Obese	52	16.9%	255	83.1%	

TABLE 3: Prevalence of urolithiasis as per the socio-demographic data of participants from Hail, Saudi Arabia

P: Pearson X2 test, \$: Exact probability test

* P < 0.05 (significant)

Of the participants, 20.8% who were smokers complained of urolithiasis, compared with 12.4% of nonsmokers (P = 0.003). Furthermore, 29% of diabetic participants had urolithiasis compared with 11.9% of nondiabetic participants (P = 0.001). Additionally, urolithiasis was reported among 28.9% of hypertensive participants in comparison with 12% of normotensive participants (P = 0.001). Around 32.1% of participants with hyperthyroidism complained of urolithiasis versus 13.3% of others (P = 0.004). Urolithiasis was detected among 42.9% of participants with gout compared with 13% of those without (P = 0.001). Moreover, 17.6% of participants with a family history of renal stones had urolithiasis versus 10.7% of others who had no such history (P = 0.001) (Table 4).

		Had urolithiasis			
Past medical history	Yes		No		P-value
	No	%	No	%	
Smoker					
Yes	38	20.8%	145	79.2%	0.003*
No	120	12.4%	847	87.6%	
DM					
Yes	36	29.0%	88	71.0%	0.001*
No	122	11.9%	904	88.1%	
HTN					
Yes	35	28.9%	86	71.1%	0.001*
No	123	12.0%	906	88.0%	
Chronic kidney disease					
Yes	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	-
No	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Intestinal disease					
Yes	7	21.2%	26	78.8%	0.206\$
No	151	13.5%	966	86.5%	
Hypothyroidism					
Yes	1	3.4%	28	96.6%	0.103 ^{\$}
No	157	14.0%	964	86.0%	
Hyperthyroidism					
Yes	9	32.1%	19	67.9%	0.004*
No	149	13.3%	973	86.7%	
Gout					
Yes	12	42.9%	16	57.1%	0.001*
No	146	13.0%	976	87.0%	
Others					
Yes	5	11.9%	37	88.1%	0.725
No	153	13.8%	955	86.2%	
Family history of urolithiasis					
Yes	89	17.6%	418	82.4%	0.001*
No	69	10.7%	574	89.3%	

TABLE 4: Prevalence of urolithiasis by the medical and past history of participants from Hail,Saudi Arabia

P: Pearson X2 test, \$: Exact probability test, HTN: Hypertension, DM: Diabetes mellitus

* P < 0.05 (significant)

Among all included factors, the following showed significant relation with having urolithiasis. First, increased age was associated with a 40% greater likelihood of having renal stones (OR = 1.40; 95% CI: 1.19-1.66) when keeping all other factors constant. Furthermore, male participants had a 59% greater likelihood of having renal stones than females (OR = 1.59; 95% CI: 1.06-2.37). Participants with a low level of education showed a significantly higher likelihood of urolithiasis than the university-educated group by 78% (OR = 1.78; 95% CI: 1.01-8.84). Additionally, diabetic participants had an 82% greater likelihood of urolithiasis (OR = 1.82; 95% CI: 1.10-3.03). Hypertensive participants showed a 56% greater likelihood of urolithiasis than normotensive (OR = 1.56; 95% CI: 1.11-3.69). Hyperthyroidism was associated with more than double the risk of having urolithiasis (OR = 2.38; 95% CI: 1.39-2.85) (Table 5).

Factor	P-value	OR _a	95% CI	
			Lower	Upper
Age in years	0.001*	1.40	1.19	1.66
Male gender	0.024*	1.59	1.06	2.37
Primary/below	0.048*	1.78	1.01	8.84
DM	0.020*	1.82	1.10	3.03
HTN	0.043*	1.56	1.11	3.69
Hyperthyroidism	0.047*	2.38	1.13	5.65
FH	0.001*	1.99	1.39	2.85

TABLE 5: Multiple logistic regression for predictors of urolithiasis among study participants, Hail, Saudi Arabia

OR a: Adjusted odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, DM: Diabetes mellitus, HTN: Hypertension, FH: Familial hypercholesterolemia

* P < 0.05 (significant)

Discussion

Urolithiasis is one of the most prevalent urinary tract disorders [11]. It is a multifactorial problem that can be affected by age, gender, diet, weather, and body mass index [12]. This study was therefore conducted to assess the prevalence and non-nutritional risk factors of urolithiasis in the Hail region of Saudi Arabia. Of 1150 participants, 158 (13.7%) had urolithiasis; 992 (86.3%) did not. Our study showed a high prevalence of urolithiasis among Hail population, in comparison with another study conducted by Safdar et al. [10]. However, the global prevalence rate is 4% to 20% [13]. Data analysis identified several adjusted determinants for developing urolithiasis. These were: older age, male, smoker, comorbidity, family history, and nonhealth care worker.

In this study, the highest prevalence of urolithiasis was among those between 51 to 60 years old. The prevalence increased with age. These results are similar to other studies conducted by Baatiah et al. and Moudi et al. [14,15]. On the other hand, another study conducted in Jeddah and Riyadh shows a high prevalence of urolithiasis among participants aged between 18 to 30 years (33.70%) [16]. Furthermore, male participants were more prone to develop urolithiasis compared to female participants (OR = 1.59; 95% CI: 1.06-2.37). This finding was also observed by Anmar et al. and Scales et al. [17,18]. Moreover, a retrospective study in the Eastern region of Saudi Arabia shows a higher prevalence of nephrolithiasis among males (74.5%), with calcium oxalate being the most prevalent type [19]. However, this gender gap may be because of the protective effects of estrogen. Such an explanation would be better supported by the finding that this gender gap declines in post-menopausal women [20].

In this study, we found that the relative risk of having urolithiasis is less in HCWs than non-HCWs. This is supported by another study done by Bos et al., which reported that most HCW respondents knew appropriate precautions against recurrent urolithiasis [21]. Concerning BMI, in the study of Taylor et al., high BMI was associated with the formation of urolithiasis [22]. This finding is consistent with the claim that a larger body size may lead to increased urinary excretion of calcium oxalate, and uric acid, which in turn increases the risk of forming calcium-containing kidney stones [23]. However, no significant association between BMI and urolithiasis was found in this study.

Tamadon et al. suggest smoking may be an independent risk factor for urolithiasis, and it was 2.06 times

more common in stone formers than in controls [24]. One possible explanation is that cigarette smoking may increase serum cadmium and decrease urinary flow in healthy subjects which induce urolithiasis [25]. Moreover, in this study we reported that 20.8% of participants who were smokers had urolithiasis. Our study recorded the prevalence of urolithiasis in diabetic patients to be higher than non-diabetic patients. Previous studies also support this [26]. The relation between diabetes and urolithiasis has been largely explained by the effect of insulin resistance on urine pH and renal handling of ammonium and calcium [27].

Furthermore, the present study also showed a positive association between hypertension, gout, hyperthyroidism, and an increased risk of urolithiasis. Other studies also concur [28,29]. The literature indicated that patients with hypertension may have abnormalities of renal calcium metabolism, which increases the risk of developing urolithiasis [30]. Not surprisingly, the risk of kidney stones is higher in those with a positive family history, which is similar to our result [14].

Limitations

Since the survey was distributed online, it can be subjected to sampling bias. The questionnaire was not validated. Moreover, we did not explore the role of occupation on the population and the sample size does not reflect the true population of the region. Also, the prevalence is self-reported which may not reflect the true prevalence of urolithiasis. Furthermore, a prospective observational study could be better at detecting any significant relationship between risk factors and the development of urolithiasis.

Conclusions

The study participants show a high prevalence of urolithiasis in the Hail region. In addition, the most significant factors for urolithiasis included male gender, low level of education, family history of urolithiasis, and old age. Diabetes, hypertension, and hyperthyroidism also had a significant impact.

This study, therefore, provides a database to inform people about the possibility of developing urolithiasis based on their demographic data and past medical history. However, it is important to support and promote awareness campaigns that address the critical risk factors of urolithiasis. Further studies should be conducted to better understand the association between non-nutritional risk factors and developing urolithiasis.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Reasearch Ethics Committee (REC) at University of Hail issued approval H-2022-164. This study has been reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) at University of Hail dated: 04/04/2022. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank SHS academic services (shs.academic.services@protonmail.com) for English language editing. The authors would like to extend special acknowledgment to all participants in this study.

References

- 1. Alelign T, Petros B: Kidney stone disease: an update on current concepts . Adv Urol. 2018, 2018:10.1155/2018/3068365
- 2. Alkhunaizi AM: Urinary stones in Eastern Saudi Arabia . Urol Ann. 2016, 8:6-9. 10.4103/0974-7796.164841
- Amir A, Matlaga BR, Ziemba JB, Sheikh S: Kidney stone composition in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Clin Nephrol. 2018, 89:345-348. 10.5414/CN109313
- Ramaswamy K, Killilea DW, Kapahi P, Kahn AJ, Chi T, Stoller ML: The elementome of calcium-based urinary stones and its role in urolithiasis. Nat Rev Urol. 2015, 12:543-557. 10.1038/nrurol.2015.208
- Matlaga BR: Toward a better understanding of kidney stone disease: platinum priorities . Eur Urol. 2012, 62:166-167. 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.04.027
- Tuot DS, Plantinga LC, Judd SE, et al.: Healthy behaviors, risk factor control and awareness of chronic kidney disease. Am J Nephrol. 2013, 37:135-143. 10.1159/000346712
- Chen N, Wang W, Huang Y, et al.: Community-based study on CKD subjects and the associated risk factors. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009, 24:2117-2123. 10.1093/ndt/gfn767
- Ingsathit A, Thakkinstian A, Chaiprasert A, et al.: Prevalence and risk factors of chronic kidney disease in the Thai adult population: Thai SEEK study. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2010, 25:1567-1575. 10.1093/ndt/gfp669

- Evans K, Costabile RA: Time to development of symptomatic urinary calculi in a high risk environment. J Urol. 2005, 173:858-861. 10.1097/01.ju.0000152578.07262.1c
- Safdar OY, Alzahrani WA, Kurdi MA, et al.: The prevalence of renal stones among local residents in Saudi Arabia. J Family Med Prim Care. 2021, 10:974-977. 10.4103/jfmpc_jfmpc_262_20
- Sowtali SN, Ariffin SR, Nazli NS, et al.: Knowledge, awareness and dietary practice on urolithiasis among general population in Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia: preliminary findings. J Public Health Res. 2021, 10:10.4081/jphr.2021.2238
- 12. Lieske JC, Rule AD, Krambeck AE, Williams JC, Bergstralh EJ, Mehta RA, Moyer TP: Stone composition as a function of age and sex. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014, 9:2141-2146. 10.2215/CJN.05660614
- Liu Y, Chen Y, Liao B, Luo D, Wang K, Li H, Zeng G: Epidemiology of urolithiasis in Asia. Asian J Urol. 2018, 5:205-214. 10.1016/j.ajur.2018.08.007
- Baatiah NY, Alhazmi RB, Albathi FA, Albogami EG, Mohammedkhalil AK, Alsaywid BS: Urolithiasis: prevalence, risk factors, and public awareness regarding dietary and lifestyle habits in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia in 2017. Urol Ann. 2020, 12:57-62. 10.4103/UA.UA_13_19
- 15. Moudi E, Hosseini SR, Bijani A: Nephrolithiasis in elderly population; effect of demographic characteristics . J Nephropathol. 2017, 6:63-68. 10.15171/jnp.2017.11
- Safdar OY, Alblowi SS, Aboulola NA, Alharazy DT: Renal stones and risk factors in Jeddah and Riyadh. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2021, 32:191-198. 10.4103/1319-2442.318523
- Nassir M, Nassir AM, Nabil Hafez O, et al.: Erratum: prevalence and characterization of urolithiasis in the Western region of Saudi Arabia. Urol Ann. 2020, 12:203. 10.4103/0974-7796.282513
- Scales CD Jr, Smith AC, Hanley JM, Saigal CS: Prevalence of kidney stones in the United States . Eur Urol. 2012, 62:160-165. 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.03.052
- Alasker A, Bin Hamri S, Noureldin YA, Alsaghyir AA, Alhajress GI: Characteristics and types of urolithiasis in the Eastern region of Saudi Arabia: a single-center retrospective study. Cureus. 2022, 14:e22913. 10.7759/cureus.22913
- Yasui T, Iguchi M, Suzuki S, Okada A, Itoh Y, Tozawa K, Kohri K: Prevalence and epidemiologic characteristics of lower urinary tract stones in Japan. Urology. 2008, 72:1001-1005. 10.1016/j.urology.2008.06.038
- Bos D, Abara E, Parmar MS: Knowledge, attitudes, and practice patterns among healthcare providers in the prevention of recurrent kidney stones in Northern Ontario. Can Urol Assoc J. 2014, 8:E795-E804. 10.5489/cuai.1455
- Taylor EN, Stampfer MJ, Curhan GC: Obesity, weight gain, and the risk of kidney stones. JAMA. 2005, 293:455-462. 10.1001/jama.293.4.455
- Taylor EN, Curhan GC: Body size and 24-hour urine composition. Am J Kidney Dis. 2006, 48:905-915. 10.1053/j.ajkd.2006.09.004
- 24. Tamadon MR, Nassaji M, Ghorbani R: Cigarette smoking and nephrolitiasis in adult individuals. Nephrourol Mon. 2013, 5:702-705. 10.5812/numonthly.5251
- Joo SH, Seo S, Cho MH, Kim KS: Environmental exposure to lead, mercury, and cadmium is not associated with abnormal kidney function in Korean adolescents. Pediatr Nephrol. 2022, 37:625-631. 10.1007/s00467-021-05215-4
- Nerli R, Jali M, Guntaka AK, Patne P, Patil S, Hiremath MB: Type 2 diabetes mellitus and renal stones. Adv Biomed Res. 2015, 4:180. 10.4103/2277-9175.164012
- Zimmerer T, Weiss C, Hammes HP, Braun C, Hesse A, Alken P, Knoll T: Evaluation of urolithiasis: a link between stone formation and diabetes mellitus?. Urol Int. 2009, 82:350-355. 10.1159/000209371
- Chen K, Xie Y, Zhao L, Mo Z: Hyperthyroidism-associated hypercalcemic crisis: a case report and review of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017, 96:e6017. 10.1097/MD.0000000000000017
- Marchini GS, Sarkissian C, Tian D, Gebreselassie S, Monga M: Gout, stone composition and urinary stone risk: a matched case comparative study. J Urol. 2013, 189:1334-1339. 10.1016/j.juro.2012.09.102
- 30. Borghi L, Meschi T, Guerra A, Briganti A, Schianchi T, Allegri F, Novarini A: Essential arterial hypertension and stone disease. Kidney Int. 1999, 55:2397-2406. 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00483.x